University of Rhode Island

DigitalCommons@URI

SURFO Technical Reports

Graduate School of Oceanography

2018

SURFO Technical Report No. 18-01

SURFO

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/surfo_tech_reports

Recommended Citation

SURFO, "SURFO Technical Report No. 18-01" (2018). *SURFO Technical Reports*. Paper 15.
https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/surfo_tech_reports/15

This Periodical is brought to you for free and open access by the Graduate School of Oceanography at DigitalCommons@URI. It has been accepted for inclusion in SURFO Technical Reports by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@URI. For more information, please contact digitalcommons-group@uri.edu.

**Papers from the
SUMMER UNDERGRADUATE RESEARCH FELLOWSHIP PROGRAM IN
OCEANOGRAPHY**

at

**THE UNIVERSITY OF RHODE ISLAND
GRADUATE SCHOOL OF OCEANOGRAPHY**

Narragansett, Rhode Island

June – August 2018

This program was supported by The National Science Foundation
REU Program (OCE-1757572)

GSO Technical Report No. 18-01

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table of Contents	iii
Participants in the 2018 Fellowship Program	v
Site Directors' Preface	vi
 A comparison of phytoplankton species distributions at the Graduate School of Oceanography dock and at the long-term plankton survey site using an imaging flowcytobot <i>Cassandra Alexander, Audrey Ciochetto, and Colleen Mouw</i>	 1
 Temporal and spatial variability in the northwest Atlantic sound scattering layers from a decade of weekly surveys <i>Lauren Cook, Afonso Gonçalves, Jaime B. Palter, and Daniele Bianchi</i>	 5
 Water quality monitoring with an autonomous surface vehicle <i>Gibson Leavitt and Christopher Roman</i>	 15
 Comparing genotypic sequences and morphological data in putatively cryptic <i>Alviniconcha</i> species from hydrothermal vents in the Lau Basin, Tonga <i>Deborah Leopo and Roxanne Beinart</i>	 31
 Comparison of sea level rise and storm surge modeling in three of the National Park Service's coastal parks to facilitate adaptation strategies <i>Robert Lewis and Amanda Babson</i>	 34
 Assessing the Impact of a Local PFASs Source in Narragansett Bay <i>Amber Liu, Jitka Becanova and Rainer Lohmann</i>	 56
 Finite Fault Slip Inversion of Selected Earthquakes with Layered Crust Structure <i>Whitney Marshall and Matt Wei</i>	 68
 Quantifying the Ocean's Role in Melting Antarctic Glaciers <i>Michael F. Miller and Brice Loose</i>	 77
 Sedimentary Signatures of Climate Variability and Tectonic Activity in Lake Azuei, Haiti: Possible Implications for Natural Hazards <i>Allyson Murray and Marie-Hélène Cormier</i>	 91
 Microbial Community Profiling of Eastern Oysters (<i>Crassostrea virginica</i>) Infected with <i>Perkinsus marinus</i> <i>Sarah R. Paulson, Zachary Pimentel, and Ying</i>	 107
 LoBSTAS: Low-cost Benthic Weather Station to Monitor Seabed Dynamics and Coastal Hypoxia <i>Elizabeth Tan, Brice Loose, and J. P. Walsh</i>	 118

Investigating variations in particle-associated bacterial communities of <i>Pseudo-nitzschia</i> in Narragansett Bay, RI <i>Samantha Vaverka, Alexa Sterling, and Bethany Jenkins</i>	129
Exploring the effects of turbulence on microzooplankton growth and grazing <i>Anna Ward, Gayantonia Franzè, and Susanne Menden-Deuer</i>	132

2018 PROGRAM PARTICIPANTS
SUMMER UNDERGRADUATE RESEARCH FELLOWSHIP IN OCEANOGRAPHY

FELLOWS

Cassandra Alexander, Millersville University (Ocean and Coastal Sciences)
Lauren Cook, University of South Carolina (Marine Sciences)
Gibson Leavitt, Roger Williams University (Applied Mathematics and Environmental sciences)
Deborah Leopo, University of California, Santa Cruz (Marine Biology)
Robert Lewis, University of Puerto Rico, Mayaguez (Civil Engineering)
Amber Liu*, Earlham College (Chemistry)
Whitney Marshall, Penn State University (Geosciences)
Michael Miller, University of Saint Thomas (Mechanical Engineering)
Allyson Murray, Stockton University (Geology and Marine Sciences)
Sarah Paulson, Wesleyan University (Biology)
Elizabeth Tan, Wheaton College (Physics)
Samantha Vaverka, Augustana University (Biology)
Anna Ward, University of California, San Diego (Marine Biology)

*non-US citizen participating with other-than-SURFO funding

ADVISORS

Amanda Babson
Roxanne Beinart
Marie-Hélène Cormier
Bethany Jenkins
Rainer Lohmann
Brice Loose
Susanne Menden-Deuer
Colleen Mouw
Jaime Palter
Christopher Roman
J. P. Walsh
Matt Wei
Ying Zhang

PROGRAM ASSISTANTS

Joseph Barnes, URI Student Liaison
Kim Carey, Program Coordinator

PREFACE

This GSO Technical Report presents the papers written by the 13 participants in the ten weeks of the 2018 Summer Undergraduate Research Fellowships in Oceanography (SURFO) program at the Graduate School of Oceanography (GSO), University of Rhode Island (URI). The papers are introduced in alphabetical order of the participants. Nine papers follow manuscript-style presentation, whereas three are written to satisfy the proposal requirements for application to the National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship Program. One participant prepared a poster. Note that some reports only include abstracts in this Digital Commons avenue, given planned publication elsewhere or respect for intellectual property.

This 2018 summer represented the 34th consecutive year in which the program has been coordinated and extended through the several disciplines in oceanography and ocean engineering at URI's Narragansett Bay Campus. The activities continue excellence beyond the official duration of the program with five planned presentations at national conferences: three projects accepted for the 2019 Aquatic Sciences Meeting in San Juan (PR), and two presentations for the AGU 2018 Fall Meeting in Washington (DC). An additional presentation is anticipated in a regional meeting. SURFOs are first authors on three posters and one oral presentation, and co-authors on all the others. Two manuscripts (Wei and Marshall; Palter and Cook) are in preparation. Others will be part of publications in the near future.

The 2018 SURFO participants are grateful to the National Science Foundation REU program for their support through grant OCE- 1757572. The SURFO program sincerely thanks advisors and mentors at URI who contributed to the program's success including those who gave SURFO seminar presentations and/or participated in various educational activities. In addition, our thanks go to Kim Carey for her timely assistance covering administrative, financial, and initial recruitment tasks. Finally, we acknowledge Joseph Barnes who served as the program student liaison.

Lucie Maranda
David C. Smith
SURFO Site Directors

December 2018

A comparison of phytoplankton species distributions at the Graduate School of Oceanography dock and at the long-term plankton survey site using an imaging flowcytobot

Cassandra Alexander^{1,2}, Audrey Ciochetto¹, and Colleen Mouw¹

¹ Graduate School of Oceanography, University of Rhode Island, Narragansett, RI 02882

² Department of Earth Sciences, Millersville University, Millersville, PA 17551

Abstract

This study is aimed to characterize the similarities and differences in phytoplankton composition and optical properties between two sites in Narragansett Bay, Rhode Island. A continuous optical and phytoplankton observatory was established in fall 2017 at the dock of the Graduate School of Oceanography (GSO). The phytoplankton at the dock are enumerated and identified with an Imaging FlowCytobot (IFCB). Additionally, weekly samples have been collected over the last 60+ years at the Long-Term Plankton Survey (LTPS) at Station 2 in Narragansett Bay, to observe seasonal and yearly changes to phytoplankton communities. This site is located approximately 9 km north of the GSO dock. The LTPS species composition data has historically been obtained through weekly manual counting methods which limits the number of samples to be enumerated and identified. This study seeks to give perspective to manual methods by taking samples at the LTPS site and running them through the Imaging FlowCytobot currently located and continuously collecting data on the GSO dock. The IFCB can obtain high-resolution images of each individual phytoplankton cell at a speed of one 5-mL sample per twenty minutes. A preliminary classifier has been developed to identify photos of individual cells into categories based on species. Discrete chlorophyll samples were taken from the study sites and the later processed and compared. Size metrics and species composition for five of the major phytoplankton taxonomic groups in the Bay were assessed. With these data sets, phytoplankton species and water optical property relationships can be established to assist later efforts to estimate phytoplankton from satellite observations.

Proposal to the National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship Program

Investigating Unique Optical Signatures of Harmful Algal Bloom Species in Coastal Areas

(The rest of this section intentionally left blank)

Temporal and spatial variability in the northwest Atlantic sound scattering layers from a decade of weekly surveys

Lauren K. Cook^{1†}, Afonso Gonçalves¹, Jaime B. Palter¹, and Daniele Bianchi²

¹ University of Rhode Island Graduate School of Oceanography, Narragansett, RI, USA

² University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, USA

Corresponding author: Lauren Cook (lkcook@email.sc.edu)

†Also affiliated with the University of South Carolina, Columbia SC, USA

Running head: Northwest Atlantic DVMs and SSLs

Key Points:

- The Gulf Stream influences the distribution of small migrating animals by shedding eddies
- The Slope Sea and Sargasso Sea have dramatically different sound scattering distributions
- The deep sound scattering layer of the Sargasso Sea varies seasonally by approximately 50 meters

Key Index Words:

Diel vertical migration, northwest Atlantic, Gulf Stream, mesopelagic, sound scattering layer, acoustics

Abstract

The small migrating animals of the mesopelagic zone (200 – 1000 m) consume and excrete organic carbon in the ocean, and are prey for higher trophic level predators. The relationship of these mesopelagic migrators to their spatially and temporally variable physical environment, however, is still little understood. To investigate, we use ten years of acoustic Doppler current profiler (ADCP) data from the merchant vessel *Oleander*'s weekly round-trip transits between New Jersey and Bermuda to determine the relationship between the position, density, and migrations of these animals (the sound scattering layer, SSL) and their physical environment. The northwest Atlantic SSLs are characterized by consistent spatial variability across three regions: the Gulf Stream, the Slope Sea, and the Sargasso Sea. Layers of organisms are found throughout the year at approximately 200 m and 500 m in the Sargasso Sea; the depth of the deeper layer varied seasonally by ~50 m (shallower in winter, deeper in summer). The layer of organisms in the Slope Sea only migrated to a depth of ~350 m, possibly following an isotherm. Multiple surveys included eddies shed by the Gulf Stream, which exchange water with distinct SSL characteristics between the Sargasso Sea and the Slope Sea. Overall, the *Oleander* data set provides a rich and highly-resolved view of temporal SSL variability in the northwest Atlantic. Drivers of this variability may be inferred by future work comparing properties of the SSL to variability in the light field, surface temperature, and primary productivity – all variables observed by satellite.

(The rest of this section intentionally left blank)

Water quality monitoring with an autonomous surface vehicle

Gibson Leavitt^{1,2}, Chris Roman¹

¹ Graduate School of Oceanography, University of Rhode Island, Narragansett RI 02882

² Roger Williams University, Bristol, RI

Running head: Water quality monitoring with a robot

Key points:

- A mobile data sensor is used to monitor water quality around oyster farms

Key index word: Autonomous, Water Quality, Aquaculture, Oyster

Abstract

Growth of oysters and other suspension filter feeders is heavily influenced by environmental and physical parameters, such as flow, total suspended particulate, temperature, salinity, and dissolved oxygen (DO). Many of these parameters can also indicate potential for disease or algal blooms, which pose risks to both the oysters and the people consuming them. Water quality monitoring at oyster farms is typically done using stationary sensors that produce time series measurements at a few selected locations. These sparse measurements are prone to undersampling and could miss potentially meaningful data in parts of a farm or nearby locations that are not being monitored. To evaluate the use of mobile sensors, several data sets were collected with the URI autonomous surface vehicle (ASV). This self-driving kayak was set to loop around an oyster farm to collect spatially continuous data for DO, turbidity, chlorophyll, temperature, and salinity. Water level and flow in the vicinity of the surveys were measured with water level loggers and tilt current meters placed at specific points. The collected data show spatial variation in water quality over small time frames, with clear shifts in salinity, DO, and temperature all around the farm. Additionally, longer transects and grid patterns collected across the salt pond show distinct water masses related to fresh and tidal inputs.

1. Introduction

Oysters have been a valuable resource for the people around Narragansett bay for over 2000 years, providing a source of food, and in recent years, playing a major role in the local economy. With the rise of aquaculture and efforts to restore wild oyster populations in the bay, water quality monitoring is becoming increasingly more important. Temperature and salinity are both very influential on mortality and growth of oysters (Leonhardt et al., 2017; Lavaud et al., 2017). Temperature affects clearance rate, or how quickly the oysters consume the particles in the surrounding water, and oxygen consumption (Casas, 2018). Salinity generally has a lesser negative effect on clearance rate, but also correlates with a decreased food quality, causing an overall decrease in filtration energy efficiency (Casas, 2018; Lavaud, 2017). Increases in temperature have been shown to decrease susceptibility of oysters to viral infections (Delisle,

2018). Salinity and temperature have both been found to be related to algal blooms, both harmful and non-harmful (Fricke et al., 2018; Lavaud et al., 2017).

Current water quality monitoring efforts typically use stationary monitoring points to determine environmental parameters at select locations around a body of water. Stationary water monitoring points ascertain temporal variation quite clearly, but are limited in their determination of spatial variation. Previous research has attempted to calculate spatial variation using a variety of analysis techniques and numerical models (Hajigholizadeh, 2017; Obade, 2018).

The proposed method of this project uses an autonomous surface vehicle (ASV) to provide spatially continuous field data. The ASV is a battery-powered kayak body with a central computer that controls an electric motor. It possesses hull-attached sensors that can measure salinity, temperature, dissolved oxygen, turbidity, colored dissolved organic matter (CDOM), and chlorophyll-a along a predetermined path. Depending on the path it follows, it can provide several different types of spatiotemporally continuous data.

2. Materials and Methods

Three different patterns were used for analysis with the ASV in Potter Pond (41.395°, -71.538°), a salt pond in Rhode Island (Figure 1). The first was a grid based pattern in upper Potter Pond typically used for bathymetric surveys. The second was a transect between the upper and lower pond, passing by the access point to the adjacent pond. The third and final pattern was a repeated loop around an oyster farm in the lower pond. Additionally, pressure loggers and tilt meters were placed around the oyster farm to determine water depth and current speed at different locations.

Figure 1 - The three types of surveys done on Potter Pond

2.1 Grids

The first analysis pattern used was a grid in the upper pond (Figure 2). The grid was composed of transects crossing the pond several meters apart. The entire process took approximately 2.5 hours, and was ended shortly before the end of the planned mission due to batteries dying.

Figure 2 - The grid pattern used in Upper Potter Pond

2.2 Transects

The second analysis pattern involved transects between the upper and lower pond (Figure 1), each time passing by the only connector between the salt pond and Pt Judith Pond. Transects were performed when traveling to or from the lower pond in order to conduct research around the oyster farm.

Figure 3 - Several of the loops performed around the oyster farm in the lower pond

2.3 Loops

The final analysis pattern was a repeated loop around an oyster farm in the lower pond (Figure 3). Each loop took approximately 10-15 minutes and was repeated for 2-3 hours. Loops were started at low tide and ran until around high tide in the pond. Two days of data were collected,

but compass and GPS malfunctions did affect the performance of the vehicle, resulting in poor line following control.

3. Results

3.1 Grid

At the time of the survey, there was a 2.5°C difference throughout the pond, with a warmer section around the center of the pond and to the north (Figure 4). There was a much larger variance in salinity, with a change of approximately 6 psu. The highest salinity was near the center, in the same area that had the higher temperature, with a much lower salinity near the southwest corner of the pond (Figure 5). This low salinity can be attributed to input from Fresh Pond. Dissolved oxygen varied by as much as 120 micromoles/L and was also highest in the central patch, where the water was warmer and more saline (Figure 6).

Figure 4 - Temperature in the upper pond using the grid survey

Figure 5 - Salinity in the upper pond using a grid survey

Figure 6 - Dissolved oxygen in the upper pond using a grid survey

3.2 Transect

The transects had large amounts of temporal and spatial variation. Temperature ranged from 20.5 to 26.5°C, with the coldest point around (0,0) in each transect (Figure 7). Salinity ranged from 27 to 31.5 psu. The points of highest salinity were at the same locations as the lower temperatures (Figure 8), suggesting this water recently came from the inlet to Pt Judith Pond. Dissolved oxygen ranged from 190 to 310 micromoles/L. It was consistently high at the same locations as the temperature minima and salinity maxima. There were also areas with high DO that had no apparent relation to the temperature or salinity (Figure 9). There was a noticeable variation among transects, with some having much less variance, higher minima, and lower maxima (Figure 7; Figure 8; Figure 9).

3.3 Loops

The temperature in the loop around the farm started with very little variance, with a difference of around 1°C around the entire farm (Figure 10). As high tide was approaching, the western edge warmed up considerably, then the increase in temperature extended up the north and south sides of the loop. At the same time, the northernmost edge cooled rapidly, resulting in a 3°C difference around the farm. At the beginning of the loop measurements, salinity varied only by 1 psu around the farm (Figure 11). As the tide flowed in, the salinity in the eastern corner decreased, while the northern edge increased, in the same section that experienced a dip in temperature. DO experienced very large amounts of spatial and temporal change during the tidal cycle (Figure 12). At the beginning, there was only 210-310 micromoles/L around the entire farm. As the tide came in, DO increased at the northern edges and the increase began to travel down to the southwest edge, reaching 450 micromoles/L in the northeast side of the farm.

Figure 7 - Temperature of the transect at 5 different times

Figure 8 - Salinity on the transect at 5 different times

Figure 9 - Dissolved oxygen on the transect at 5 different times

Figure 10 – The temperature around the farm using a loop survey

Figure 11 – The salinity around the farm using a loop survey

Figure 12 – The dissolved oxygen around the farm using a loop survey

4. Discussion

The observed large decrease in salinity at the southwest corner of upper pond is due to inputs from Fresh Pond, a freshwater source with an access point in that part of the pond.

Each transect has a section near (0,0) that is colder and more saline than the rest. This point is where water from Point Judith pond, a larger salt pond that connects to the ocean, and the only access point for Potter Pond. The water that flows in from Point Judith creates collections of water that are higher salinity and lower temperature. There were two very distinct temperature and salinity patterns. Transects 1, 2, and 4 are much more spatially variable, with much colder, saltier water at the access point than anywhere else on the pond. Transects 3 and 5 are less variable and although they do have the colder ocean water near the access point, temperature and salinity are much more consistent. This is likely related to high and low tide. At high tide, the water from Point Judith flows into the pond, creating a cold salinity front. At low tide, it all flows out, causing the warmer, fresher water from afar in the pond to cover that area. The DO plots suggest that there are areas with much higher productivity than others, leading to highly oxygenated water fronts traveling around the farm. There is no apparent cause for this to occur. The loops show clear spatial variation around the farm throughout a tidal cycle. As the tide rises, temperatures in the southwest corner rise, while the northern edge cools. Water in the southwest corner flows northeast, causing the warmer water deeper in the pond to travel towards the center of the farm. The cooling on the northern sides are likely caused by the cold saline front that forms as the tide rises seen in the transects. The water around the farm is very saline and doesn't change drastically. There is a salinity increase in the north, which is likely related to the cold saline front from Point Judith pond. The dissolved oxygen around the farm is very low at low tide. As the tide rises, the northeastern edge rapidly increases, likely due to incoming water from Pt Judith Pond. This water is also more productive than anywhere else in the pond, with much higher numbers than upper pond or the section that connects the two ponds.

References

- Brownlee, E., Sellner, S., & Sellner, K. (2005). *Prorocentrum minimum* blooms: Potential impacts on dissolved oxygen and Chesapeake Bay oyster settlement and growth. *Harmful Algae*, 4(3), 593-602. doi:10.1016/j.hal.2004.08.009
- Casas, S., Filgueira, R., Lavaud, R., Comeau, L., Peyre, M. L., & Peyre, J. L. (2018). Combined effects of temperature and salinity on the physiology of two geographically-distant eastern oyster populations. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, 506, 82-90. doi:10.1016/j.jembe.2018.06.001
- Delisle, L., Petton, B., Burguin, J. F., Morga, B., Corporeau, C., & Pernet, F. (2018). Temperature modulate disease susceptibility of the Pacific oyster *Crassostrea gigas* and virulence of the Ostreid herpesvirus type 1. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology*, 80, 71-79. doi:10.1016/j.fsi.2018.05.056
- Fricke, A., Pey, A., Gianni, F., Lemée, R., & Mangialajo, L. (2018). Multiple stressors and benthic harmful algal blooms (BHABs): Potential effects of temperature rise and nutrient enrichment. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 131, 552-564. doi:10.1016/j.marpolbul.2018.04.012
- Lavaud, R., Peyre, M. K., Casas, S. M., Bacher, C., & Peyre, J. F. (2017). Integrating the effects of salinity on the physiology of the eastern oyster, *Crassostrea virginica*, in the northern Gulf of Mexico through a Dynamic Energy Budget model. *Ecological Modelling*, 363, 221-233. doi:10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2017.09.003

Leonhardt, J. M., Casas, S., Supan, J. E., & Peyre, J. F. (2017). Stock assessment for eastern oyster seed production and field grow-out in Louisiana. *Aquaculture*, 466, 9-19.
doi:10.1016/j.aquaculture.2016.09.034

Comparing genotypic sequences and morphological data in putatively cryptic *Alviniconcha* species from hydrothermal vents in the Lau Basin, Tonga

Deborah Leopo^{1,2} and Roxanne Beinart¹

¹ Graduate School of Oceanography, University of Rhode Island, Narragansett RI

² Department of Marine Biology, University of California Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz CA

Abstract

The genus *Alviniconcha* represents a group of large symbiotic snail species found at deep-sea hydrothermal vents in the Western and Indian Oceans. Past studies on external morphology and the mitochondrial cytochrome *c* oxidase subunit 1 (mtCO1) gene have suggested that *Alviniconcha* species are cryptic and belong to six distinct evolutionary lineages; yet morphological differences have been detected in three described species: *A. boucheti*, *A. kojimai* and *A. strummeri*. In particular, there are noticeable differences in the species' epidermal coloration and in the distribution of spines found on the periostracum. In this study, we sequenced the mtCO1 gene and estimated the evolutionary relationships using RAxML in *Alviniconcha* species from three vent localities in the Lau Basin to assess if morphological differences corresponded to previously described species. Sequence analysis of the mtCO1 gene suggest that morphological differences are present in *A. boucheti*, *A. strummeri* and *A. kojimai* from vent sites Tow Cam, ABE and Tui Malila. Furthermore, phylogenetic analysis yielded six clusters of *Alviniconcha* species; three of them corresponding to morphological differences in epidermal coloration and periostracum spines in Lau Basin, Tonga.

Proposal to the National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship Program

Invertebrate-microbe symbiosis at hydrothermal vents

(The rest of this section intentionally left blank)

Comparison of sea level rise and storm surge modeling in three of the National Park Service's coastal parks to facilitate adaptation strategies

Robert Lewis^{1,2} and Amanda Babson³

¹ Graduate School of Oceanography, University of Rhode Island, Narragansett, RI

² Department of Civil Engineering, University of Puerto Rico-Mayagüez, Mayagüez, PR

³ National Park Service, Northeast Region, Narragansett, RI

Running head: Comparing combined storm surge and sea level rise projections

Key Points:

- SLOSH generated storm surge combined with sea level rise modeling differs based on methods used.
- Inundation extent projections differ slightly based on the method used to model future storm surges
- Inundation depth projections differ greatly based on the method used to model future storm surges

Key Index Words: Inundation extent, Inundation depth, SLOSH, Storm surge, Sea Level rise, National Park Service

Abstract

In order to plan for climate change, the National Park Service (NPS) supported a study to provide consistent sea level rise and storm surge projections of all coastal parks (referred to here as the Service Wide Study). After Hurricane Sandy, another study was conducted as part of storm recovery (referred to as the Sandy Study) for three affected parks using the same model, but with a different method to include sea level rise. Storm surge was calculated by both studies using NOAA's Sea, Lake and Overland Surges from Hurricanes (SLOSH) model. The implementation of SLOSH varied in each study. The Servicewide Study added calculated storm surge at current sea level with sea level rise projections added after, whereas the Sandy Study modeled storm surge at projected sea level, a method that is more computationally involved but more accurate. Differences in the inundation extents between the two studies ranged between 5-20%. The differences in inundation depths greater than 0.3 m (1ft) ranged from 55% to 99% of the area inundated. The goal of this research was to determine where the more involved study that models combined sea level rise and storm surge would be an improvement over the Servicewide study for other coastal parks. This research found that, for inundation extent, using the Servicewide Study method is sufficient, but if inundation depths are needed for adaptation planning, it may be advisable to model sea level rise plus storm surge, such as in the Sandy Study.

1. Introduction

Coastal parks are being affected by sea level rise (slr) and storm surge, and need sea level rise scenarios and storm surge maps to help plan adaptation strategies (Beavers et al., 2016). Of the 417 park units, approximately 25% of these units are on or close to the coastline (Caffrey et al., 2018). These parks protect biodiverse habitats such as salt marshes, estuaries, dunes, coastal forests, and beaches as well as cultural resources (e.g. historic monuments and archeological

sites). As sea levels rise, these habitats may experience flooding or more powerful storm surge. To best guide the protection strategies of these habitats, it is essential to have accurate climate change projections at hand.

The National Park Service (NPS) supported a study to provide consistent sea level rise and storm surge projections for all its coastal park units. This study, referred to here as the Servicewide Study, used the NOAA based SLOSH model (Sea, Lake, and Overland Storm from Hurricanes) to make sea level rise and storm surge projections. SLOSH is a program that estimates storm surge for a given area (Caffey et al., 2018). The SLOSH model offers two different products to calculate storm surge; Maximum Envelope of Water (MEOW) and Maximum of MEOWs (MOMs). The MEOW gives a worst-case possible projection of the storm surge produced by a given hurricane based on the parameters selected: slosh basin (area of landfall), category of hurricane, speed and direction upon landfall, and tide level. The MOM method consolidates all the MEOWs into one worst case scenario for each category of hurricane that a basin can experience (NOAA, 2018). The Servicewide Study used MOM produced projections for different categories of hurricanes based on the record of each park; the rule was to model one category higher than the highest hurricane on record in each park (Caffrey, et al. 2018).

After Hurricane Sandy hit the east coast of the United States, a second study was conducted, also using SLOSH, modeling sea level rise and storm surge projections in three of the parks most affected by Hurricane Sandy. These three parks were Gateway National Recreation Area (GATE), Assateague Island National Seashore (ASIS) and Fire Island National Seashore (FIIS). This study, referred to here as the Sandy Study, was led by the Environmental Data Center at the University of Rhode Island and Applied Science Associates (Bradley et al., 2018). The MOM method from the SLOSH model was also used to make their sea level rise and storm surge projections for all four categories of hurricanes in the three selected parks.

Both studies provided sea level projections combined with storm surge for GATE, ASIS, and FIIS. While these projections were calculated using the MOM method of the SLOSH model, the method in how each study modeled the combination of storm surge and sea level rise differed. The SLOSH program offers a default mode that enables the user to use pre-calculated MOM storm surge projections for current day sea levels. The Servicewide Study used these current day MOM storm surge values through default setting in the SLOSH model, without having to rerun the model which would have been computationally intensive to do for all coastal parks, and then added on the sea level rise projections after. The Sandy Study ran the SLOSH model on their future projections of sea level rise instead of using the default current sea level. This produced combined storm surge and sea level rise projections that would be expected to be more accurate in modeling how storm surge at future sea levels will act. The purpose of this research was to investigate where it would be necessary to employ this more computationally involved method and to evaluate how much of a difference is made by using one method of another.

Two other differences between the Servicewide Study and the Sandy Study were also taken into consideration to ensure that the sources of the differences found between both studies would be identified correctly. The digital elevation models (DEMs) used by each study were different in all three parks; the Sandy Study used the 2014 National Geodetic Survey Lidar data for all three parks with the addition of the 2014 USGS New York CMGP (Coastal and Marine Geology Program) for Staten Island in GATE (Bradely et al., 2018). The digital elevations used by the Servicewide study were:

NY_OKX1_GCS_5m_NAVDm_2011 & NJDEPA_PHI_GCS_10m_NAVDm_2011 for GATE and FIIS, and VA_AKQ_EasternShore_GCS5m_NAVD88m_2011 and MD_PHI_AKQ_GCS_10m_NAVDm_dis_2011 for ASIS (Caffrey et al., 2015).

The Servicewide Study used DEMs from 2011 which were before Hurricane Sandy and the Sandy Study used ones from 2014 which were from after Hurricane Sandy. We can expect that the topography of each park has changed from 2011 to 2014, especially in the beach and dune areas. This introduces the possibility that some of the differences between the two studies' projections could have been caused by different land elevation values. Another difference was that the two studies used slightly different sea level rise projections. The sea level rise projections used by the Servicewide Study were based on the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) models downscaled through Regional Climate Models local to each park. These projections included four scenarios of carbon emissions ranging from the *business as usual* scenario to drastic global carbon reductions; the values of each scenario varied from each other within one or two centimeters of sea level rise. Using these sea level rise projections and the SLOSH generated storm surge values, the Servicewide Study issued four different sets of projections for the time horizons of 2030, 2050, and 2100 (Caffrey et al., 2018). The Sandy Study created two sets of projections of sea level rise for 2030 and 2050; a low and high projection varying by 15.2 cm (0.5 ft) (Bradley et al., 2016).

This research analyzed the differences of the sea level rise and storm surge projections by the two studies. This is done to assist the NPS decide when it is necessary to use the more computationally involved modeling method as used by the Sandy Study and how much of a difference it makes by using it. The goal of this research is to generalize findings so they can be used in future decision for the parks focused on and for coastal parks not included in the study.

2. Methods

2.1 Comparison of inundation depth

Since the Servicewide study did not provide projections for all categories of hurricanes in each park, we were limited to only comparing sea level rise and storm surge projections for a category 2 hurricane. The time horizon of 2050 was selected as it was performed by both studies and was where the differences between the available sea level rise projections were the smallest. The sea level rise projections used by the Servicewide Study ranged from 25 cm for the reduced carbon emission scenario to 27 cm corresponding to the *business as usual* scenario of carbon emission for GATE; 26 cm and 27 cm respectively for FIIS and 27 cm and 28 cm respectively for ASIS (Caffrey et al., 2018). The low and high carbon emission scenarios for sea level rise projections used by the Sandy Study were respectively 23 cm to 60 cm for both GATE and FIIS and 21 cm and 58 cm for ASIS (Bradley et al., 2016). In order to create the closest comparison possible, the lowest projection of sea level rise was taken for the Sandy Study and compared to the highest sea level rise scenario from the Servicewide study (this was the only projection available in the data). Despite matching both studies' sea level rise projections as closely as possible, there was still a slight difference; 4 cm in GATE and FIIS, and 7 cm in ASIS. All projections were done at mean high water for each park by both studies (Caffrey et al., 2018; Bradley et al., 2018). These conditions were selected to eliminate as many differences as possible to obtain the unbiased comparison.

The two comparisons that were decided upon were the projections of inundation extent and the inundation depth between both studies. Both comparisons consisted of two parts: 1) calculating the amount of agreement and differences between the sea level rise and storm surge projections

between the two studies and 2) analyzing in which geomorphic land type the differences were found in. In each comparison, it was calculated that the percentage of the differences between the two studies could have been caused by the differences of DEMs and the sea level rise differences in the sea level rise projections. All comparative analyses were performed in the program Arc.GIS.

The first comparison looked at the different projections of inundation extent or amount of flooding in each park. The first step taken was to divide each park based on different geographical units. The divisions created for FIIS are shown in figure 1. The units of each park and their respective areas are listed in Tables 1, 2, and 3.

Fig. 1. Geographical units of Fire Island National Seashore: Fire Island represented in yellow, the bay islands in fuchsia, and the mainland in turquoise.

Table 1. Geographical units of Gateway National Recreation Area with respective area.

	Sandy Hook (m ²)	Staten Island (m ²)	Breezy Point (Jamaica Bay) (m ²)	Mainland (Jamaica Bay) (m ²)	Bay Islands (Jamaica Bay) (m ²)
Gateway National Recreation	8,348,337.99	4,990,780.65	6,566,814.75	10,389,253.80	7,960,286.23

Jamaica Bay unit was divided into three subunits as each part was detached from the others geographically. We expected that this difference in location and land type could affect how each storm surge was projected in each area.

Table 2. Geographical units of Fire Island National Seashore with respective area.

	Fire Island (m ²)	Bay Islands (m ²)	Mainland (m ²)
Fire Island National Seashore	16,492,141.58	16,492,141.58	2,373,371.74

The Fire island unit consisted of the barrier island of the FIIS park limits. The bay islands were grouped separately in the Bay islands division. The mainland unit represent the Floyd estate and the headquarters and ferry terminals in Patchogue.

Table 3. Geographical units of Assateague Island National Seashore with respective area.

	South Barrier Island (m ²)	North Barrier Island (m ²)	South Bay Islands (m ²)	North Bay Islands (m ²)
Assateague National Seashore	34,516,338.77	28,942,189.63	2,926,452.20	5,975,113.41

Assateague National Seashore sits on the state boundary of Virginia and Maryland. The projections were divided into south and north components by the Servicewide Study (Caffrey et al., 2018). We kept this division, and further divided each side into Barrier Island and Bay Islands.

The next step in calculating the differences in the extent of inundation was to perform an intersect of both inundation files for each park unit. An intersect is an ArcGis tool that calculates the amount of agreement between two given layers; this is the amount of land that both studies projected would be inundated. The 2050 category 2 hurricane storm surge projections of the Servicewide Study were chosen to be one layer and the other layer to be the respective match in the Sandy Study. After calculating the amount of agreement for each geographical division, an intersect was calculated for each park as a whole to create an average value.

What was not included in the intersect of the two inundation extent projections were considered the differences between the projections of the two studies. These differences occurred when one study projected more inundation than the other. The differences between the two studies were calculated by performing a symmetrical difference of the Sandy Study and the Servicewide Study. A symmetrical difference is a tool in ArcGIS that subtracts one layer from another. Whatever one study projected differently from the other was shown in the product of this test. These differences were then separated based on which study had caused them. This was done by performing another symmetrical difference between the intersect of the two projections and the individual projections of each study; subtracting what was in common between both studies by each study's individual projections.

The NPS provides geomorphic resource inventories for GATE, FIIS, and ASIS. For GATE and FIIS, the Geomorphological-GIS Map of the Gateway National Recreation Area was adopted (Psuty et al., 2015; NPS 2016). For ASIS, this data was provided in the Digital Geomorphic Map of Assateague Island National Seashore, Maryland and Virginia from the NPS Geologic Resources Program (Psuty et al., 2018; NPS, 2009). Each park was divided into different geomorphic categories using these inventories: beach, dunes, wetlands, developed land, and elevated surfaces. Table 4 lists these categories for each geomorphic feature. The percentage of the inundation extent differences found in each geomorphic land types was then calculated.

The DEMs used by the Sandy Study were subtracted by the DEMs used by the Servicewide Study. The means of these differences as well as the standard deviation were evaluated to understand the difference between the DEMs.

2.2 Comparison of Inundation Depth

The second comparison looked at the different values for the depth of inundation projected for each park. Depth projections were formatted as raster files whereas inundation extent projections were two-dimensional polygon shapes. Raster files represent three dimensional values through two-dimensional pixel with different gradients of color to measure its magnitude. This difference

Table 4. Categories of geomorphic features.

Beach	Dunes	Wetland	Developed lands	Elevated Surfaces
Beach	Major abandoned foredune	Wetland	Artificial planar surface	Terminal moraine bluffs
Washover zone/Sand flat	Minor abandoned foredune	Ponds	Jetty/groin	Inter-ridge swale
Active foredune	Foredune	Interior water bodies	Bulkhead	Elevated surface/ridge
	Minor abandoned foredune		Pier/Dock	Cliff/Bluff/Scarp
	Back dune slope		Pier/Dock/Board walk	
	Sand flat		Seawall	
	Outwash plain

Fig. 2. Geomorphic land types in the Ocean Side division of FIIS

in format required different techniques to measure the inundation depth projection differences from those used in the first comparison. The differences between the depth projections were measured by comparing them against two thresholds. The first threshold was the 4 to 7 cm differences taken from the difference between the different sea level rise projections used. The other threshold was 30.5 cm (1 foot) to measure the magnitude of the differences between the two depth projections in each park. To do this, the Sandy Study's inundation depth projections were subtracted by the inundation depth projections of the Servicewide Study. The ArcGIS tool used to subtract these two raster layers was the raster calculator which is a tool that allows simple mathematical operations to be performed on raster files. This raster calculator operation

produced a new three-dimensional layer where depth differences caused by the Sandy Study projected deeper values were represented as positive and similarly the differences caused by the Servicewide Study were represented as negative. An absolute value greater than or equal to the 4 to 7 cm differences were taken to see how much of the differences between the depths of inundation could have been caused by the difference in the sea level rise projections. Likewise, this was done for the second threshold of 30.5 cm. The value obtained from each of the executed absolute value operations was used to calculate the percentage of difference between the inundation depths of the two studies. This was done for both thresholds in each geographical division of all three parks. A park average for both thresholds was also calculated. Just as was done in the first comparison, we mapped out where these differences fell in each geomorphic land type. The percentages of the depth differences were then calculated for each geomorphic land type.

Inundation depth differences were double checked with the differences between the DEMs used by each study. The means and the standard deviations of the inundation depth differences were compared with those of the difference between the DEMs. DEM difference was calculated with the raster calculator the same way it was done for the inundation depth differences.

3. Results

3.1 Comparison of Inundation Extent

Storm surge at higher sea levels would hypothetically travel further in land than storm surge modeled at current day sea level. The inundation extent projections for FIIS are shown in fig 3 for the Sandy Study. In addition, it was theorized that areas exposed to a higher amount of storm surge would experience greater differences in inundation extent projections between both studies. This is seen in FIIS (table 5) and ASIS (table 6) where the largest variance between the two studies' extent of inundation projections occurred in the ocean side divisions. However, there is not as strong of a correlation in GATE (table 8). Furthermore, Staten Island, Sandy Hook, and Breezy Point have the largest contrast between the extents of inundation projections compared to the other divisions.

Fig. 3. Inundation extent projections (yellow) from the Sandy Study for FIIS

Table 5. Percent of inundation projected in each geographic unit in FIIS by each study

FIIS	Percent of inundation projected by Sandy Study	Percent of inundation projected by Servicewide Study
Fire Island	87.38%	84.40%
Bay Islands	94.58%	94.60%
Mainland	80.20%	78.83%

Table 6. Percent of inundation projected in each geographic unit in ASIS by each study

ASIS	Percent of inundation projected by Sandy Study	Percent of inundation projected by Servicewide Study
Barrier Island South	96.33%	96.56%
Barrier Island North	95.21%	97.35%
Bay Islands South	100%	100%
Bay Islands North	99.99%	99.66%

Table 7. Percent of inundation extent projected in each geographic unit in GATE by each study

GATE	Percent of inundation projected by Sandy Study	Percent of inundation projected by Servicewide Study
Breezy Point -Jamaica Bay	97.75%	99.25%
Bay Islands -Jamaica Bay	97.84%	99.38%
Mainland -Jamaica Bay	85.88%	87.76%
Staten Island	73.55%	77.19%
Sandy Hook	95.85%	98.80%

3.2 Agreement and differences between inundation extent projections.

In the comparison of inundation extent, the two studies agreed with each other over 80% in each park (figure 4 for FIIS). FIIS had the lowest park average for inundation extent agreement, 83.36% whereas ASIS saw an agreement of 96% for inundation extent between studies (Table 8). We theorize this difference could have been caused in part by ASIS having been less hit directly by hurricane Sandy whereas GATE and FIIS received more of the storm. The topography in GATE and FIIS would have changed more due to this event compared to the changes in the topography of ASIS. The use of different DEMs could be the source of this difference between parks and led to lower levels of agreement for FIIS and GATE.

Fig. 4. Agreement (pink) and difference (yellow) of inundation extent between both studies at a breach at Fire Island National Seashore.

Table 8. Park averages of inundation extent agreement

Park	Agreement %
GATE	90.95%
FIIS	83.36%
ASIS	96%

Focusing on the individual geographical divisions in each park, it was found that the oceanside units generally showed less inundation extent agreement between both studies when compared to the bayside units and mainland units (fig 5). This correlation is easily seen in FIIS and ASIS and less present in GATE. FIIS and ASIS, both parks situated on barrier islands, were into oceanside, bayside, and mainland. However, GATE consists of different geographical features (fig 5). The distinct geographical features of GATE complicate the comparison between the other two barrier island parks. The agreement of inundation extent for each geographical division in GATE, FIIS, and ASIS are shown in Tables 9, 10, and 11, respectively.

These differences were split up and attributed to the study that had projected them differently from the other study. The split differences represented how much each study deviated from the amount of agreement in inundation extent between the two studies. It was found that the studies that projected more inundation produced the majority of differences between the two studies.

Figure 6 represents these differences in one of the breaches caused by Hurricane Sandy in FIIS. Shaded in pink is the inundation extent agreement between both studies, in yellow the differences generated by the Sandy Study projecting more inundation, and in red the inundation extent differences of the Servicewide Study. Percentages values for these split differences for GATE, FIIS, and ASIS are shown in tables 12, 13, and 14 respectively. The two places that represented exceptions to this finding were the bay island and mainland divisions in FIIS.

Fig. 5. Agreement in inundation extent in Fire Island division (yellow) and Bay Island division (orange) in Fire Island National Seashore

Table 9. Percentage of inundation extent agreement in each geographical unit for GATE.

GATE	Agreement in each geographical unit
Breezy Point -Jamaica Bay	97.75%
Bay Islands -Jamaica Bay	97.84%
Mainland -Jamaica Bay	85.88%

Table 10. Percentage of inundation extent agreement in each geographical unit for FIIS.

FIIS	Agreement in each geographical unit
Oceanside	82.69%
Bayside	94.58%
Mainland	78.73%
Averaged Total	83.36%

Table 11. Percentage of inundation extent agreement in each geographical unit for ASIS.

ASIS	Agreement in each geographical unit
Barrier Island South	95.96%
Barrier Island North	93.95%
Bay Islands South	100%
Bay Islands North	99%

Fig. 6. Agreement of Inundation extent in yellow, Sandy Study Inundation extent differences in red and the Servicewide Study inundation extent differences in purple at FIIS

Table 12. Percent differences of inundation extent split between both studies at GATE

GATE	Percent difference where Sandy Study projections covered more area.	Percent difference where Servicewide Study projections covered more area
Breezy Point -Jamaica Bay	0.19%	99.81%
Bay Islands -Jamaica Bay	Data not available	Data not available
Mainland -Jamaica Bay	0.23%	99.27%
Staten Island	0.59%	99.41%
Sandy Hook	3.11%	96.89%

Table 13. Percent differences of inundation extent split between both studies at FIIS

FIIS	Percent difference where Sandy Study projections covered more area.	Percent difference where Servicewide Study projections covered more area
Oceanside	73.25%	26.75%
Bayside	93.50%	6.50%
Mainland	8.65%	91.35%

Table 14. Percent differences of inundation extent split between both studies at ASIS.

ASIS	Percent difference where Sandy Study projections covered more area.	Percent difference where Servicewide Study projections covered more area
Barrier Island South	38.13%	61.87%
Barrier Island North	26.95%	73.05%
Bay Islands South	0*	0*
Bay Islands North	98.01%	1.99%

*No inundation extent differences were found for the Southern Bayside division.

3.3 Inundation extent differences by geomorphic land type

The percentages of inundation extent differences in each geomorphic land type were calculated. There was no correlation between differences of inundation extent and geomorphic land type that could be made for all three parks. In ASIS the majority of the differences happened in the Dune and Elevated surfaces and ridges land type. The geomorphic land type data available for FIIS only mapped the barrier island part of the Park. For this reason, percentages were only evaluated for the oceanside division of the park, which showed that most of the inundation extent differences occurred in the beach land type. Sandy Hook in GATE showed the most of inundation extent differences in the dunes land type, whereas for Staten Island and Jamaica Bay, most of the differences were found in developed and elevated surface land types. The distinct features of each park make drawing a correlation between geomorphic land type and inundation extent differences across all parks impossible. Fig 7 shows the differences of inundation extent in each geomorphic land type. The only observed trend was that the wetland areas for all three parks had minimal to no differences of inundation extent because the wetlands in both were almost entirely inundated. The percentages of inundation extent in each geomorphic land type for GATE, FIIS, and ASIS are shown in tables 15, 16, and 17 respectively.

Fig. 7. Inundation extent differences (red) divided in the geomorphic land types at one of the breaches in Fire Island National Seashore. Beach represented in yellow, Dunes in green, Developed lands in orange, Elevated surfaces and ridges in brown, and Wetlands in blue.

Table 15. Inundation extent differences in the geomorphic land type in GATE

GATE	Beaches land type	Dunes land type	Developed land type	Wetland land type	Elevated surfaces and ridges land type
Jamaica Bay	0.02%	11.67%	42.28%	0.0%	48.03%
Staten Island	0.03%	0.10%	47.39%	0.0%	48.50%
Sandy Hook	2.01%	66.98%	18.06%	0.0%	12.95%

Table 16. Inundation extent differences in the geomorphic land type in FIIS

FIIS	Beaches land type	Dunes land type	Developed land type	Wetland land type	Elevated surfaces and ridges land type
Fire Island (barrier island only)	74.30%	19.81%	3.76%	0.0%	2.09%

3.4 Differences between the Digital Elevation Models used

Digital elevation models used in each study were subtracted from one another (fig 8). The average calculated differences show that for GATE and FIIS, these differences were less than 2 cm (table 18). The park average for ASIS is skewed by a high elevation differences that was observed in the South Bay Islands unit (table 26). This small difference in inundation extent suggests inundation extent differences were mostly not in part due to the DEM differences. The mean DEM differences for each park unit are discussed in more details in the second comparison of inundation depth.

Table 17. Inundation extent differences in the geomorphic land type in ASIS

ASIS	Beaches land type	Dunes land type	Developed land type	Wetland land type	Elevated surfaces and ridges land type
Barrier Island South	6.82%	58.07%	0.01%	1.55%	32.87%
Barrier Island North	22.27%	55.65%	4.62%	0.77%	15.68%
Bay Islands South	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100%	0.0%
Bay Islands North	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100%	0.0%

Fig. 8. Differences between DEMs used by each Study in FIIS. Blue represents greater elevation heights of the DEM used by the Sandy Study to the DEM used by the Servicewide Study (similarly represented by red).

Table 18. Average mean difference between DEMs used in each park.

Park	DEM differences (cm)
GATE	1.78
FIIS	1.68
ASIS	26.99*

*skewed result by the DEM of the south bay island unit of ASIS

3.5 Limitations and future work

Future analysis would be to duplicate this comparison for the 2050 category 1 and 2050 category 3 hurricane in each park to explore if these findings are similar for different hurricane strengths.

These would also be done with the 2100 sea level rise scenarios for all category hurricanes to see how a larger amount of sea level rise affects differences in the two modeling techniques. To expand on the analysis of the geomorphic land type, the percentage of split inundation extent differences as shown in tables 8-10 should be calculated for each geomorphic land type. A more extensive analysis upon the magnitude of the differences between the DEM models used would help better understand the possible effect on the inundation extent differences. To directly compare the depth differences of the DEMs would require ArcGIS tools for raster files that were beyond the scope of this study.

4. Comparison of Inundation Depth

4.1 Differences between inundation depth projections

It was found that there were more differences between inundation depth projections between the two studies than agreements. Figure 9 shows the magnitude of the absolute value differences of inundation depths between the two studies in FIIS at the threshold of 4 cm. At the sea level rise difference threshold (4 cm for FIIS and GATE, and 7 cm for ASIS), 95% of the depth differences in each park were greater than the sea level rise difference. This means that 95% of the depth differences between the two studies in each park could not solely have been caused by the difference in sea level rise projections used. The second threshold of 30.5 cm revealed that over 65% of the depth differences in each park were greater than 30.5cm between each study. FIIS experiences the most inundation depth differences as shown by both thresholds measurements. Averaged inundation depth differences for each park are listed in table 19 for the sea level rise projection threshold and in table 20 for the 30.5 cm threshold.

Fig. 9. Inundation depth differences for FIIS. Different gradients of blue represent areas where the Sandy Study projected deeper inundation, and the red areas represent where the Service Wide Study projected deeper inundation.

Table 19. Park averages of inundation depth differences at sea level rise projection threshold

Parks	Inundation depth differences
GATE	95.65%
FIIS	96.79%
ASIS	92.76%

Table 20. Park averages of inundation depth differences at 30.5cm threshold

Parks	Inundation depth differences
GATE	66.11%
FIIS	74.83%
ASIS	71.64%

Inundation depth differences slightly varied from the park average in each geographical unit. It was observed that most of the bayside units experienced greater differences in inundation depths than the oceanside units. The Staten Island unit in GATE, the bayside unit in FIIS, and the northern bay islands unit in ASIS showed the highest inundation depth differences in all three parks. The exception to this trend were the bay islands unit in Jamaica Bay which showed the lowest inundation depth differences for all three parks at the 30.5 cm threshold (fig.10). Inundation depth differences for geographical units for GATE, FIIS, and ASIS are show in tables 21, 22, and 23.

Fig.10. Inundation depth differences for Jamaica Bay at the 30.5 cm threshold. Most extreme differences seen in the Breezy Point unit

Table 21. Depth projection differences greater than sea level rise projection threshold and the 30.5 cm threshold.

	Depth projection differences greater than 4 cm	Depth projections differences greater than 30.5 cm
Breezy Point -Jamaica Bay	95.64%	70.02%
Bay Islands -Jamaica Bay	94.41%	54.75%
Mainland -Jamaica Bay	95.12%	64.14%
Staten Island	98.81%	91.00%
Sandy Hook	95.60%	61.43%

Table 22. Percent of inundation depth difference projected in each geographic unit in FIIS

FIIS	Depth projection differences greater than 4 cm	Depth projections differences greater than 30.5 cm
Fire Island	96.79%	73.96%
Bay Islands	97.20%	80.80%
Mainland	96.49%	75.98%

Table 23. Percent of Inundation projected in each geographic unit in ASIS

ASIS	Depth projection differences greater than 7 cm	Depth projections differences greater than 30.5 cm
Barrier Island South	90.61%	64.92%
Barrier Island North	93.72%	72.91%
Bay Islands South	93.92%	81.81%
Bay Islands North	99.93%	99.35%

Means, standard deviations and maximum values were calculated for the depth differences in each park unit to measure the distribution of the depth differences (fig. 11). It was observed that in FIIS and ASIS, the projections of inundation depth were slightly deeper for the Sandy Study projections (tables 20 and 21); this is shown by the positive mean values of the difference of inundation depth. For GATE, the Servicewide projected inundation depths were slightly deeper than in the Sandy Study.

Fig. 11 Statistics from the inundation depths differences for the Fire Island unit of FIIS.

Table 24. Measurements of inundation depth differences in GATE. Depth differences where the Sandy Study projected deeper values are represented as positive and where the Servicewide Study projected deeper values are represented as negative.

GATE	Difference of inundation depth mean (m)	Difference of inundation depth standard deviation (m)	Difference of inundation depth maximum (m)
Breezy Point -Jamaica Bay	-0.63	1.03	2.78
Bay Islands -Jamaica Bay	-0.02	0.58	3.15
Mainland -Jamaica Bay	-0.10	0.84	5.34
Staten Island	-1.12	1.18	3.27
Sandy Hook	-0.33	0.64	5.34

Table 25. Measurements of inundation depth differences in FIIS. Depth differences where the Sandy Study projected deeper values are represented as positive and where the Servicewide Study projected deeper values are represented as negative. Data for maximum values of the differences of inundation depths were not accessible.

FIIS	Difference of inundation depth mean (m)	Difference of inundation depth standard deviation (m)	Difference of inundation depth maximum (m)
Fire Island	0.44	0.76	n/a
Bay Islands	0.61	0.41	n/a
Mainland	0.56	0.44	n/a

Table 26. Measurements of inundation depth differences in ASIS. Depth differences where the Sandy Study projected deeper values are represented as positive and where the Servicewide Study projected deeper values are represented as negative.

ASIS	Difference of inundation depth mean (m)	Difference of inundation depth standard deviation (m)	Difference of inundation depth maximum (m)
Barrier Island South	0.36	0.63	3.80
Barrier Island North	0.38	0.58	3.14
Bay Islands South	0.83	0.48	2.82
Bay Islands North	1.05	0.34	3.08

4.2 Inundation extent differences in each geomorphic land type

The percentages of inundation depth differences in each geomorphic land type were calculated. Inundation depth differences for each geomorphic land type for FIIS is depicted in Figure 12. The percentages of inundation depth differences in each geomorphic land type for GATE, FIIS, and ASIS are shown in tables 27, 28, and 29, respectively

Fig. 12. Inundation depth differences in each geomorphic land type at the breach in Fire Island National Seashore. Land types are represented accordingly: beach (yellow), dunes (green), developed (orange), wetland (blue), and elevated surfaces and ridges (brown). Areas not mapped are left uncolored.

Table 27. Extent of inundation depth differences in the geomorphic land type in GATE

GATE	Beaches land type	Dunes land type	Developed land type	Wetland land type	Elevated surface and ridges land type	Not mapped
Jamaica Bay	6.35%	3.71%	55.77%	22.53%	6.70%	4.94%
Staten Island	18.35%	4.44%	62.03%	5.01%	8.15%	2.02%
Sandy Hook	18.02%	32.63%	18.56%	6.41%	21.65%	2.73%

Table 28. Extent of inundation depth differences in the geomorphic land type in FIIS

FIIS	Beaches land type	Dunes land type	Developed land type	Wetland land type	Elevated surface and ridges land type	Not mapped
Fire Island (barrier island only)	27.54%	30.01%	10.51%	20.22%	10.24%	1.48%

4.3 Difference between digital elevation models

The means of the differences of the DEMs were calculated and then compared with the means and standard deviations calculated from the inundation depth differences. In GATE and FIIS the means of the DEM differences were significantly smaller than those of the inundation depth differences. This suggest that the grand majority of the inundation depth differences were not caused by the DEM differences. The high means of the DEM differences for the south part of ASIS could be due to the dynamic coastal process of Tom's cove (the southernmost part of ASIS). Mean values for DEM differences and inundation depth differences shown for GATE, FIIS, and ASIS in tables 30, 31, and 32 respectively.

Table 29. Extent of inundation depth differences in the geomorphic land type in ASIS

ASIS	Beaches land type	Dunes land type	Developed land type	Wetland land type	Elevated surface and ridges land type	Not mapped
Barrier Island South	8.43%	3.74%	8.83%	23.71%	42.20%	13.09%
Barrier Island North	20.34%	6.17%	2.87%	37.01%	29.01%	4.6%
Bay Islands South	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%
Bay Islands North	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%

Table 30. The means of the DEM differences and the inundation depth differences from the 4 cm threshold in GATE

GATE	Mean of the differences between the DEMs (m)	Mean of the inundation depth differences (m)
Jamaica Bay	0.75	0.01
Staten Island	1.34	0.02
Sandy Hook	0.96	0.02

Table. 31. The means of the DEM differences and the inundation depth differences from the 4 cm threshold in FIIS.

FIIS	Mean of the differences between the DEMs (m)	Mean of the inundation depth differences (m)
Fire Island	0.02	0.44
Bay Islands	0.01	0.61
Mainland	0.0	0.56

Table 32. The means of the DEM differences and the inundation depth differences from the 7 cm threshold in ASIS.

ASIS	Mean of the differences between the DEMs (m)	Mean of the inundation depth differences (m)
Barrier Island South	0.37	0.36
Barrier Island North	0.0	0.38
Bay Islands South	2.27	0.83
Bay Islands North	0.02	1.05

4.4 Shortcomings and future work

Additional extensions for the comparison of the inundation depth projections would be to separate the absolute value differences for each threshold. Absolute values can be split into two positive and negative boundaries. The positive boundaries would only measure the inundation depth differences that occurred when the Sandy Study projected deeper inundation values than the Servicewide Study. The negative boundary would represent those values for the Servicewide study. These split inundation depth differences would be mapped in each geomorphic unit to see if they map out equally or differently.

5. Conclusions

The method of combining sea level rise with storm surge produces different projections from those of adding sea level rise to current day storm surge. When modeling inundation extent, this difference is small, and using the sea level rise plus current day storm surge method is sufficient for accurate modeling. However, when modeling inundation depth, it is advisable to model storm surge at future sea levels as was done by the Sandy Study.

Acknowledgments

Robert Lewis was supported by a Summer Undergraduate Research Fellowship in Oceanography (SURFO) at the Graduate School of Oceanography (GSO) of the University of Rhode Island. The grant was funded by the National Science Foundation REU grant #OCE-1757572. I would like to thank my advisor Dr. Amanda Babson for guidance in creating the methods of this research and giving insight upon the results of each comparison. Additionally, I would like to thank Mike Bradley, Maria Caffrey, and Rebecca Beavers for sharing their data with this research. Mike Bradley also assisted in ArcGIS instruction for several parts of the comparisons made. Lastly, I would like to thank Dr. L. Maranda, Dr. D. C. Smith, and Joseph Barnes who helped facilitate this research.

References

- Bradley M, A. Mandeville, URI/EDC; S. Stevens, NPS; N. Vinhateiro & L. McStay, RPS-ASA. 2018. Elevation Mapping of Critical Park Areas for Planning and Post- Future Storm Evaluation and Modeling – Assateague Island National Seashore
- Bradley M, A. Mandeville, URI/EDC; S. Stevens, NPS; N. Vinhateiro & L. McStay, RPS-ASA. 2018. Elevation Mapping of Critical Park Areas for Planning and Post- Future Storm Evaluation and Modeling – Fire Island National Seashore
- Bradley M, A. Mandeville, URI/EDC; S. Stevens, NPS; N. Vinhateiro & L. McStay, RPS-ASA. 2018. Elevation Mapping of Critical Park Areas for Planning and Post- Future Storm Evaluation and Modeling – Gateway National Recreation Area
- Caffrey M and Others. 2015. Estimated Extent of Coastal Flooding due to Sea Level Change for 118 U.S. National Parks. National Park Service and University of Colorado at Boulder. Colorado
- Caffrey M and Others. 2018. Estimated Extent of Coastal Flooding due to Sea Level Change for 118 U.S. National Parks. National Park Service and University of Colorado at Boulder. Colorado
- Psuty, N. P., I. Beal, W. Schmelz, and A. Spahn. 2018. Development of a geomorphological map of Assateague Island National Seashore: Principal characteristics and components. Natural Resource Report NPS/NRSS/GRD/NRR—2018/1592. National Park Service, Fort Collins, Colorado.

- Psuty, N. P., M. Patel, J. Freeman, W. Schmelz, W. Robertson, and A. Spahn. 2015. Development of the geomorphological map for Fire Island National Seashore: Principal characteristics and components. Natural Resource Report NPS/NRSS/GRD/NRR—2015/941. National Park Service, Fort Collins, Colorado.
- Psuty, N.P., Schmelz, W., Greenberg, J., and Spahn, A., 2015, Geomorphological Map for Gateway National Recreation Area (Post-Hurricane Sandy): Rutgers University, Institute of Marine and Coastal Sciences, unpublished digital data and map, scale 1:6,000. (GRI Source Map ID 76053) (post-Hurricane Sandy Geomorphologic Maps of Gateway National Recreation Area, New York and New Jersey).
2009. Digital Geomorphic Map of Assateague Island National Seashore, Maryland and Virginia (NPS, GRD, GRI, ASIS, ASIS digital map). NPS Geologic Resources Inventory Program. Lakewood, CO
2016. Unpublished Digital Post-Hurricane Sandy (2015) Geomorphological Map of Fire Island National Seashore and Vicinity, New York (NPS, GRD, GRI, FIIS, FIIS post-Hurricane Sandy digital map) adapted from a Rutgers University, Institute of Marine and Coastal Sciences map by Psuty, Schmelz, Greenberg, Beal and Spahn (2015). National Park Service (NPS) Geologic Resources Inventory (GRI) program
2016. Unpublished Digital Post-Hurricane Sandy (2015) Geomorphological-GIS Map of the Gateway National Recreation Area: Sandy Hook, Jamaica Bay and Staten Island Units, New Jersey and New York (NPS, GRD, GRI, GATE, GATE digital map) adapted from a Rutgers University Institute of Marine and Coastal Sciences unpublished digital data by Psuty, N.P., Schmelz, W., Greenberg, J. and Spahn A. (2015). NPS Geologic Resources Inventory Program

Assessing the Impact of a Local PFASs Source in Narragansett Bay

WeiYung Liu^{1,2}, Jitka Becanova², and Rainer Lohmann²

¹ Department of Chemistry, Earlham College, Richmond, Indiana

² Graduate School of Oceanography, University of Rhode Island, Narragansett, Rhode Island

Keywords: perfluoropolymer, PFAS, PFOA alternatives, sources, water

Abstract

Per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFASs) are bioaccumulative, potentially toxic chemicals widely produced and used in industrial and commercial products. Due to their chemical stability and water- and oil-repelling features, PFASs have been incorporated in various products globally for decades. PFASs are detected in all environmental compartments and humans, and exposure to PFASs has been correlated with immune dysfunction, developmental disorder, elevated cholesterol, endocrine disruption, and can be linked to cancers under high exposure. This study aimed to assess how a small scale manufacturer was affecting the PFAS concentrations in the environment (particularly in water) by comparing concentration up- and downstream of a local production plant in Narragansett, Rhode Island. A total of nine samples were collected directly from a stream that flows by the production plant (freshwater) and into Narragansett Bay (seawater). Samples were filtered, extracted using a solid-phase extraction technique and cleaned up using activated carbon. Thirty PFASs were separated and detected using liquid chromatography coupled to mass spectrometry (LC-MS). The highest PFAS concentrations detected across the sites were perfluoro-n-butanoic acid (PFBA, 102 ng L⁻¹) and perfluoro-n-octanoic acid (PFOA, 50 ng L⁻¹). We found elevated PFASs concentrations across sampling sites, and the elevation was attributed to the local production plant and other sources in the Narragansett area. However, more information on PFASs in air, soil, sediment, and drinking water sources in the area is needed to determine how significant the site of interest is to the area.

1. Introduction

Per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFASs) are “fluorinated substances” that contains the perfluoroalkyl moiety C_nF_{2n+1}⁻ (Buck et al., 2011). The chemical structure of the strong C-F bond made PFASs persistent to natural degradation and bioaccumulative in food webs; thus, these ubiquitous environmental contaminants have drawn much attention at the global scale (Conder et al., 2008; Castiglioni et al., 2015). The first usage of PFASs can be traced back to 1950 in industrial and commercial productions (Buck et al., 2011). Due to their chemical stability, surface tension lowering properties, and water and oil-repelling features, PFASs have been incorporated extensively in metal plating, textile coating, fire-fighting foams, petroleum, and coal product manufacturing (Prevedouros et al., 2006). Products found containing PFASs range from fire-fighting foam (AFFF) to consumer goods such as pizza box, paper, and carpet cleaner. PFASs are key ingredients in surfactants and polymers productions, and they have been produced and used all over the world, including in the US, for several decades. There are two main ways of manufacturing processes. Electrochemical fluorination (ECF), the most important process for manufacturing PFASs, rearranges carbon chains of raw organic material by

electrolysis; long chain (6-, 8-, 10-carbon) PFASs, such as perfluoro-n-octanoic acid (PFOA) and perfluoro-1-octanesulfonate PFOS, are produced with this technology (Buck et al., 2011). Telomerization is another technology to produce PFASs commercially to yield long perfluorinated chains C_mF_{2m+1} (CF_2CF_2) (Buck et al., 2011). PFOA is an essential “processing aid” in the manufacture of fluoropolymers. The global emission of total cumulative emission of PFOS-based products was estimated to be 3200-7300 tons between 1951-2002 and 820-7180 tons between 2003-2015 (Prevedouros et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2014). An estimated yearly registered production of 2,3,3,3-tetrafluoro-2-(1,1,2,2,3,3,3-heptafluoropropoxy) propanoic acid (GenX), a PFOA replacement chemical, was 10-100 tons in Europe in 2013. In China, it is estimated that the output of PH_xSF - or $PBSF$ -derivatives, ingredients of surfactants treating products, will exceed 1000 tons per year in 2015-2020 (Wang et al., 2013). Despite using traditional processing aid such as ammonium or sodium perfluorooctanoate, many producers developed their own alternatives, such as ADONA and GenX (Wang et al., 2013).

PFASs have been detected in animals, humans, and the environment. For example, in the Netherlands, PFOS level in sediments samples collected from the Rhine River system ranged from 0.5-8.7 ng g⁻¹ (Kwadijk, 2010). Additionally, in US surface water, concentrations of PFASs were reported to be 43-244 ng L⁻¹ between 2000 and 2009 (Zhang, 2016). In a study conducted in Rhode Island and New York area, the highest PFAS concentration found in Passaic River, NJ was 56 ng L⁻¹ of PFOA (Zhang, 2016). Other PFASs concentrations found in that area included 26 ng L⁻¹ of PFOS and 43 ng L⁻¹ of PFH_xS (Zhang, 2016). Besides industrial and manufacturing sites, airports and firefighting training areas with high usage of aqueous film forming foam (AFFF) are the most important sources of PFASs to the environment (Buck et al., 2011; Kwadijk 2014). For example, in Amsterdam (Netherlands), a concentration of up to 490 µg L⁻¹ of PFOA was detected in surface water and 14 µg kg⁻¹ in soil, downstream from a spill (Kwadijk, 2014). Water downstream from a fluorochemical production plant in the Netherlands had concentrations of PFCAs and PFSA ranging from 36-65 ng L⁻¹ (Gebbink, 2017). The GenX concentration detected at the first downstream sampling site was 13 times higher than the level of PFCA and PFSA together (Gebbink, 2017). PFAS levels decrease as water flows further downstream from a PFASs production plant.

As the PFASs are widely used and produced, they are detected in all environmental compartments, including in humans. Humans can be exposed to PFASs directly by drinking water and indirectly by consumer goods that are used every day. The indirect way is through the environment including air, water, and soil that have been contaminated by manufacturing waste. Food intake and drinking water are important PFASs exposure sources; some studies showed elevated PFOA level in serum (Vestergren and Cousins, 2009; Hoffman et al., 2011). PFASs were detected in 98% of human blood samples, and exposure to PFASs has been correlated to a variety of adverse health effects (Calafat et al., 2007). Particularly PFOA, listed in the Candidate List of Substance of Very High Concern by the European Chemicals Agency (ECHA), and PFOS both are persistent and accumulative in human bodies (Wang et al., 2013). Daily exposure can affect thyroid hormone, immune response, obesity, whereas high exposure can be linked to kidney cancer and testicular cancer (Grandjean et al., 2012; Vaughn et al., 2013; Lewis et al., 2015; Braun et al., 2016).

With the increasing concerns on the use of PFASs, many regulations have been proposed, though mostly on an advisory level. The European Union restricted the use of PFOS in products at a concentration above 0.0001 wt% with few exemptions (EU 757/2010). The drinking water regulation is neither established in the USA or Europe. The US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) issued a 70 parts per trillion advisory for PFOA and PFOS in 2016. The European Food Safety Authority recommended $0.15 \mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ body weight (bw) for PFOS and $1.5 \mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ bw for PFOA (EFSA, 2008). Since 2000, some of the manufacturers have begun to phase out the production of PFOS and PFOA, replacing long chains with short chains PFAS (Buck et al., 2011; Wang 2013). The EPA 2010/2015 Stewardship made an agreement with 8 major leading companies in PFASs industries to eliminate PFASs usage by 2015 (EPA).

With the increasing concerns on the use of PFASs, many regulations have been proposed, though mostly on an advisory level. The European Union restricted the use of PFOS in products at a concentration above 0.0001 wt% with few exemptions (EU 757/2010). The drinking water regulation is neither established in the USA or Europe. The US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) issued a 70 parts per trillion advisory for PFOA and PFOS in 2016. The European Food Safety Authority recommended $0.15 \mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ body weight (bw) for PFOS and $1.5 \mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ bw for PFOA (EFSA, 2008). Since 2000, some of the manufacturers have begun to phase out the production of PFOS and PFOA, replacing long chains with short chains PFAS (Buck et al., 2011; Wang 2013). The EPA 2010/2015 Stewardship made an agreement with 8 major leading companies in PFASs industries to eliminate PFASs usage by 2015 (EPA).

In many countries, the sources of PFASs in the environment have been investigated. However, due to the nature of funding and other reasons, data and studies are limited to only assessing bigger production companies, such as 3M. Hence, the effects of potential small sources of PFASs are still unclear. While it is easy to ignore the small contamination sources because of their size, it would be naive to overlook their combined effects since there are more small PFASs companies than big ones. Therefore, this research looks into whether adverse environmental effects can be attributed to small PFASs sources. Here we compare samples collected up- and downstream of a local fluoropolymer-using company. To assess the PFASs levels, in total, nine samples were collected directly from a stream that flows by the production plant (freshwater) and into Narragansett Bay (seawater). Samples were filtered, extracted using a solid-phase extraction (SPE) technique and cleaned up using activated carbon. Thirty PFASs, including 13 perfluorocarboxylic acids (PFCAs), 5 perfluoro sulfonates (PFSAs), 3 polyfluorotelomer sulfonates (FTSs), 3 perfluorooctane sulfonamide (FOSA/Es), 2 alkyl-perfluorooctane sulfonamido acetic acids (FOSAAs), 1 fluorinated telomer unsaturated acid (FTUA), 1 di-substituted polyfluorinated phosphate ester (di-PAP) and 3 new alternatives – ADONA, GenX and F-53B, were separated and detected using liquid chromatography coupled to mass spectrometry (LC-MS).

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Chemicals and Standards.

Methanol, ammonium hydroxide, LC/MS water were obtained from Fisher Chemicals, while LC/MS methanol was obtained from Honeywell Research Chemicals. Ammonium acetate was purchased from Amresco. Standard solution, a mixture of 30 perfluoroalkyl compounds

including perfluoroalkylcarboxylic acid (PFCAs), perfluoroalkylsulfonates (PFASs), perfluorooctane-sulfonamides (FOSAs), perfluorooctanesulfonamidoethanols (FOSEs), perfluorooctane-sulfonamidoacetic acids (FOSAAs), fluorinated telomer alcohols (FTOHs), polyfluorotelomer unsaturated acid (FTUA), fluorinated telomer sulfonates (FTSs), di-substituted polyfluorinated phosphate esters (di-PAP) and PFCAs alternatives (ADONA, GenX and F-53B), at concentration of $1 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$, were obtained from Wellington Laboratories, Canada.

2.2 Sample Collection and Site Description.

Water samples were collected from a stream in Narragansett and the Narragansett Bay in Rhode Island at approximate 0.1 meter below the surface in May 2018 (Figure 1). A volume of 0.5 L water was collected and stored in 0.5 L wide-mouth high-density polyethylene bottles pre-cleaned with 3% ammonia hydroxide in methanol, LC/MS methanol, and LC/MS water. The GPS coordinates, pH, air temperature, etc. were recorded in the sampling sheet for each sampling (Table SI 1).

Figure 1 Map of water samples; Stream sites (S1 to S6) and Bay sites (B1 to B3)

When collecting samples from the stream, each bottle was rinsed with stream water once before collection, and the rinse water was dumped towards downstream. When collecting from the bay, the bottles were rinsed with ocean water, and samples were collected shortly after the rinse water was released. Samples were stored at 4°C on the day it was sampled and were analyzed within two weeks of collection.

2.3 Sample Extraction.

Prior to extraction, the water samples were filtered on glass fiber filters (Whatman $0.7 \mu\text{m}$ GF/C). The solid part (particles) and the liquid part (water) of each sample were processed separately (result of particle sample extraction is not included in this report).

Solid phase extraction (SPE) technique was used to extract PFASs from water samples. Prior to the analyses, all samples were spiked with surrogate standards (SI Table 5) which were used for the calculation of recoveries. Waters OASIS WAX SPE cartridges were pre-cleaned with 3% ammonia hydroxide in methanol and conditioned with 4 mL of LC/MS methanol and 4 mL of LC/MS water. Water samples were passed through SPE cartridges at a rate of 1 drop per second with a limited vacuum. Cartridges were dried by placing them in pre-cleaned falcon tubes, and centrifuged (International Clinical Centrifuge Model CL) at 4000 RMP for ten minutes. Water samples were eluted with 4 mL of 1% ammonia hydroxide in methanol to the pre-cleaned (3% ammonia hydroxide in methanol) and pre-weighted (Mettler Toledo AG245 balance) falcon tubes.

Particle samples were transferred into pre-cleaned falcon tubes, spiked with surrogate standards, and soaked in 1% ammonia hydroxide in methanol. The tubes with soaked samples were placed in a sonic bath (Cole-Parmer 8851) for two hours. After that, methanol was transferred to cleaned falcon tube and the extraction was repeated. Both extracts were combined.

Table 1 Sampling data obtained from sampling protocols (Table SI 1)

<i>Sample Name</i>	<i>Date (M/D/) 2018</i>	<i>Time</i>	<i>Location</i>	<i>GPS (N;E)</i>	<i>Air Temperature (°C)</i>	<i>pH</i>
<i>Stream 1</i>	05/29	11:54	upstream DeWal	412932;712558	24	4.4
<i>Stream 2</i>	05/29	11:50	by DeWal	412920;712550	24	4.7
<i>Stream 3</i>	05/30	9:34	by Bonner Shore Road	412857;712552	21	4.4
<i>Stream 4</i>	05/30	9:45	upstream Wesquage Pond by Lake Rd	412849;712539	21	4.3
<i>Stream 5</i>	05/29	14:25	upstream Kelly Beach	412826;712520	26	5.2
<i>Stream 6</i>	05/29	14:17	south of Bonnet Point Rd	412825;712526	26	4.6
<i>Bay 1</i>	05/29	12:22	by EPA	412942;712517	25	4.7
<i>Bay 2</i>	05/29	10:34	by GSO Bay	412932;712513	23	5.3
<i>Bay 3</i>	05/29	13:56	Bonnet Beach Club	412821;712543	26	4.7

2.4 Sample Preparation.

Falcon tubes containing water and particle extracts were placed in a water bath (Dubnoff Metabolic Shaking Incubator) at 30 °C, and evaporated to approximately 0.5 mL under Nitrogen. Extracts were run through Super Clean ENVI-CARB cartridges to collect polar compound. Autosampler inserts were pre-cleaned with 3% ammonia hydroxide in methanol and LC/MS methanol and then placed into autosampler vials. Using the Microman M100 pipet, 60 µL of 4 mM ammonium acetate in LC-MS water and 60 µL of the sample were pipetted into each insert. All extracts were mixed (Scientific Industries Mixer) and stored at 4°C until analysis.

2.5 Instrumental Analysis.

Thirty PFASs, including 13 perfluorocarboxylic acids (PFCAs), 5 perfluoro sulfonates (PFSAs), 3 polyfluorotelomer sulfonates (FTSs), 3 perfluorooctane sulfonamide (FOSA/Es), 2 alkyl-perfluorooctane sulfonamido acetic acids (FOSAAs), 1 polyfluorotelomer unsaturated acid (FTUA), 1 di-substituted polyfluorinated phosphate ester (di-PAP) and 3 new alternatives – ADONA, GenX and F-53B were separated and detected by Liquid Chromatography coupled to Mass Spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) (Table SI 2). Briefly, the mass spectrometer (AB Sciex 4500 QTRAP) operated in negative ionization mode (ESI-) under optimized conditions (Table SI 3). The liquid chromatograph (Shimadzu Prominence UFLC) was equipped with C18 BEH® UPLC column (130Å, 1.7 µm, 2.1 mm ID X 50 mm; WATERS) and both, water and methanol used as mobile phases, contained 2 mM ammonium acetate. A gradient elution with an initial content of 40% methanol was applied and is described in details in Table SI 4. The flow rate of mobile phase was 0.2 mL min⁻¹. The injection volume of both, calibration standards and samples, was 20 µL. The identification and quantification of target compounds were based on the calibration curve ranging between 0.004 to 40 ng mL⁻¹. Target compounds in calibrations, samples, and blanks were quantified using isotope dilution quantification or internal standard quantification if a labelled analog was not commercially available (Table SI 5).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Detection frequency.

In nine samples of surface (6 samples) and sea water (3 samples), 25 of 30 analysed PFASs were detected, including 13 perfluorocarboxylic acids (PFCAs), 5 perfluoro sulfonates (PFSAs), 2 polyfluorotelomer sulfonates (FTSs), 2 perfluorooctane sulfonamide (FOSA/Es), 1 alkyl-perfluorooctane sulfonamido acetic acids (FOSAAs), 1 polyfluorotelomer unsaturated acid and 2 new alternatives – ADONA (NaDONA) and GenX (HPFO-DA) (Figure 2; Table SI 6).

Figure 2 Detection frequencies of all PFASs at studied sites

Among the PFCAs, C4-C10 PFCAs were detected consistently in the samples; all of the short chain PFCAs had a detection frequency of around or above 90%. On the contrary, the long chain PFCAs (with >10 perfluorinated carbons) were not as detectable in water samples, C11-C16 were detected in fewer than 30% of samples except for PFODA (C18), which was detected in all samples.

All short chain PFASs with even perfluorinated carbons in a chain (C4, C6, and C8) were detected in all samples in contrast to C7 which were detected only in 60% of samples and C10 which has only 30% detection frequency. From the precursors, FOSA and 6:2 FTS, which are the volatile precursors of PFOS, were detected in all samples. Three new alternatives were also analyzed in the set of samples, and two of them were detected at more than 50% of samples. For the next data analysis, only the substances with detection frequencies higher than 30% were considered.

3.2 Concentration overview.

Twenty of thirty PFASs were detected in at least 3 sampling sites. The detected concentrations of these PFASs are shown at Figure 3. The localities are divided into groups based on their location (upstream and downstream of the site of interest). Concentrations of $\Sigma 20$ PFASs varied and ranged from 5 to 237 ng L⁻¹. The lowest concentration in surface water was detected upstream the site of interest (S1) where no significant sources of PFAS are located. The overall lowest concentrations of $\Sigma 20$ PFASs were detected in Bay samples which might be affected by various sources (wastewater treatment plant, households,) however the contamination was diluted.

Figure 3: Summary of PFASs concentrations (ng L⁻¹) at 9 sites, 3 bay sites and 6 stream sites divided into 3 groups (upstream site, site of interest and downstream sites)

The PFASs levels at the site of interest were significantly elevated compared to the upstream, background, site. Among the 20 PFASs included in the data analysis, all were detected at the site of interest, and 14 of them reached their highest concentration at this sampling site. The

concentrations detected downstream were higher than those detected upstream. This occurrence indicates the likeliness that the production site was a dominant source of PFASs in this area.

3.3 PFAS Patterns in the Stream.

To compare the concentrations and pattern of various PFASs at all sites, Figure 4 (a&b) to Figure 6 (a&b) were constructed. The pattern of the PFAS concentrations in the stream varied across upstream (S1), the site of interest (S2), and downstream (S3-S6). Whereas the elevated downstream concentrations indicate the prevalence of direct PFAS sources from the site of interest, it is interesting that the downstream compositions of different groups of PFASs (PFCA, PFSA, and precursors) did not align with those of the site of interest.

As can be seen in figures 4a and 5a, there was a 40-fold increase in Σ PFCA, and 18-fold increase in Σ precursors at the site of interest compared to the upstream site. Also, the pattern (Figure 4b, 5b, and 6b) at the site of interest was different from the pattern at the upstream site, which means that there are different sources of PFASs at these two sites. Furthermore, downstream (S3-S6), the concentrations of both, Σ PFCA and Σ precursors were also increased relative to the upstream site. Moreover, the pattern in these samples was changing. In contrast to the dominant PFCA at the site of interest, PFBA at about 50%, PFOA became the predominant PFCA in the downstream samples, particularly at S4 at 38%. Likewise, the precursors N-MeFOSAA had a considerable elevation in both concentration and composition (Figure 6a&b). The change in the pattern indicated that there might be another PFAS source in the area. To our knowledge, there is no other direct source by the site of interest and upstream, yet there are households between S3 and S5. Hence, we infer that the source is attributed to the wastewater from the households.

Figure 6a showed a 7-fold increase in Σ PFSA at the site of interest in contrast to the upstream site. However, the concentrations at sites downstream (S3-S6) did not show the same trend as PFCA and precursors at those sites. In addition, the pattern (Figure 6b) was different at the site of interest with the dominant PFOS (up to 90%) and the sites downstream (up to 50% of PFHxS at site Stream 3).

The maximum concentrations found in this study were similar to or lower than the maximum concentrations in RI and NY Region (Table 2) and comparable with concentrations detected by the fluoropolymer production in the Netherlands. The highest detected concentration of PFAS in our study in the stream water, PFBA was measured at 102 ng L⁻¹. It was also detected in all samples, and the concentration range was wide, from 1 to 102 ng L⁻¹. PFOA was another dominant PFAS detected in all samples; as the second highest detected concentration, its level reached 50 ng L⁻¹. This is a result worth noting because the maximum level of PFOA, found in such a small scale study, was only 6 ng L⁻¹ lower than the maximum PFAS concentration (also PFOA) measured in the RI and NY Region (Zhang, 2016).

3.4 PFAS Alternatives at the Site of Interest.

Substantial levels of short-chain PFCA (PFOA alternatives) were measured at the site of interest. In particular, PFBA reached 102 ng L⁻¹, two times higher than the maximum PFBA level detected at AFFF impacted site (38 ng L⁻¹, groundwater), and five times higher than the maximum level detected in wastewater treatment plant effluent (19 ng L⁻¹) (Houtz, 2016;

Dauchy, 2017). Besides, PFBA contributed 43% of the total PFAS concentration at the site of interest. It is noteworthy that the level of PFBA (102 ng L^{-1}) was twice that of the PFOA (50 ng L^{-1}). The short chain PFAS dominating the overall concentration reflects the phase-out of long-chain PFASs since 2000 (Buck et al., 2011). Moreover, the new PFAS alternatives HFPO-DA (GenX) and ADONA were detected in this site at concentrations of 3 and 6 ng L^{-1} , respectively.

Figure 4: *a* Concentrations of PFCAs; *b* Compositions of PFCAs

Figure 5: *a* Concentrations of Precursors; *b* Compositions of Precursors

3.5 PFASs in the Bay.

In this study, there was no significant increase in the PFAS concentrations measured at the bay sampling sites downstream from the stream discharging water affected by the local fluoropolymer company. At this Narragansett Bay site (B3), the $\Sigma 6$ PFCAs, $\Sigma 5$ PFSAs, and Σ precursors were detected at about the same levels as at the site upstream from the local fluoropolymer company (B1). Interestingly, it appears that the levels of PFCAs and precursors

detected at site B2, which is about half a kilometer south from B1, are elevated considerably. To our knowledge, there are no typical PFAS sources close by, so the sources of this increase in concentration are unclear to us. This phenomenon could be potentially studied in the future.

Figure 6: a Concentrations of PFASs; b Compositions of PFASs

Table 2. Comparison of PFAS Concentrations between our study and other studies

Comparison with Surface Water (maximum concentrations – ng L ⁻¹)									
	PFPeA	PFHxA	PFHpA	PFOA	PFNA	PFDA	PFBS	PFHxS	PFOS
Netherlands (Gebblink's 2017)	9.2	6.4	2.4	4.8	1	0.86	27	2.2	6.5
RI and NY Region (Zhang's 2016)	10	48	48	56	14	5.8	6.2	43	28
Narragansett, RI (Our study 2018)	16	20	11	50	4	2	2	4	12

5. Conclusions

We sampled 9 water samples in a vicinity of a local fluoropolymer company at Narragansett Bay and we analyzed 30 poly- and perfluoroalkyl substances in these samples. The total concentrations of target PFASs at the site of interest was 237 ng L⁻¹ which is 30 times higher by comparison with background site in the Narragansett area. Moreover, the sites downstream the company have elevated concentrations of analyzed PFAS (Σ PFASs was five times higher downstream than upstream). However, the concentrations of some of PFASs increased furthermore downstream which indicates other PFAS sources in the area. To assess how

significant the impact of the site of interest is to the Narragansett area, the analyses of PFASs in air, soil, sediment as well as drinking water sources in the area should be utilized in the future.

Acknowledgements

WeiYung Liu was supported by Earlham College's EPIC Advantage. I would like to thank Dr. Rainer Lohmann, Professor of Oceanography, and Dr. Jitka Becanova, a postdoctoral researcher (Graduate School of Oceanography), for their continued guidance and support throughout this process. Additional thanks go to Summer Undergraduate Research Fellowship in Oceanography (SURFO) (National Science Foundation REU grant # OCE-1460819).

References

- Barry, V., Winkquist, A., and Steenland, K. (2013). Perfluorooctanoic Acid (PFOA) Exposures and Incident Cancers among Adults Living Near a Chemical Plant. *Environ Health Perspectives* 2013 121(11-12), 1313-1318
- Braun, J. M., Chen, A., Romano, M. E., Calafat, A. M., Webster, G. M., Yolton, K., & Lanphear, B. P. (2016). Prenatal Perfluoroalkyl Substance Exposure and Child Adiposity at 8 Years of Age: The HOME Study. *Obesity* (Silver Spring, Md.), 24(1), 231–237.
- Buck, R. C., Franklin, J., Berger, U., Conder, J. M., Cousins, I. T., de Voogt, P., van Leeuwen, S. P. (2011). Perfluoroalkyl and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances in the Environment: Terminology, Classification, and Origins. *Integrated Environmental Assessment and Management*, 7(4), 513–541.
- Calafat, A. M., Wong, L. Y., Kuklennyik, Z., Reidy, J. A., & Needham, L. L. (2007). Polyfluoroalkyl Chemicals in the U.S. Population: Data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) 2003–2004 and Comparisons with NHANES 1999–2000. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 115(11), 1596–1602.
- Castiglioni, S., Valsecchi, S., Polesello, S., Rusconi, M., Melis, M., Palmiotti, M., Manenti, A., Davoli, E., Zuccato, E. (2015). Sources and fate of perfluorinated compounds in the aqueous environment and in drinking water of a highly urbanized and industrialized area in Italy. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* 2015 282, 51-60.
- Conder, J., Hoke, R., Wolf, W., Russell, M., and Buck, R. (2008). Are PFCs Bioaccumulative? A Critical Review and Comparison with Regulatory Criteria and Persistent Lipophilic Compounds. *Environmental Science & Technology* 2008 42 (4), 995-1003.
- Dauchy, X., Boiteux, V., Bach, C., Rosin, C., Munoz, J-F. (2017). Per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances in firefighting foam concentrates and water samples collected near sites impacted by the use of these foams. *Chemosphere* 2017 183, 53-61
- EU (2010) COMMISSION REGULATION (EU) No 757/2010 of 24 August 2010, amending Regulation (EC) No 850/2004 of the European Parliament and of the Council on persistent organic pollutants as regards Annexes I and III. *Official Journal of the European Union*:25.08.2010
- European Food Safety Authority. (2008). Perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS), perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) and their salts. *The EFSA Journal* 2008 653
- Gebbink, W., Asseldonk, L., and Leeuwen, S. (2017). Presence of Emerging Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFASs) in River and Drinking Water near a Fluorochemical

- Production Plant in the Netherlands. *Environmental Science & Technology* 2017 51 (19), 11057-11065
- Grandjean, P., Andersen, E., Jørgensen, E., Nielsen, F., Mølbak, K., Weihe, P., & Heilmann, C. (2012). Serum Vaccine Antibody Concentrations in Children Exposed to Perfluorinated Compounds. *JAMA* 2012 307(4), 391-397
- Hoffman, K., Webster, T. F., Weisskopf, M. G., Weinberg, J., & Vieira, V. M. (2010). Exposure to Polyfluoroalkyl Chemicals and Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder in U.S. Children 12–15 Years of Age. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 118(12), 1762–1767.
- Houtz, E., Sutton, R., Park, J-S., Sedlak, M. (2016). Poly- and perfluoroalkyl substances in wastewater: Significance of unknown precursors, manufacturing shifts, and likely AFFF impacts. *Water Research* 2016 95, 142-149
- Kwadijk, C.J.A.F., Korytár, P. Koelmans, A. A.. (2010). Distribution of Perfluorinated Compounds in Aquatic Systems in The Netherlands. *Environmental Science & Technology* 2010 44 (10), 3746-3751
- Kwadijk, C.J.A.F., Kotterman, M. and Koelmans, A. (2014). Partitioning of perfluorooctanesulfonate and perfluorohexanesulfonate in the aquatic environment after an accidental release of aqueous film forming foam at Schiphol Amsterdam Airport. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry* 2014 33 (8), 1761-1765
- Lewis, R., Johns, R., and Meeker, J. (2015). Serum Biomarkers of Exposure to Perfluoroalkyl Substances in Relation to Serum Testosterone and Measures of Thyroid Function among Adults and Adolescents from NHANES 2011–2012. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 2015 12(6), 6098-6114
- Prevedouros, K., Cousins, T., Buck, R., Korzeniowski, H. (2006). Sources, fate and transport of perfluorocarboxylates. *Environmental Science and Technology* 2006 40 (1), 32-40
- United States Environmental Protection Agency. (2016) PFOA & PFOS Drinking Water Health Advisories.
- Vestergren, R., and Cousins, I. (2009). Tracking the Pathways of Human Exposure to Perfluorocarboxylates. *Environmental Science & Technology* 2009 43 (15), 5565-5575
- Wang, Z., Cousins, I., Scheringer, M., Buck, R., Hungerbühler, K. (2014). Global emission inventories for C4–C14 perfluoroalkyl carboxylic acid (PFCA) homologues from 1951 to 2030, Part I: production and emissions from quantifiable sources. *Environment International* 2014 70, 62-75
- Wang, Z., Cousins, I., Scheringer, M., Hungerbühler, K. (2013). Fluorinated alternatives to long-chain perfluoroalkyl carboxylic acids (PFCAs), perfluoroalkane sulfonic acids (PFSA) and their potential precursors. *Environment International* 2013 60, 242-248
- Zhang, X., Lohmann, R., Dassuncao, C., Hu, C., Weber, A., Vecitis, C, and Sunderland, E. (2016). Source Attribution of Poly- and Perfluoroalkyl Substances (PFASs) in Surface Waters from Rhode Island and the New York Metropolitan Area. *Environmental Science & Technology Letters* 2016 3 (9), 316-321

Finite Fault Slip Inversion of Selected Earthquakes with Layered Crust Structure

Whitney R. Marshall^{1,2} and Matt Wei¹

¹ Graduate School of Oceanography, University of Rhode Island, Narragansett, RI 02882

² Department of Geosciences, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA

Corresponding author: Matt Wei (matt-wei@uri.edu)

Running head: Fault Slip Inversion with Layered Crust Structure

Key Points:

- We use InSAR images to measure ground deformation for two earthquakes
- We use the SDM software package to invert slip with a layered geologic structure
- Misfit between observations and model predictions all decreased but by different levels for these three earthquakes.

Key Index Words: InSAR Image, SDM, Slip Inversion, Ground Deformation, Layered Geology

Abstract

Ground deformation caused by earthquakes can be measured in high precision with satellite methods and have been widely used to invert fault mechanism and slip. However, fault slip inversion is typically run with a uniform material property, which introduces biases for regions with layered crust structure. Here, we aim to improve the fault model for selected earthquakes by using a software package SDM, which can handle a layered geologic structure. We chose the M7.3 earthquake near Halabjah, Iraq in November 12, 2017 and a slow earthquake in August 2017 in California for our study. We used local seismic velocity models to constrain the crustal layers. For the M7.3 Iraq earthquake, the misfit between observations and model predictions decreased by 10.8% for the descending image and 34.1% for the ascending image using a layered crust structure. For the slow earthquake in California, only descending images were used and the misfit decreased by 2.0%. The Geology in Iran is more stratified to a deeper depth, likely causing larger decrease in misfit after adding a layered geology when compared to California. Running SDM using a layered geologic structure can improve the fault model when the layering geology is significant.

1. Introduction

Interferometric synthetic aperture radar (InSAR) has become an important tool for measuring surface deformation associated with natural hazards such as earthquakes and volcanoes and their mechanism (Rosen et al., 2000; Burgmann et al., 2000; Massonnet and Feigl, 1998). However, most people use uniform crust structure to invert fault slip (Wei et al., 2009; Barnhart et al., 2013; Elliott et al., 2015), which might introduce errors. The goal of this project is to incorporate layered crust structure to geodetic slip inversion and evaluate whether it will improve the fit to data.

2. Methodology

We used Sentinel-1A InSAR data from the European Space Agency. We downloaded them through the Alaska SAR Facility website using the ASF data portal. We processed these data using the open source software GMTSAR (Sandwell et al. 2011). We used the 90 m resolution Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM) digital elevation map (DEM) to remove the topographic signal (USGS2004). We gathered the orbital data for each image on the POD Precise Orbit Ephemerides site for Sentinel-1 (https://qc.sentinel1.eo.esa.int/aux_poeorb/). We used a Gaussian filter with a wavelength of 200m to filter the phase image and software SNAPHU (Chen & Zebker 2002) to unwrap it, both were built-in in GMTSAR.

We used SDM (Steepest Decent Method) software to invert fault slip. SDM is a FORTRAN code package for inverting co-seismic surface deformation data (GPS, InSAR, etc.) for fault slip distribution (Wang et al., 2009). It can handle both a uniform or layered structure and can use arbitrarily curved fault geometry, which would produce a more realistic model. SDM has a-priori constraint on the variation range of rake angle and uses fast optimization algorithm based on the steepest descent method.

For the M7.3 earthquake, the fault trace is not known. We developed our own fault model based on the spatial characters of the deformation signal. The deformation pattern of the M7.3 earthquake is very distinct and consistent with a northwest strike fault dipping to the northeast. For the California slow earthquake, slip rupture near the surface and the fault trace is well known.

We ran inversion for both uniform and layered structure. We down-sampled the data to reduce the calculation time. The layered structure for the Iran and Iraq earthquakes (Figure 1) was based on local studies (Afsari et al., 2011; Rezaeifar et al., 2016). The structure for the California case (Figure 1) was from the SCEC Community Velocity Model - Harvard (CVM-H; <https://scec.usc.edu/scecpedia/CVM-H>). We compared the misfit to observations to determine

which method matched the observations better. Misfit was defined as
$$misfit = \sqrt{\frac{\sum(residual^2)}{N_{points}}}$$
, where residual is the difference between observation and model prediction at selected sample locations and N_{points} is the total number of observation samples used in inversion.

Figure 1. (left) Seismic velocity model used for the Iran and Iraq earthquake. (right) Seismic velocity model used for California slow earthquake.

3. Data

We selected three earthquakes for this study. For the Iran and Iraq earthquake, we used data from both ascending and descending directions (Table 1). The repeating data were acquired soon after the earthquake to limit the effect of post-seismic slip. For the slow earthquake in California, only descending data were used. We stacked seven interferograms to increase the signal-to-noise ratio.

Table 1. Data used in this project

M7.3 Iraq Earthquake on November 12 th 2017		
	Before Image- Date	After Image- Date
Ascending	January 3 rd 2017	November 17 th 2017
Descending	October 26 th 2017	December 25 th 2017
The slow earthquake in August 2017 in southern California		
Descending	August 8 th 2017	September 9 th 2017
	August 2 nd 2017	August 14 th 2017
	July 9 th 2017	August 26 th 2017
	June 3 rd 2017	September 7 th 2017
	February 27 th 2017	September 19 th 2017
	October 12 th 2015	September 19 th 2017
	October 12 th 2015	August 26 th 2017

4. Results

4.1 The November 12, 2017 M7.3 earthquake in Iraq

The M7.3 earthquake occurred on November 12th 2017 near the Iraq and Iran border, 29 km from the town of Halabjah. The ascending data show a decrease of line-of-sight (LOS) with a maximum of 0.8 m. The descending data show a decrease of LOS up to 0.5 m on the northeast side and an increase of LOS up to 0.4 m on the southwest side. These observations suggest a northwest trending fault that dips northeast with a significant right-lateral strike-slip component. We used a fault model of average strike 351°, dip angle 16°, and rake range of 118 to 138 degrees. The top of the fault is 5 km with a width of 60 km. We used a smoothness parameter of 0.05.

The best fitting model for both uniform and layered model both fits the observations well, with a few cm of misfit (Table 2). However, the misfit of the ascending data decreases by 10.76% using the layered model, and that of the descending data decreases by 34.14%. This suggests that the layered model fits the observations better than the uniform model.

The fault slip of uniform and layered crust looks very similar. Most slip concentrated between depth of 10-15 km with a width of 35 km. The maximum slip is 4 m. However, the slip model of uniform crust has some small slip patches on the top left corner, which seems not realistic, whereas the layered structure does not have that. This also suggests that using a layered structure is better.

Figure 2. Observation, model prediction, and residual for uniform crust and layered crust for the M7.3 Iraq Earthquake on November 12th 2017.

Figure 3. The slip distribution plot conveys the fault as if it were a 2-Dimensional plane to show the location and type of slip for the M7.3 Iraq Earthquake on November 12th 2017. The fault's strike, dip, and rake of the fault model are 351, 16, and 128 degrees, respectively.

4.2 The slow earthquake in August 2017 in southern California

The slow earthquake occurred in August of 2017 in southern California on the Superstition Hills Fault in Salton Trough, California. The data come from multiple compiled descending images which show a total increase of LOS of up to 0.02 m on the southwest side and a decrease of LOS of up to 0.01 m on the northeast side. These observations suggested a northwest trending fault that dips northeast with a right-lateral strike-slip component. We used a fault model of average strike 310°, dip angle 89°, and rake range of -5 to 5 degrees. The top of the fault is 0 km and has a width of 5 km. We used a smoothness parameter of 0.05.

The best fitting model for both uniform and layered models fits the observations well, with a few mm of misfit (Table 2). The data are along a descending path and show a decrease in misfit by 2.04% once adding a layered geology. This suggests that the layered model fits the observation better than the uniform model.

The fault slips of uniform and layered crust look significantly different. In the uniform model, most of the slip is focused around a depth of 0-1 km for a width of about 10 km. The uniform model shows a maximum amount of slip at the surface as 0.04 m in a region of 0.25 km in width at a depth of 0.5 km. There is a region of slip on the east side with a maximum slip of 0.02 m. With the layered slip model however, there is more noticeable slip along both the surface and the eastern side of the fault. There is the main region of slip along the surface that reaches the maximum slip of 0.04 m and extends for 5 km at a depth of 1 km. There is also more observable slip on the eastern side reaching a higher overall magnitude, with a maximum at 0.04 m which covers a larger area than it did in the uniform model. There are more data in the uniform model, reaching the maximum slip in more areas and it appears to be more focused around the surface which is characteristic of a slow moving strike slip fault. This suggests that using a layered structure is better.

Figure 4. Observation, model prediction, and residual for uniform crust and layered crust for the slow earthquake in August 2017 on the Superstition Hills Fault in Salton Trough, California.

Figure 5. The slip distribution plot conveys the fault as if it were a 2-Dimensional plane to show the location and type of the slow earthquake in August 2017 on the Superstition Hills Fault in Salton Trough, California. The fault's strike, dip, and rake of the fault model are 310, 89, and 0 degrees, respectively.

Misfit is a way to measure the change in residual data. The model should decrease in the amount of misfit after adding a layered geology.

5. Discussion

The amount of misfit decreased by 10.76% for the M7.3 earthquake in the Ascending image. In the descending image for that earthquake, the misfit decreased even more, by 34.14%. The misfit did decrease by adding a layered geology by a significant amount for each image. In the descending residual data, it shows a representation of no visual data with an even more

significant decrease in misfit, showing a well modeled fault with the predicted data matching the observation. The slip distribution plot showed an improvement for the fault model after adding a layered crust structure. There are less residual data which are likely separate areas of deformation, unrelated to the earthquake slip. This is often referred to as noise, which is mitigated in the plot through the addition of data in the center, making a wider slip range and fewer data on the edges.

Table 2. Changes in misfit for the different earthquakes

M7.3 Iraq Earthquake on November 12 th 2017			
		Misfit (m)	Misfit decrease: Uniform to layered
Ascending	Uniform	0.03658	10.76%
	Layered	0.03265	
Descending	Uniform	0.05125	34.14%
	Layered	0.03375	
Slow earthquake in August 2017 in southern California			
Descending	Uniform	0.00278	2.04%
	Layered	0.00272	

California did not show as much decrease in misfit, as it went down by only 2.04% after applying a layered geology. California is small scale in which the maximum amount of slip is just over 0.01 m and the residual plot shows a variance around 0.005 m of subsidence. The predicted data are not a perfect fit for the observation data, resulting in visual residual data. The slip distribution plot for California shows much more data after running a layered geology. More of the focused slip is along the surface which is correlated to the type of motion for this fault. The data being of higher magnitude and regionally centered indicated a more accurate slip distribution plot for the fault.

6. Conclusions

We chose the M7.3 earthquake near Halabjah, Iraq in November 12, 2017, the M6.1 near Mashhad, Iran on April 5, 2017, and a slow earthquake in August 2017 in California for our study. We used local seismic velocity models to constrain the crustal layers. For the M7.3 Iraq earthquake, the misfit between observations and model predictions decreased by 10.8% for the descending image and 34.1% for the ascending image using a layered crust structure. For the slow earthquake in California, only descending images were used and the misfit decreased by 2.0%. The Geology in Iran is more stratified to a deeper depth, likely causing larger decrease in misfit after adding a layered geology when compared to California. Running SDM using a layered geologic structure can improve the fault model when the layering geology is significant.

Acknowledgments

WRM was supported by a Summer Undergraduate Research Fellowship in Oceanography (SURFO) (National Science Foundation REU grant # OCE- 1757572). Special thank you to Pencheng Shi for writing the Python scripts to plot the data.

References

- Afsari, N., Sodoudi, F., Farahmand, F. T., & Ghassemi, M. R. (2011). Crustal structure of Northwest Zagros (Kermanshah) and Central Iran (Yazd and Isfahan) using teleseismic Ps converted phases. *Journal of Seismology*, 15(2), 341–353. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s10950-011-9227-x>.
- Barnhart, W. D., Lohman, R. B., & Mellors, R. J. (2013). Active accommodation of plate convergence in Southern Iran: Earthquake locations, triggered aseismic slip, and regional strain rates. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 118(10), 5699–5711. <http://doi.org/10.1002/jgrb.50380>
- Chen, C.W. & Zebker, H.A., 2002. Phase unwrapping for large SAR in- terferograms: statistical segmentation and generalized network models, *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, 40, 1709–1719.
- Massonnet, D. and K. L. Feigl, “Radar interferometry and its application to changes in the Earth’s surface,” *Rev. Geophys.*, vol. 36, no. 4, pp. 441–500, 1998.
- Elliott, J. R., Bergman, E. A., Copley, A. C., Ghods, A. R., Nissen, E. K., Oveisi, B., ... Yamini-Fard, F. (2015). The 2013 Mw 6.2 Khaki-Shonbe (Iran) Earthquake: insights into seismic and aseismic shortening of the Zagros sedimentary cover. *Earth and Space Science*, n/a-n/a. <http://doi.org/10.1002/2015EA000098>
- Rosen, P.A., S. Hensley, I. R. Joughin, F. K. Li, S. N. Madsen, E. Rodriguez, and R.M. Goldstein, “Synthetic aperture radar interferometry,” *Proc. IEEE*, vol. 88, no. 3, pp. 333–382, Mar. 2000.
- R.Burgmann, P. A. Rosen, and E. J. Fielding, “Synthetic aperture radar interferometry to measure Earth’s surface topography and its deformation,” *Annu. Rev. Earth Planetary Sci.*, vol. 28, pp. 169–209, 2000.
- Rezaeifar, M., Kissling, E., Shomali, Z. H., & Shahpasand-Zadeh, M. (2016). 3D crustal structure of the northwest Alborz region (Iran) from local earthquake tomography. *Swiss Journal of Geosciences*, 109(3), 389–400. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s00015-016-0219-2>.
- Sandwell, D., Mellors, R., Tong, X., Wei, M. & Wessel, P., 2011. Open radar interferometry software for mapping surface deformation, *EOS, Trans. Am. geophys. Un.*, 92(28), 234, doi:10.1029/2011EO280002.
- USGS. M 6.1 - 61km NNW of Torbat-e Jam, Iran. (n.d.). Retrieved August 8, 2018, from <https://earthquake.usgs.gov/earthquakes/eventpage/us10008ei0#executive>
- USGS. M 7.3 - 29km S of Halabjah, Iraq. (n.d.). Retrieved August 8, 2018, from <https://earthquake.usgs.gov/earthquakes/eventpage/us2000bmcg#executive>
- USGS (United States Geological Survey), 2004. Shuttle Radar Topography Mission, 1 Arc Second Scene SRTM u03 n008e004, Unfilled Unfinished 2.0, Global Land Cover Facility, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland.
- Wang, L., R. Wang, F. Roth, B. Enescu, S. Hainzl and S. Ergintav (2009), Afterslip and viscoelastic relaxation following the 1999 M 7.4 Izmit earthquake from GPS measurements, *Geophysical Journal International*, 178(3), 1220-1237.

Wei, M., Sandwell, D., & Fialko, Y. (2009). A silent M_w 4.7 slip event of October 2006 on the Superstition Hills fault, southern California. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 114(B7), 1–15. <http://doi.org/10.1029/2008JB006135>

Quantifying the Ocean's Role in Melting Antarctic Glaciers

Michael F. Miller^{1,2}, Brice Loose¹

¹ Graduate School of Oceanography, University of Rhode Island, Narragansett, RI

² Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of St. Thomas, St. Paul, MN

Running head: Ocean's Role in Antarctic Glacier Melt

Key Points:

- A cathode voltage of -80 eV boosted neon's signal the most and contained the smallest uncertainty.
- Addition of the chemical getter drastically reduced the detectability of neon.
- The heated getter showed little to no greater efficiency over a getter at room temperature.

Key Index Words:

Quadrupole mass spectrometry, terrestrial ice melt, ocean heat content, portable mass spectrometers, sea level rise.

Abstract

This study seeks to develop a new mass spectrometric method to measure glacial meltwater at ice shelf margins and within coastal oceans. Rapid Antarctic glacial melt is attributed both to the continent's close proximity to the warmer water within the Antarctic Circumpolar Current, and to the absence of continental landmass above sea level to limit glacial retreat at the coastal margin. Tracing meltwater routes from the ice shelf is crucial for predicting the ocean's role in the stability of the West Antarctica ice sheet, and for formulating detailed forecasts of ice sheet growth and recession. Dissolved neon is an inert gas, unmodified by ocean chemistry and biology. It can be detected down to a dilution factor of 1000:1. Traditional methods of collecting discrete samples for laboratory-based analysis using neon tracers are costly and time-consuming to formulate reliable trends. We pursued the development of a method to continuously measure the molar concentration of dissolved neon in the field. Efforts to measure stable ²⁰Ne, ²¹Ne, and ²²Ne were attempted. To isolate neon, a chemical getter was attached along the vacuum's atmospheric intake to absorb high concentrations of O₂, N₂ and CO₂. It was found that the addition of the getter greatly reduced neon detectability in both its heated and cooled states compared to a setup without the getter. Potential solutions to investigate include increasing the amount of usable getter material, and increasing the cathode voltage within the ionization source beyond the 100 eV that was evaluated here.

1. Introduction

1.1 Accelerated Glacial Melt

Global sea level rise is one of the consequences of climate change. The overall increase in ocean levels is attributed to two basic consequences that result from global warming: thermal expansion and glacial melt (Rignot et al, 2011). As oceans warm, the particles within the water obtain additional energy causing the boundaries volume to expand, and thus sea levels rise.

Additionally, as air temperatures increase, terrestrial glaciers melt at a heightened rate causing large amounts of freshwater to be deposited into oceans therefore raising its total mass. The melting freshwater from glaciers not only directly contributes to an escalated global sea level, but it also pushes down heavier salt water altering the Thermohaline Circulations (THC) around the ice shelf margin and nearby coastal oceans.

Warmer water from around the world mixes with fresh water as it follows the path of the Antarctic Circumpolar Current (ACC) as shown in Figure 1. The currents close proximity with Western Antarctica and the Antarctic Peninsula exposes its ice to higher temperature waters. The West Antarctic ice shelf coastline is also in danger because it rests on a continental landmass situated below sea level. Due to this, the ice sheets lack any kind of natural boundary and are in direct contact with the coastal ocean. The rate of basal melt is much higher in these areas as water melts the lower layers of ice shelves causing a rapid glacial retreat at the coastal margin.

Figure 1: Path of the Antarctic Circumpolar Current (Thompson, 2008).

Tracking meltwater routes deposited from ice shelves is crucial for not only predicting the melt rate of grounded ice sheets, but to also obtain a better understanding of the ocean's role in the stability of the West Antarctic ice sheet. Acquiring more accurate and up to date forecasts of ice sheet growth and recession can also help scientists generate more precise sea level rise predictions. Applying this research to predict the ocean's response to increased glacier melting, and thereby better understanding the cascade of processes brought on by climate change, is necessary to future predictions of the climate system.

1.2 Utilizing Neon as a Chemical Tracer

Established methods used to differentiate glacial meltwater from the sea water include identifying temperature, salinity, and dissolved oxygen concentration differences between the two. Although useful, relying on these methods can lead to biased results. For example, Biddle et al. (2017) show that oxygen concentration can fluctuate as a result of submarine photosynthetic and respiratory cycles (Figure 2). This may produce the appearance of freshwater presence by some methods that isn't supported by others.

Figure 2: Cross-section map of the ocean showing the possible error between two difference methods that search for glacial meltwater. The top section indicates a layer of glacial melt at about 400 m using dissolved oxygen concentration whereas the bottom section shows far less freshwater using another method (From Biddle, 2017).

Dissolved neon is a more favorable indicator for glacial meltwater since it is inert and unwilling to interact with other atoms involved in biological or chemical ocean processes. Additionally, neon is 970% supersaturated in pure glacial meltwater (Hohmann, 2002). It can be detected down to a dilution factor of 1000:1. In other words, dissolved neon is ten times more concentrated in glacial meltwater than it is in the atmosphere at the ocean's surface.

The traditional methods of collecting discrete samples for laboratory-based analysis to indicate neon presence is limited to a small sample size. The process requires several hundred samples to be collected individually and each to be analyzed for about \$500 each (Manning et al, 2016). This can be costly and encourages researchers with a limited budget to utilize less accurate methods.

1.3 Gas Equilibration Mass Spectrometers

The methods of mass spectrometry have advanced such that dissolved gases including neon can be measured directly from water in the field (Holocher et al, 2003). By analyzing water directly, the need to transport individual samples and pay for subsequent analysis is eliminated. This new method, often given the title gas equilibration mass spectrometer (GEMS), is an on-site measurement for Ne and other noble gas mole ratios in water (Manning et al, 2016).

Within the GEMS method, the instrument that distinguishes the mass-to-charge ratio of Ne from other ions is the quadrupole mass spectrometer. After the GEMS system separates the dissolved gas atoms from the water, the atoms are sent into the mass spectrometer (Manning et al, 2016). The exact mole ratio of neon is separated from the other atoms in water through select ion monitoring and calibration with the atmosphere which has stable neon mole ratios. (US Standard Atmosphere, 1976). As the atoms pass into the quadrupole mass spectrometer, they are struck by a stream of electrons calibrated to a particular cathode voltage, changing the atoms into positive

ions. The ions are then sent through an electric field generated from four charged rods fed by AC current. An artist rendition of the quadrupole mass spectrometer can be seen in Figure 3.

Figure 3: Quadrupole mass spectrometer apparatus displaying the path of an ideal mass to charge ratio particle through the system (From Micek, 2008).

1.4 Chemical Getters

In vacuum systems, chemical getters are a common, yet important addition. Getters are manufactured in many forms, but all are defined as deposits of reactive material that chemically combine with or absorb gas molecules. After a vacuum has been established, the getter material can continuously remove residual gases released from the inner surfaces of the system (Mattox, 2010). This allows the system to achieve a higher vacuum than the pump can reach alone.

Chemical getters are also commonly installed near the atmospheric input to many mass spectrometers to alter the composition of the particles that pass into the vacuum (Manning et al, 2016). To avoid atmospheric contamination, many getter materials need to be introduced to a vacuum system in an inactive state and later activated by heat inside the system. Common forms of a “bulk” getter are sintered pellets. Sintered pellets are typically made from nonevaporable getter zirconium powder and bound under high temperature in a vacuum (Jousten, 2008). This is the particular kind of gettering material used in this research experiment (Figure 4).

Figure 4: St171 sintered getter pellets by SAES Getters Group beside a US one-cent coin for scale.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Setup Installation and Experimental Preparations

The benchtop quadrupole mass spectrometer we used is designed for atmospheric intake only. Its configuration is comprised of 5 crucial sections (Figure 5).

Figure 5: Current tabletop mass spectrometer configuration with alphabetic labels.

Initially, air enters through a 4-meter long glass capillary and passes through a 3-way *Swagelok* valve. On the opposite side of the intake stem is a *Swagelok* bellows valve capable of restricting airflow into the getter. The *Lesker* getter (B) housing has available space to be filled with gettering material. The white fiberglass wrapping is used to insulate the getter housing as the getter material is activated. The *Arduino UNO* board (C) controls the temperature of the getter and receives live temperature data from the *OMEGA* RTD sensor (D) on the surface of the getter housing. After passing through the sintered pellets, the atmospheric gases pass into the *Pfeiffer* vacuum quadrupole mass spectrometer system (E). Additional air in the system is channeled to the right and passes through the *Edwards* turbo valve (E). This valve acts as a backstop to trap air within the system and allows the user to conduct static air tests. Finally, after passing the turbo valve, the air is drawn from the tubes by a *Pfeiffer* vacuum pump (F).

Before experimentation could begin, the chemical getter material needed to be installed and the ion source tuned to boost the detection levels of neon as high as possible.

Firstly, the *Lesker* getter nipple was filled completely with 95 St171 sintered getter pellets. Using the average mass of each pellet, it was estimated that the total mass was 28.12 g. The total available surface area of pellets was determined to be 90.13 cm². The getter nipple was then installed in-line with the mass spectrometer's ion source and the capillary intake stem. Once

installed, the vacuum system was turned back on and the pressure pumped down to 3.2×10^{-4} torr. To allow the vacuum system to achieve a higher pressure, the getter was conditioned. According to SAES, the sintered getter material must exceed a minimum temperature of 450 °C in order to be activated. Figure 6 outlines the recommended activation procedures given various temperature and time variables. The heating coil was turned on and gradually increased to ensure time for heat to transfer from the getter housing into the getter material. Initially, the getter was held at 250 °C for 10 minutes. The temperature was then increased by 50 °C after each 10-minute interval. Following the timed heating at 400 °C, the temperature was increased to 475 °C where it remained for 5 hours and 10 minutes. After conditioning, the vacuum pressure had lowered to 5.8×10^{-6} torr.

Figure 6: The gettering efficiency St171 expressed as a percentage of the initial gettering rate of a fully activated getter) after various activation conditions. (From *St 171 and St 172 Sintered Porous Getters*)

By tuning several of the ion sources within Quadera, particularly the cathode voltage, the signals of less concentrated gases such as neon could be amplified. To observe the cathode voltage that generated the largest ion current for $^{20}\text{Ne}^+$ and $^{20}\text{Ne}^{2+}$, an ionization voltage test was conducted at several cathode voltages between an emission current of 0.5 A and 1.0 A for both species. Using the built-in Faraday Cup test on Quadera, the emission current was set to 0.5 A and the cathode voltage to -100 eV. After the ion current for both species of neon stabilized out to the one-hundredths place value, the cathode voltage was decreased by 20 eV to -80eV. Once the ion current leveled out, the cathode voltage was decreased in increments of 20 eV until a test had been conducted at -40 eV. This entire procedure was then repeated for an emission current of 1.0 A. Within PythonTM, an average ion current for both neon species was calculated for the test time at each voltage. Error bars were defined by the standard deviation using this average over each period. Figure 7 presents the ion source tuning results as described above.

As the cathode voltage grew, the ion current of neon increased at an almost linear rate. Therefore, to maximize neon's signal to the mass spectrometer, a cathode voltage of -80 eV was

chosen because it had a much lower error margin than the -100 eV setting. Additionally, an emission current of 0.5 Amps was selected since 1.0 Amps drew significantly more power but showed little increase over the ion currents displayed at an emission current of 0.5 Amps. The final ion source settings agreed upon in Quadera's Ion Source Editor for all following experimental procedures is displayed in Table 1 below.

Figure 7: Graph comparing the ion current of each neon species as the cathode voltage increased.

Table 1: Ion source setting values entered into Quadera's Ion Source Editor that produced the most favorable results.

Emission Current	0.5
Protection Current	3.5
RF Polarity	neg
Ion Reference	150
Cathode Voltage	-80
Focus	-3
Field Axis	-5
Extraction	-60

Lastly, table 2 outlines the chemical species to be tested in each of the coming experiments and the necessary data that the Quadera recipe editor requires.

2.2 Dynamic Measurements

In order to understand how the getter affects the ion current of air as it passes into the quadrupole mass spectrometer, a dynamic test while heating the surface of the getter housing to 200 °C was conducted. A dynamic test was conducted by allowing all system valves to remain open so air

could flow through the 4-meter capillary, into the mass spectrometer, and out the vacuum pump continuously. To begin, the bellows and turbo valve were opened as the getter began to heat up to 200 °C from 25.5 °C. To ensure proper time for heat transfer, the getter was given 30 minutes to heat after the RTD sensor detected 200 °C. Following the heating period, we recorded the “background” emission current values of each species with all valves closed to level out as the pump evacuated air from the system. When the ion currents remained stable out to the one-hundredths place value, the 3-way valve was opened and the dynamic test began. The system was drawing air for a total of 41 minutes before the test ended.

Table 2: Table showing the chemical species and input specifications that were utilized during the experiments.

Task Name	$^{20}\text{Ne}^+$	$^{20}\text{Ne}^{2+}$	H_2O	N_2	O_2	^{40}Ar	^{44}Ar
Mass	20	10	18	28	32	40	44
Dwell	500ms	500ms	500ms	200ms	200ms	200ms	200ms
Detector Type	Sem	Sem	Sem	Sem	Sem	Sem	Sem
SEM Voltage	850	850	850	850	850	850	850
Autorange Mods	AUTO	AUTO	AUTO	AUTO	AUTO	AUTO	AUTO
Resolution	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
Pause Calibrate	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
AO Channel	NONE	NONE	NONE	NONE	NONE	NONE	NONE
Trip Type	OFF	OFF	OFF	OFF	OFF	OFF	OFF
State	ENABLE	ENABLE	ENABLE	ENABLE	ENABLE	ENABLE	ENABLE

In an effort to understand how the efficiency of the getter changes post-activation when exposed to heat, another dynamic test was conducted with the getter at room temperature (about 25.5 °C). After allotting time for a background test, the 3-way valve was opened to allow air to flow freely through the system. After 30 minutes, the test concluded as the 3-way valve was shut.

Lastly, to generate a baseline for comparison with room temperature and 200 °C getter data, a dynamic test was conducted with the getter removed. Running the vacuum with just the 4-meter column connected directly to the ion source, the SEM template was selected. Background ion current data were observed by opening the bellows and turbo valve. The 3-way valve remained shut. Once the species’ ion currents had leveled out, the filament and SEM were temporarily turned off to open the 3-way valve. The system drew air for 4 hours and 53 minutes before ending the dynamic test.

Ion current data collected during each of the three dynamic tests (room temperature getter, 200 °C getter, and no getter) were compiled onto the same graph in Python™. After plotting, the ion current axis was changed to a logarithmic scale for ease of interpretation and comparison.

3. Results and Discussion

The plot of N_2 indicates that applying the 200 °C getter reduced the ion current from 8.9358×10^{-11} to 1.5462×10^{-12} Amps (Figure 8). Applying the room-temperature getter reduced the ion current from 8.9358×10^{-11} Amps with no getter to 1.4414×10^{-12} Amps. Together, these

showed promising results as the N_2 concentration entering the ion source of the mass spectrometer should be reduced after passing through the getter. However, the difference in ion current between the room-temperature getter and the 200 °C getter was only 1.0480×10^{-13} Amps. Based upon the manufacturing handbook distributed by SAES Getters Group, the 200 °C getter should have proved more efficient at removing N_2 . Not only was the difference in ion current small, but the heated getter's graphic line was above the room temperature's. Additionally, there appears to be a general trend upwards in the room temperature's data line. This is likely due to gas escape through the metal walls of the system.

Figure 8: Data plot comparing the ion current of nitrogen with a 200 °C getter, a room temperature getter, and no getter attached over time.

Plotting ^{40}Ar indicates that applying the getter also greatly reduced its detectability despite ^{40}Ar being a trace gas supposed to be unaffected by getter absorption (Figure 9). Without a getter, the ion current read 8.6194×10^{-11} Amps but dropped to 5.5688×10^{-14} Amps when the 200 °C getter was added. Similarly, the ion current decreased to 1.2760×10^{-13} Amps after the room-temperature getter was installed. In fact, the decrease in ion current for ^{40}Ar appeared to be roughly the same as experienced by N_2 . Due to the extensive decrease in ion currents across noble gases, neither plot of neon could be created. Seeing that the ion current of $^{20}\text{Ne}^+$ and $^{20}\text{Ne}^{2+}$ was already low with no getter attached, application of the getter reduced their ion currents to the edge of detectability by the mass spectrometer. Therefore, ^{40}Ar was plotted in place of neon to represent the trend that a trace gas exhibits when the getter is installed. In this case, the

efficiency gap between the heated and cold getter was slightly more substantial at 7.1915×10^{-14} Amps, but still below the desired separation.

Figure 9: Data plot comparing the ion current of argon with a 200 °C getter, a room temperature getter, and no getter attached over time.

Table 3: Data table displaying the average ion current value for each species during all three getter application scenarios.

	Ion Current (A)		
	No Getter	Room Temp Getter	200 C Getter
N ₂	8.9358E-11	1.4414E-12	1.5462E-12
O ₂	8.2900E-11	1.0273E-14	4.3113E-14
⁴⁰ Ar	8.6194E-11	1.2760E-13	5.5688E-14
²⁰ Ne ²⁺	3.5548E-13	N/A	N/A
²⁰ Ne ⁺	7.2951E-13	N/A	N/A

Upon closer investigation of the ion current changes in N₂ and ⁴⁰Ar, it appears as though both currents decreased by roughly the same amount. To formulate an accurate comparison, an average ion current value for each species was calculated (Table 3). Note that the general

decrease in ion current values when the getter was installed decreased $^{20}\text{Ne}^+$ and $^{20}\text{Ne}^{2+}$ below a detectable range resulting in negative ion current values for both getter scenarios.

Using the average values and the ion current differences between getter applications, a percent change table was generated to directly compare how the species were affected in relation to each other (Table 4). In both the hot and cool getter cases, each species experienced nearly a 100% decrease in ion current. This implies that the getter material did not distinguish between gas species and reduced all concentrations of gas by about the same amount. One possible explanation for this behavior could be that the getter material is inhibiting the flow of air into the mass spectrometer, creating a pressure difference. Instead of changing the composition of air that enters the ion source, the getter may have created a pressure difference that reduced the amount of air that passes, thus reducing the ion currents. More investigation is needed to understand this behavior.

Table 4: Data table comparing the percent change of each tested species when a 200 °C and a room temperature getter were installed.

	Percent Change (%)	
	No Getter vs Room Temp.	No Getter vs 200 C
N_2	98.4	98.3
O_2	100.0	99.9
^{40}Ar	99.9	99.9
$^{20}\text{Ne}^{2+}$	N/A	N/A
$^{20}\text{Ne}^+$	N/A	N/A

Moving forward, we would like to explore the contrast in efficiency between the heated and room temperature getter. It is possible that our getter does not contain enough material to discern a visible increase in efficiency when heated. A similar study conducted by Visser et al. (2013) used 830 cm² of getter material heated to 400 °C compared to our 90.13 cm² at 200 °C. Future experiments will involve an extended getter housing to store more sintered pellets and be heated beyond 200 °C.

Another possible extension to boost the signal strength of $^{20}\text{Ne}^+$ and $^{20}\text{Ne}^{2+}$ is to increase the cathode voltage beyond -100 eV. In an experiment to track the ion current of $^{20}\text{Ne}^{2+}$ at varying ionization energies, Chung and Cho (2001) found that $^{20}\text{Ne}^{2+}$ reached its maximum ion current at about -250 eV. In our setup, the maximum voltage that can be achieved is -100 eV; however, some third-party software attachments can allow this limit to be increased to -120 eV.

Conclusions

We have described the initial findings from a custom gas equilibration mass spectrometer (GEMS), a deployable spectrometry method with the intent to continuously measure the molar concentration of dissolved ^{20}Ne , ^{21}Ne , and ^{22}Ne in water. While operating at an ionization

voltage of -80 eV and an emission current of 0.5 A, the chemical getter decreased the ion current of N₂ by 8.78×10^{11} Amps, O₂ by 8.29×10^{11} Amps, and ⁴⁰Ar by 8.61×10^{11} A. Further work needs to be conducted to comprehend how the getter affects the composition and quantity of air that passes into the GEMS system.

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank Lucie Maranda and David Smith for encouraging me to pursue graduate school and instilling me with the skills of a young, successful scientist. Thank you, Lisa De Pace, for assisting with graphical computations, providing helpful comments and suggestions, as well as, your interest in this project. I also owe a large debt of gratitude to my advisor, Brice Loose, for guiding me through the difficulties of research. Without him, this would never have been possible. Funding was provided by the National Science Foundation “Summer Undergraduate Research Fellowship in Oceanography” (SURFO) award OCE- 1757572.

References

- Biddle, L. C., Heywood, K. J., Kaiser, J., & Jenkins, A. (2017). Glacial meltwater identification in the Amundsen Sea. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*. <http://doi.org/10.1175/JPO-D-16-0221.1>
- Chung, Y., & Cho, H. (2001). Multiple Ionization Cross Sections of Neon by Electron Impact. *Journal of the Korean Physical Society*, 39(4), 609–612.
- Hohmann, R. (2002). Excess helium and neon in the southeast Pacific: Tracers for glacial meltwater. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 107(C11), 3198. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2000JC000378>
- Holocher, †, F. Peeters, *, †, W. Aeschbach-Hertig, †, W. Kinzelbach, ‡ and, & R. Kipfer†, §. (2003). Kinetic Model of Gas Bubble Dissolution in Groundwater and Its Implications for the Dissolved Gas Composition. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ES025712Z>
- Jousten, Karl (2008). *Handbook of Vacuum Technology*. John Wiley & Sons. pp. 463–474. ISBN 3-527-40723-5.
- Manning, C. C., Stanley, R. H. R., & Lott, D. E. (2016). Continuous Measurements of Dissolved Ne, Ar, Kr, and Xe Ratios with a Field-Deployable Gas Equilibration Mass Spectrometer. *Analytical Chemistry*, 88(6), 3040–3048. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.5b03102>
- Mattox, Donald M. (2010). *Handbook of Physical Vapor Deposition (PVD) Processing* (2 ed.). William Andrew. p. 625. ISBN 0815520387.
- Micek, C. (2008, December 8). Huygens Probe Gas Chromatography Mass Spectrometer. Retrieved from https://attic.gsfc.nasa.gov/huygensgcms/Mass_Spec_Intro.htm
- Rignot, E., Velicogna, I., van den Broeke, M. R., Monaghan, A., & Lenaerts, J. T. M. (2011). Acceleration of the contribution of the Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets to sea level rise. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 38(5), n/a-n/a. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2011GL046583>
- Silvano, A., Rintoul, S. R., Peña-Molino, B., Hobbs, W. R., van Wijk, E., Aoki, S., ... Williams, G. D. (2018). Freshening by glacial meltwater enhances melting of ice shelves and reduces formation of Antarctic Bottom Water. *Science Advances*, 4(4), eaap9467. <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aap9467>
- St 171 and St 172 Sintered Porous Getters* [PDF]. (n.d.). Viale, Italia: SAES Getters Group.

- Thompson, A. F. (2008). The atmospheric ocean: eddies and jets in the Antarctic Circumpolar Current. *Philosophical Transactions. Series A, Mathematical, Physical, and Engineering Sciences*, 366(1885), 4529–41. <http://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2008.0196>
- US Standard Atmosphere, 1976; U.S. Government Printing Office: Washington, DC, 1976.
- Visser, A., Singleton, M. J., Hillegonds, D. J., Velsko, C. A., Moran, J. E., & Esser, B. K. (2013). A membrane inlet mass spectrometry system for noble gases at natural abundances in gas and water samples. *Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry*, 27(21), 2472–2482. <https://doi.org/10.1002/rcm.6704>

Appendix

- 07.05.18:** Initial static air test with a cool and 200 °C getter. Python code graphs the ion current of each species for both getter configurations.
- 07.06.18:** Second static air test with the same configuration to compare against data from July 5. Python program generates a side by side plot of cool and heated getter. Plot is provided in the folder.
- 07.12.18:** New ion source values were chosen and listed within the introduction of the run notes. Room temperature static getter test conducted. Available code plots ion current curves for each chemical species.
- 07.13.18:** 200 °C heated getter static air test with the same ion source values as July 12. Python script graphs ion current curves.
- 07.17.18:** New sintered getter pellets installed. To compare gettering efficiency with previous material, a room temperature static test was conducted. “Ion Current 07.17.18” plots the ion currents of the data from July 17. “July 12 and July 17 Comparison” takes the cool getter results from before and after the new getter material was installed and compares the ion current readings. Plot is provided.
- 07.18.18:** 200 °C static air test with new getter pellets was ran to compare with data collected on July 13. “Ion Current 07.18.18” plots the ion current data from July 18 while the “July 13 and July 18 Comparison” compares the heated getter results from both days and graphs them. Plot is provided.
- 07.20.18:** Getter nipple removed to perform an ionization voltage test. Python scripts were divided into an emission current of 0.5 Amps and 1.0 Amps test. For each emission current, the cathode voltage varied from -100 eV to -40eV in intervals of 20 eV. A graph of each tested chemical species was generated comparing the ion current readings at each cathode voltage. The error bars were calculated using the average ion current’s standard deviation. Note that a brief section of this ion source test was used to capture no getter data that was later used alongside SEM data collected on July 27.
- 07.26.18:** 4-meter capillary was installed, and getter was placed in-line with the mass spectrometer’s ion source.
- 07.27.18:** Dynamic SEM test with a room temperature and 200 °C getter was run. Python codes generate graphs comparing the ion currents of a room temperature getter, 200 °C getter and no getter. The no getter data was pulled from a brief dynamic test section during the ion source tuning on July 20. Graphs of each species is provided alongside its corresponding script.
- 08.01.18:** Ran SEM test without a getter to collect ion current data to compare with the no getter data within the ion source tuning test on July 20.

08.02.18: Second test running a room temperature and a 300 °C getter to compare with no getter. Python code takes no getter data from August 1 and getter application data from August 2. The plot of each chemical species is included.

08.10.18: Michael's final presentation slides, Oceanbites blog, and final copy of this paper were added to Google Drive under the "Michael F. Miller SURFO Materials" folder.

Sedimentary Signatures of Climate Variability and Tectonic Activity in Lake Azuei, Haiti: Possible Implications for Natural Hazards

Allyson N. Murray^{1†} and Marie-Hélène Cormier¹

¹University of Rhode Island Graduate School of Oceanography, Narragansett RI

[†]Stockton University, Galloway NJ 08205

murray44@go.stockton.edu

Running head: A. MURRAY, SURFO FINAL REPORT, 2018

Key Points:

- Carbon-14 dating of material from sediment cores will provide a maximum age for a paleoshoreline imaged at ~11 m depth
- The likely cause of the ~11 m paleoshoreline was wet conditions which caused the lake to flow east at the sill depth
- A shallow gas front occurs throughout most of the lake and is deeper in areas that are tectonically deformed

Key Index Words: Haiti, paleoshoreline, transform fault, sediment core, subbottom profiling (CHIRP), tectonic deformation

Abstract

Lake Azuei in Haiti is one of the largest lakes in the Caribbean (10 km x 23 km). The southern portion of Lake Azuei straddles the Enriquillo-Plantain Garden Fault, one of two transform faults that define the Caribbean-North American plate boundary. During a January 2017 expedition, sub-bottom seismic reflection (CHIRP) profiles were collected in a grid pattern across the lake. Using Opendtect, a seismic interpretation system, sedimentary layers were tracked laterally along each profile to construct 3D representations of these layers. The lake has a flat bottom, suggesting that it is infilled with turbidites. By imaging the deformation of these turbidite layers, we gained insight into vertical deformation affecting the lake floor. Additionally, the CHIRP data imaged a prominent paleoshoreline at ~11-m water depth, implying that it was stable for a long time and then rapidly submerged. Two sediment cores were collected from the lake bed, and their upper portions have been radiometrically dated using ²¹⁰Pb methods, indicating recent sedimentation rates of ~1 mm/yr. One core sampled a layer identifiable in the CHIRP profile and that extends laterally below the ~1-m paleoshoreline. On-going ¹⁴C dating of material sampled deep from the two cores will provide the maximum age for the paleoshoreline. We hypothesize this ~11-m paleoshoreline corresponds to a time when the lake overflowed into Lake Enriquillo to the east along a valley that is currently blocked by an alluvial fan. Alternatively, it could also represent a period of maximum aridity known as the Terminal Classic Drought.

1. Introduction

Lake Azuei is a brackish lake located in the Cul-de-Sac basin in Haiti about 30 km east of the capital city of Port-au-Prince. The lake is endorheic (with no outflow to other basins or the

ocean), which means that its level is extremely sensitive to variations in precipitation. In the past 10 years there has been ~5-meter rise in lake level (Moknatian et al., 2017). This rise submerged roads, houses, and farmland surrounding the lake. The southern portion of Lake Azuei is presumably cut through by the eastern extension of the Enriquillo-Plantain Garden Fault (EPGF). The EPGF is one of two left-lateral strike slip fault trending E-W, which demarks the boundary between the Caribbean and North American plates. However, the trace of the fault is not well imaged in the surrounding landscape, leading to the publication of competing models, some advocating a purely strike-slip fault through Lake Azuei (e.g. Mann et al., 1995), and other a transpressional fault (Saint Fleur et al., 2015; Symithe and Calais, 2016). The January 12, 2010 M7.0 earthquake occurred along the previously unmapped Léogâne fault, a North-dipping fault that runs subparallel to and abuts the sub-vertical EPGF (Calais et al., 2010). This report contributes new results relevant to the climate history and seismic risk of eastern Haiti by studying sediment cores and seismic reflection profiles collected in Lake Azuei in 2017.

2. Geologic Setting

Lake Azuei is a large (10 km by 25 km), brackish lake located ~30 km from the capital city of Port-au-Prince. The lake is relatively shallow, about 30 meters deep at its depocenter. Lake Azuei lies along the boundary between the North American and Caribbean plates (Figure 1), which are moving at a rate of 19 mm/yr relative to each other (e.g., Benford et al., 2012). Two major transform fault systems define this broad plate boundary, the Enriquillo-Plantain Garden Fault (EPGF) and the Septentrional Fault. These fault systems are both left-lateral and separated by about 200 km (e.g. Mann et al., 1995; Symithe and Calais, 2016).

The January 2010 M 7.0 earthquake ruptured along the Léogâne fault, which abuts the EPGF. The EPGF is well defined throughout most of southern Haiti, where it travels through the southern edge of Port-au-Prince, and across the Cul-de-Sac basin before presumably plunging below Lake Azuei (Symithe and Calais, 2016; Saint Fleur et al., 2015). The geological setting of Lake Azuei is controlled by transpressional tectonics. Not only are the Southern and Northern parts of Hispaniola sliding past each other in a left-lateral motion along the EPGF and the Septentrional fault, they are also being squeezed together. Indeed, GPS monitoring across Hispaniola document an overall NE-SW transpressional motion (e.g., Benford et al., 2012; Calais et al., 2010). The main goal of the Lake Azuei Project is to determine how this transpressional motion is accommodated between strike-slip and contractional structures using seismic reflection methods. Lake Azuei is located ~60 km east of the epicenter of the 2010 earthquake, which surprised the scientific community by rupturing an unknown transpressional fault rather than the well mapped EPGF.

Several folds are mapped around Lake Azuei (Symithe and Calais, 2016), as well as beneath Lake Azuei (Cormier et al., 2017). These folds could either be en echelon drag folds or fault-propagation folds. En echelon drag folds are surficial features which develop along strike-slip fault zones, and are oriented slightly oblique to the fault. Fault-propagation folds are surface expressions of a shallow-dipping blind thrust fault, and strike parallel to the fault (where a thrust fault does not reach the surface, it is referred to as a blind fault). In the case where the Lake Azuei folds would be fault-propagation folds, the associated blind thrust would be a south-dipping low-angle thrust fault which projects into the Cul-de-Sac Basin from the Massif de la

Selle (Symithe and Calais, 2016). Although this is the preferred model, en echelon drag fold cannot be ruled out based on existing data (Saint Fleur et al., 2015).

Figure 1: Tectonic context of Hispaniola, including the two major strike-slip faults that compose the broad Caribbean and South American plate boundary: the Enriquillo-Plantain Garden Fault and the Septentrional Fault. Relative plate motion velocity (mm/yr), based on GPS monitoring, is indicated by the arrows; green arrows indicate updated velocities compared by a prior model (in red). Historical earthquakes dates are denoted by black numbers, and their estimated rupture are indicated by either a colored box (dipping thrust fault) or a colored line (vertical strike-slip fault). From Benford et al., 2012.

3. Paleoshorelines

A paleoshoreline is an ancient shoreline that is preserved above or below present water level. As such, it can provide a useful marker for prior horizontality and water level in an area where tectonic deformation occurs. These features are commonly mapped on land as “marine terraces” (e.g., Armijo et al., 1999; Muhs et al., 2014), but can also be imaged underwater using seismic reflection methods (Sloan et al., 2017) and/or high resolution multibeam bathymetry (e.g., Cormier et al., 2006). The marker of a paleoshoreline is the pronounced “shoreline angle”, a sharp transition from flatter to a steeper slope. In order to groundtruth a paleoshoreline detected from seismic reflection and/or multibeam bathymetry, one needs to collect sediment samples across that paleoshoreline. The samples would then be inspected for shell hash, pebbles, or other characteristics of a beach facies.

In practice, since paleoshorelines are markers of original horizontality, they can be used to determine the amount of relative vertical deformation affecting an area due to tectonic activity, and thus provide useful constraints to assess the seismic hazard of an area.

4. Data and Methods

4.1 CHIRP data analysis

A three-week expedition to Lake Azuei in January of 2017 collected high-resolution subbottom seismic reflection (CHIRP) data, multichannel seismic reflection data, and three sediment cores (Cormier and Sloan, 2017). In order to visualize and interactively interpret the seismic reflection data, we utilized Opendtect (<https://www.opendtect.org>). Opendtect is a free, open source seismic interpretation system, which can display seismic profiles in 2D and 3D, highlighting the spatial relations of the profiles relative to one another (Figure 2). Using this software, sedimentary layers, called seismic horizons, were digitally tracked laterally across CHIRP profiles. Particularly, turbidite layers, deformed layers, and erosional surfaces were tracked throughout the lake bed, with the goal to gain insight into vertical deformation and fault geometry.

Figure 2: Fence diagram looking West of multichannel seismic profiles (Hearn et al., 2017)

After digitizing the horizons, several imaging softwares were used in order to graphically represent the data and horizons. These included GMT (<https://www.soest.hawaii.edu/gmt/>), and Fledermaus (<http://www.qps.nl/display/fledermaus>).

4.2 Gas Front and Paleo-shoreline identification

A gas front beneath the lake bed is clearly identifiable in the CHIRP profiles. The gas front is a bright reflector, which obscures most of the geological layers below it (Figure 3). The interaction of gas bubbles in shallow sediment with seismic signal results in “acoustic turbidity”, the strong attenuation of the signal and ensuing wipe-out of underlying reflectors (Hagen and Vogt, 1999). Gas found in shallow marine sediments is generally of biogenic origin, formed by bacterial reduction of organic matter in the upper 10s to 100s of meter of sediment (e.g., Hagen and Vogt, 1999).

The gas front was traced throughout all of the CHIRP profiles in order to assess the thickness of gas-free sediments throughout the lake.

The 11-m paleoshoreline was picked previously based on shoreline angle in CHIRP profiles (Lucier, 2017; Sloan et al., 2017). The ~11-m paleoshoreline generally exhibits a well-defined shoreline angle, as well as three other characteristics: 1) a transitional from acoustically opaque to acoustically transparent strata; 2) a near constant ~11-m water depth; 3) the presence, locally, of a thin (~30 cm), acoustically transparent layer draped across it (Figure 4).

There is however human error associated with picking the travel-time and coordinates of the paleoshoreline. For this reason, we tried to remain consistent with parameters for picking the paleoshoreline, looking for all the criteria mentioned above. However, it was difficult to pick exactly at this point, and the locations may be off by a few tenths of a millisecond, which would correspond to an estimated error of up to 30 cm. It was also impossible to pick paleoshorelines in the Southern portion of the lake due to the steepness of the slope.

Figure 3: Gas front near the lake bed in CHIRP line 401. Blue arrows point to the gas front, which is a bright reflector in CHIRP.

Figure 4: Paleoshoreline identification on line 603

4.3 Sediment core analysis

Three sediment cores were taken from the lake bed. Cores 1 and 2 sampled the same location due to problems with collection of core 1. The coordinates of the cores along with associated depths are included in Table 1. The core locations were decided in the field based on the CHIRP profiles just acquired, and are shown on these profiles in Figure 5. Both sediment cores seem to sample layers identifiable in CHIRP that extend laterally below the ~11-m paleoshoreline, implying the layer is older than the paleoshoreline.

Figure 5: top. Location of core 2 on CHIRP line 803. bottom. Location of core 3 on CHIRP line 300. Scale bars are shown in blue, location of cores are shown with a red line proportional to their actual length, and location of the paleoshoreline is indicated by the yellow arrow. A two-way travel time of 5 ms in water corresponds to 3.75 m.

The upper portions of the two cores were dated in 2017 using ^{210}Pb on the upper 10 cm of the cores. ^{210}Pb is a radiometric dating method applicable to the last ~150 years of sediment accumulation. Modern sedimentation rates for both cores was calculated to be ~1 mm/yr (Cormier et al., 2017). To the best of our knowledge, the only other sediment core collected from Lake Azuei sampled the deepest part of the lake and indicated a modern sedimentation rate of ~6 mm/yr (Eisen-Cuadra et al., 2013); this is consistent with our rates, as our two cores sampled the lake slopes where rates of sediment accumulation are expected to be lower. The rates cannot be extrapolated to the lower portion of the core due to presumed changes in sedimentation rates, as

suggested from the studies of other lakes in Hispaniola (e.g., Curtis and Hodell, 1993; Lane et al., 2014). Organic material from the lower portion of the core is currently being dated using the Accelerator Mass Spectrometry (AMS) Radiocarbon Dating method with Beta Analytic. In order to prepare the samples for AMS Carbon-14 dating, the sediment was processed following a methodology similar to that used for other lakes in Hispaniola (e.g., Curtis and Hodell, 1993). The sediment was scooped from half of a 1 cm-thick interval in the split core using a steel spatula. Some particularly sticky samples were soaked overnight in surfactant (sodium hexametaphosphate) to help breakdown the clumped sediment before sieving. These samples were stored in a tube with the surfactant after being shaken through a centrifuge or a “vortex genie.” The samples were then washed through a 2-mm (#10) brass frame and stainless steel cloth sieve using deionized water (Figure 6). The remaining samples were then further washed through a 1-mm plastic sieve using deionized water, and then a 125- μm (#120) brass frame and stainless steel cloth sieve. The remaining material (<125 μm) was not examined for material useful in AMS C-14 dating, as plentiful gastropods and plant material were isolated with the three sieves. The samples were then placed in dishes according to grain size and kept wet with deionized water.

A reflected light binocular microscope was used to examine the samples. The most abundant specimen available were gastropods, but plant material including wood was also found. The specimens were picked from the dishes using a fine brush and/or tweezers and stored in a separate dish according to their nature (wood, plant, or gastropod). The number of whole gastropods, gastropod fragments, and plant material was recorded for each sample (Table 2). The samples were then dried overnight at 100°C and weighed to ensure that at least 20 mg of dry material was available for a reliable AMS C-14 dating. The description and weights of the samples selected for C-14 dating are listed in Table 3.

Figure 6: Wet sieving set up. A 2-mm sieve on top of a 1-mm sieve.

5. Preliminary Results

The research performed this summer will provide some needed constraints about several thought-provoking questions on the geological history of Lake Azuei. Namely, what is the origin of the 11-m deep paleoshoreline? Why is the paleoshoreline not detecting any vertical

deformation in the presumed extension of the EPGF? How fast is the west side of the lake uplifting?

5.1 Maximum age of the 11-m deep paleoshoreline and possible origin

We are in the process of dating material from the bottom of the core using Carbon-14 dating methods. We anticipate the resulting dates will give insight into the climate history of Haiti.

One of our five samples, the plant material from Core 2 at 63-64 cm, could not be dated due to carbon replacement.

Two hypotheses could explain the origin of the ~11-m paleoshoreline. The first is that this shoreline represents a period of maximum aridity. Multiple papers (e.g. Curtis and Hodell, 1993; Caffrey et al., 2013; Lane et al., 2014) have reported a period of maximum aridity in the Caribbean. This period is also known as the “Terminal Classic Drought” and may be responsible, in part, for the collapse of the Mayan civilization, and ended around ~1000 years BP. A future project to test this hypothesis could be to collect cores across the paleoshoreline to precisely date its formation and, possibly, detect a signature of aridity in the sediment. However, this hypothesis cannot really explain why the paleoshoreline would have stabilized at a depth of 11 meters. If this depth corresponds to a period of maximum aridity, why did the lake not continue to dry up?

Figure 7: Location of possible outlet to Lake Azuei in past, which is now obstructed by an alluvial fan (clearly visible near 71°51'W).

Another possible explanation for this ~11-m paleoshoreline is a period of increased precipitation. Sustained wet conditions may lead to the lake spilling over the lowest surrounding elevation (sill), with its level being maintained at that sill depth. Although there is currently no outlet to the lake, there may have been one in the past. A possible location for the sill depth that caused the ~11-m paleoshoreline is the Southeast corner of Lake Azuei, which is currently 33 meters above sea level (Figure 7). Because the 11-m deep paleoshoreline is actually at an elevation of ~10 m above sea level, ~23 m of sediment accumulation would be needed to explain the present topography. This does not seem impossible in this tectonic location since the 33-m-high sill is located on the eastern extension of the EPGF fault zone. Seismic activity, such as thrust faulting and/or earthquake-triggered landslides, and/or mudslides could cause the blockage. Such blockages have been reported in several other sites due to landslides and/or growth of alluvial fans (Atwater et al., 1996; Dai et al., 2005; Keefer, 1999); furthermore, earthquake-triggered landslides are common in Haiti (Gorum et al., 2013; Harp et al., 2016). The 33-m sill is located within a narrow corridor which connects Lake Azuei to Lake Enriquillo and is currently blocked by an alluvial fan. The city of Jimani is built on this alluvial fan, and experienced extensive flooding in 2004, suggesting that similar conditions in the past could have facilitated extensive flash floods and the rapid growth of that alluvial fan.

5.2 Distribution of biogenic gas in lake sediment and tectonic activity

Gas fronts were imaged throughout most of the CHIRP profiles, and in many cases, they were seen to rise very close to the lake bed (Figure 8). This gas front obscures most of the geological layers beneath it. Such gas front is commonly imaged in subaqueous sediment and is interpreted to be of biogenic origin, formed by bacterial reduction of organic matter in the upper 10s to 100s of meters of sediment. However, there are two environments in Lake Azuei where a gas front is deeper or is simply absent (see Figure 8): 1) The gas front is deeper in tectonically active areas (folds and faults), presumably because fractures and fissures in deformed sediments provide pathways for biogenic gas to escape (e.g., Leithold et al., 2018). This includes the monoclinical fold on the west side of the lake where a gas front seems to be absent and the smaller folds east and south of the lake; 2) CHIRP penetration exceeds 8 ms (~6 m) at the lake depocenter, below 29-30 m water depth (lines 401 and 602). MCS profiles collected along the same tracks also reveal deeper penetration in this area. This observation is compatible with anoxic conditions in the lake depocenter as it would preclude bacterial reduction of organic matter and, therefore, would preclude the formation of biogenic gas. CHIRP profiles, therefore, may provide a useful tool to estimate the spatial extent of anoxic conditions.

Another line of support for anoxic conditions at the depocenter is metal geochemistry performed on a 50 cm-long sediment core collected there in 2011. That core had a negative cerium anomaly, which is typical of sediments deposited under anoxic conditions (Eisen-Cuadra et al., 2013). If anoxic conditions do occur at the depocenter of Lake Azuei, this area may contain finely laminated sediments, potentially providing a detailed, undisturbed record of climate, as well as of prior earthquake events (seismites). Additionally, the high sedimentation rate of ~6 mm/yr at the depocenter (Eisen-Cuadra et al., 2013) would favor a good stratigraphic separation between events.

Figure 8: Thickness of gas-free layer throughout Lake Azuei. Difference between the bathymetry and the depth to the gas front, when gas is present.

5.3 Areas of tectonic deformation and their style

The ~11-m paleoshoreline has a remarkably constant depth around the lake bed (Figure 9). However, in certain portions the paleoshoreline is raised 1-2 m. Interestingly, the paleoshoreline is deformed away from the presumed fault locations and undeformed where the fault is presumed to be. Further analysis is needed to determine why. Possibly, the 1-2 m of uplift in the western region of the lake results from a single large earthquake on the subjacent blind thrust fault (Hearn et al., 2017), while no similarly large earthquake has affected the southern section of the lake since the formation of the paleoshoreline.

Figure 9: (a) Location of paleoshoreline picks (yellow dots); the 11 m contour is indicated with the thin black line. (b) Mean paleoshoreline depth in meters. Numbers along the horizontal axis corresponds to the numbers on the map (after Sloan et al., 2017)

Sediment deformation was found throughout Lake Azuei, and was prevalent in the Southern portion of the lake in the form of folds (Figure 10). There were also signs of liquefaction in the western portion of the lake, above a large monoclinical fold imaged with the MCS data (Figure 11).

Figure 10: Fold in lake bed on line 803 in south eastern portion.

Figure 11: Possible liquefaction in western portion of the lake bed.

There were no gas flares imaged in the water column in the CHIRP profiles. In contrast, some recent studies along other submerged active faults have imaged pervasive gas seeps, suggesting that faults provide ready pathways for gas and fluids (e.g. Dupre et al., 2015; Kluesner et al., 2016). In that respect, the lack of any gas flares beneath Lake Azuei is an unexpected result. Possibly, since the lake is so shallow (about 30 m deep) and possibly, relatively young, there is not enough gas accumulation within the sediment infill to sustain gas flares.

Further results from continued analysis will be presented at the 2018 fall meeting of the American Geophysical Union in Washington DC (Cormier et al., 2018).

6. Impact to other studies (HaitiDRILL)

There is currently an international initiative to promote scientific drilling in Haiti and specifically in Lake Azuei (project HaitiDRILL). Due to the heightened seismic risk in the area, there is a critical need for further exploration and understanding of the transform fault zone. One way to increase this knowledge is to drill into the fault zone and surrounding areas. A deep drilling site has been proposed for Lake Azuei to the International Continental Drilling Program (ICDP); the main objective of that international project (Haiti-DRILL) would be to drill in close proximity to the EPGF and constrain its tectonic evolution. The newly acquired seismic reflection profiles, especially multichannel seismic, would provide the critical information needed for selecting a drilling site in the lake, especially with respect to safety issues. For example, we imaged a lot of gas throughout the lake bed in our CHIRP profiles, and it would not be good to drill into a pocket of pressurized gas. Through the use of MCS profiles, which have deeper penetration, it would, for example, be possible to confirm the absence of pockets of high gas concentration. Additionally, structures can be imaged to see what would be worthy of drilling into. Hopefully, the results from our project will aid in the funding of the HaitiDRILL project. That project would not only advance our understanding of the tectonic evolution of the region, but deep drilling would also provide the data needed to unravel the timing of the closure of a presumed open seaway between the Atlantic and Caribbean (Mann et al., 1995), provide an estimate of earthquake recurrence in the area (paleoseismology), and potentially help establish the longest sedimentary record of climate change in the northern Caribbean (e.g., Hodel et al., 1991). Lastly, it could nail down the timing of the arrival of the first inhabitants in Hispaniola, the Arawak (Taino) people through their impact on the environment, for example by dating the appearance of maize pollen and evidence of increasing fire (e.g. Lane et al., 2008, 2009, 2014).

7. On-going Work

We are currently in the process of analyzing our paleoshoreline data to interpret tectonic deformation rates in the lake bed. We are waiting for the AMS C-14 dates to come back from processing in order to confine the age of the ~11-m paleoshoreline.

Table 1: Sediment cores along with location in UTM coordinates and associated length

Core	Location UTM (x,y, in meter)	Length
LA17-BC01-1A	193720, 2048138	56 cm
LA17-BC02-1A	193720, 2048138	87 cm
LA17-BC03-1A	183585, 2053384	74 cm

Table 2: Sediment cores and depth of samples taken for Carbon-14 dating along with the number of gastropods, gastropod fragments, and plant material found in the 2-mm and 1-mm fractions.

Core	Depth (cm)	# of gastropods	# of gastropod fragments	# of plant material
LA17-BC-02-1A	6-7	Not counted	Not Counted	Not Counted
LA17-BC-02-1A	34-35	180	200	24
LA17-BC-02-1A	42-43	35	16	13
LA17-BC-02-1A	63-64	37	10	36
LA17-BC-02-1A	76-77	5	0	0
LA17-BC-02-1A	83-84	0	0	0
LA17-BC-03-1A	23-24	8	9	0
LA17-BC-03-1A	33-34	5	9	0
LA17-BC-03-1A	53-54	39	10	1
LA17-BC-03-1A	64-65	91	41	0
LA17-BC-03-1A	71-72	36	74	1

Table 3: Sediment cores and depths of samples sent for Carbon-14 dating. The plant material from core 2 at 63-64 cm depth could not be dated.

Core	Depth (cm)	Description	Sample weight (mg)
LA17-BC-02-1A	42-43	Gastropods	97
LA17-BC-02-1A	42-43	Wood	46
LA17-BC-02-1A	63-64	Gastropods	42
LA17-BC-02-1A	63-64	Plants	86
LA17-BC-03-1A	71-72	Gastropods	179

Acknowledgments

Allyson Murray was supported by a Summer Undergraduate Research Fellowship in Oceanography (SURFO) (National Science Foundation REU grant #OCE-1460819)

This project is financed by the U.S. National Science Foundation under grants EAR-1624583 and EAR-1624556. During this summer research internship, I had the pleasure of working with Dr. Milene Cormier, a research scientist at the Graduate School of Oceanography. I also had the pleasure of working with Casey Hearn, a doctoral candidate at the Graduate School of Oceanography. Dr. John King and his lab, especially Danielle Cares, were instrumental in sediment core analysis and Carbon-14 dating. Additional thanks to Dr. Christopher Sorlien for help with analysis of CHIRP data and processing of MCS profiles.

References

- Armijo, R., Meyer, B., King, G.C.P., Rigo, A., and Papanastassiou, D., 1996, Quaternary evolution of the Corinth Rift and its implications for the Late Cenozoic evolution of the Aegean: *Geophysical Journal International*, v. 126, p. 11-53
- Atwater, B.F., Adam, D.P., Bradbury, J.P., Forester, R.M., Mark, R.K., Lettis, W.R., Fisher, G.R., Gobalet, K.W., and Robinson, S.W., 1996, A fan dam for Tulare Lake, California, and implications for the Wisconsin glacial history of the Sierra Nevada: *Geological Society of America Bulletin*, v. 97, p. 97-109
- Benford, B., DeMets, C., and Calais, E., 2012, GPS estimates of microplate motions, northern Caribbean: evidence for a Hispaniola microplate and implications for earthquake hazard: *Geophysical Journal International*, v. 191, p. 481-490
- Buck, D.G., 2004, Limnology and paleolimnology of hypersaline Lago Enriquillo, Dominican Republic. Chapter 3: A Late holocene record of climate variability from hypersaline Lago Enriquillo, Dominican Republic [M.S. thesis]: University of Florida, p. 25-62
- Caffrey, M.A., Horn, S.P., Orvis, K.H., and Haberyan, K.A., 2015, Holocene environmental change at Laguna Saladilla, coastal north Hispaniola: *Paleogeography, Paleoclimatology, Paleocology*, v. 436, p. 9-22
- Calais, E., Freed, A., Mattioli, G., Amelung, F., Jonsson, S., Jansma, P., Hong, S.-H., Dixon, T., Prepetit, C., and Momplaisir, R., 2010, Transpressional rupture of an unmapped fault during the 2010 Haiti earthquake: *Nature Geoscience*, 3, 794-799
- Cormier, M.H., Seeber, L., McHugh, C.M.G., Polonia, A., Cagatay, N., Emre, O., Gasperini, L., Gorur, N., Bortoluzzi, G., Bonatti, E., Ryan, W.B.F., and Newman, K.R., 2006, The North Anatolian fault in the Gulf of Izmit (Turkey): Rapid vertical motion in response to minor bends of a non-vertical continental transform: *Journal of Geophysical Research* 111(doi:1029/2005JB003633): B04102
- Cormier, M.H., Sloan, H, and the Lake Azuei Project Team, *Lake Azuei Project, Haiti: Field Report*, <https://www.projectlakeazuei.org>, January 9 - February 3, 2017
- Cormier, M.H., Sloan, H., Boisson, D., Brown, B., Guerrier, K., Hearn, C.K., Heil, C.W., Kelly, R.P., King, J.W., Knotts, P., Lucier, O.F., Momplaisir, R., Stempel, R., Symithe, S.J., Ulysse, S.M.J., and Wattrus, N.J., 11-15 December 2017, Signature of Transpressional Tectonics in the Holocene Stratigraphy of Lake Azuei, Haiti: Preliminary Results from a High-Resolution Subbottom Profiling Survey, Fall Meeting of the American Geophysical Union, Session T047, abstract # 259609, New Orleans
- Cormier, M.H., Sloan, H., King, J.W., Boisson, D., Guerrier, K., Hearn, C.K., Heil, C.W., Kelly, R.P., Momplaisir, R., Murray, A.N., Sorlien, C.C., Symithe, S.J., Ulysse, S.M.J., and Wattrus, N.J., Late Quaternary fault-related folding, uplifted paleoshoreline, and liquefaction structures: clues about transpressional activity along the North

- American-Caribbean plate boundary from a comprehensive seismic reflection survey of Lake Azuei, Haiti, Abstract #368456, Fall Meeting of the American Geophysical Union, Washington DC, 2018
- Curtis, J.H., and Hodell, D.A., 1993, An isotopic and trace element study of ostracods from Lake Miragoane, Haiti: A 10,500 year record of paleosalinity and paleotemperature changes in the Caribbean: *Climate Change in Continental Isotopic Records*, Geophysical Monograph, v. 78, p. 135-152
- Dai, F.C., Lee, C.F., Deng, J.H., and Tham, L.G., 2005, The 1786 earthquake-triggered landslide dam and subsequent dam-break flood on the Dadu River, southwest China: *Geomorphology*, v. 65, p. 205-221
- Dupré, S., Scalabrin, C., Grall, C., Augustin, J.M., Henry, P., Sengor, A.M., Gorur, N., Cagatau, M.N., and Geli, L., 2015, Tectonic and sedimentary controls on widespread gas emissions in the Sea of Marmara Results from systematic, ship-borne multibeam echosounder water column imaging: *Journal of Geophysical Research*, v. 120, p. 2891-2912
- Eisen-Cuadra, A., Christian, A.D., Dorval, E., Broadaway, B., Herron, J., and Hannigan, R.E., 2013, Metal Geochemistry of a Brackish Lake: Etang Saumatre, Haiti, P. Censi et al. (eds.), *Medical Geochemistry: Geological Materials and Health*, p. 149-166
- Gorum, T., van Westen, C.J., Korup, O., van der Meijde, M., Fan, X., and van der Meer, F., 2013, Complex rupture mechanism and topography control symmetry of mass-wasting pattern, 2010 Haiti earthquake: *Geomorphology*, v. 184, p. 127-138
- Hagen, R.A., and Vogt, P.R., 1999, Seasonal variability of shallow biogenic gas in Chesapeake Bay: *Marine Geology*, v. 158, p. 75-88
- Hearn, C.K., Cormier, M.H., Sloan, H., Wattrus, N., Boisson, D., Brown, B., Guerrier, K., King, J.W., Knotts, P., Momplaisir, R., Sorlien, C.C., Stempel, R., Symithe, S., and Ulysse, S.M.J., 11-15 December 2017, Transpressional tectonics across the N. American-Caribbean plate boundary: preliminary results of a multichannel seismic survey of Lake Azuei, Haiti, Session T047, Abstract, Fall Meeting of the American Geophysical Union, New Orleans
- Higuera-Gundy, A., Brenner, M., Hodell, D.A., Curtis, J.H., Leyden, B.W., and Binford, M.W., 1999, A 10,300 14C yr Record of Climate and Vegetation Change from Haiti: *Quaternary Research*, v. 52, p. 159-170
- Keefer, D.K., 1999, Earthquake-induced landslides and their effects on alluvial fans: *Journal of Sedimentary Research*, v. 69, p. 84-104
- Kluesner, J.W. and Brothers, D.S., 2016, "Seismic attribute detection of faults and fluid pathways within an active strike-slip shear zone: New insight from high-resolution 3D P-CableTM seismic data along the Hosgri Fault, offshore California" AAPG/SEG Interpretation 4
- Lane, C.S., Horn, S.P., and Kerr, M.T., 2014, Beyond the Mayan Lowlands: impacts of the Terminal Classic Drought in the Caribbean Antilles: *Quaternary Science Reviews*, v. 86, p. 89-98
- Lane, C.S., Horn, S.P., Mora, C.I., Orvis, K.H., 2009, Late-Holocene paleoenvironmental change at mid-elevation on the Caribbean slope of the Cordillera Central, Dominican Republic: a multi-site, multi-proxy analysis: *Quaternary Science Reviews*, v. 28, p. 2239- 2260
- Lane, C.S., Mora, C.I., Horn, S.P., and Orvis, K.H., 2008, Sensitivity of bulk sedimentary

- stable carbon isotopes to prehistoric forest clearance and maize agriculture: *Journal of Archaeological Science*, v. 35, p. 2119-2132
- Leithold, E.L., Wegmann, K.W., Bohnenstiehl, D.R., Smith, S.G., Noren, A., and O'Grady, R., ' 2018, Slope failures within and upstream of Lake Quinault, Washington, as uneven response to Holocene earthquakes along the Cascadia subduction zone: *Quaternary Research*, v. 89, p. 178-200
- Lucier, O., 2017, Submerged Paleo-Shorelines as Markers of Vertical Deformation around Lake Azuei, Haiti, SURFO Final Report
- Moknatian, M., Piasecki, M., and Gonzalez, J., 2017, Development of geospatial and temporal characteristics for Hispaniola's Lake Azuei and Enriquillo using Landsat imagery: *Remote Sensing*, v. 9, p. 510-542
- Muhs, D.R., Simmons, K.R., Schumann, R.R., Groves, L.T., DeVogel, S.B., Minor, S.A., and Laurel, D., 2014, Coastal tectonics on the eastern margin of the Pacific Rim: late Quaternary sea-level history and uplift rates, Channel Island National Park, California, USA: *Quaternary Science Reviews*, v. 105, p. 209-238
- NASA Earth Observatory, June 11 2004. Flood disaster hits Hispaniola, <https://earthobservatory.nasa.gov/Features/Haiti2004>
- New York Times, May 28, 2004. Grief as Haitians and Dominicans tally flood toll, <https://www.nytimes.com/2004/05/28/world/grief-as-haitians-and-dominicans-tally-flood-tolls.html>
- Ostracods MIRACLE: Microfossil Image Recovery and Circulation for Learning and Education, <https://www.ucl.ac.uk/GeolSci/micropal/ostracod.html>
- Radiometric Dating vs AMS Analysis - C14 lab Beta Analytic, <https://www.radiocarbon.com/radiometric-plus.htm>.
- Saint Fleur, N., Feuillet, N., Grandin, R., 2015, Seismotectonics of southern Haiti: a new faulting model for the 12 January 2010 M7 earthquake. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 42, 10273-10281
- Sloan, H., Cormier, M.H., Boisson, D., Brown, B., Guerrier, K., Hearn, C.K., Heil, C.W., Hynes, L., Kelly, R.P., King, J.W., Knotts, P., Lucier, O.F., Momplaisir, R., Stempel, R., Symithe, S.J., Ulysse, S.M.J., and Wattrus, N.J., 11-15 December 2017, Subbottom seismic profiling survey of Lake Azuei, Haiti: Seismic signature of paleoshorelines in a transpressional environment and possible tectonic implications, Fall Meeting of the American Geophysical Union, Session T047, abstract # 259797, New Orleans
- Symithe, S., and Calais, E., 2016, Present-day shortening in Southern Haiti from GPS measurements and implications for seismic hazard: *Tectonophysics*, v. 679, p. 117-124

Microbial Community Profiling of Eastern Oysters (*Crassostrea virginica*) Infected with *Perkinsus marinus*

Sarah R. Paulson^{1,2,3}, Zachary Pimentel², and Ying Zhang²

¹Graduate School of Oceanography, University of Rhode Island, Narragansett, RI, USA.

²Department of Cell and Molecular Biology, University of Rhode Island, Kingston, RI, USA.

³Department of Biology, Wesleyan University, Middletown, CT, USA.

Corresponding author: Ying Zhang (yingzhang@uri.edu)

Running head: Microbiome of *P. marinus* infected oysters

Key Points:

- Tissue specific differences were identified in the microbiome of eastern oysters.
- Few significant differences were found in alpha and beta diversity of different infection levels within each tissue.
- Predicted functional differences were found in the shell swab, mantle, and gut.

Key Index Words: Oysters, microbiome, aquaculture, *Perkinsus marinus*, genomics

Abstract

The eastern oyster, *Crassostrea virginica*, is both ecologically and economically important. Oyster reefs filter water and act as diverse habitats, and oyster aquaculture operations provide jobs and food to coastal communities. The protozoan parasite *Perkinsus marinus* causes Dermo disease which decimates oyster populations. The microbiome has been implicated in the health status of a variety of animals, as it has been shown to help absorb nutrients, and fight off pathogens. However, little is known about the composition and function of the oyster microbiome. This study aimed to examine the relationship between the oyster microbiome and severity of infection with *Perkinsus marinus* using 16S rRNA sequencing. Tissue samples (gills, mantle, gut, hemolymph and pallial fluid) were collected from oysters sampled from Ninigret Pond, Charlestown, RI. Following the identification of disease status, oysters with a range of infection levels were selected for DNA extraction and PCR amplification of the V4 region of the 16S rRNA. The PCR amplicons were sequenced with an Illumina MiSeq platform and analyzed with QIIME2, PICRUSt, and LEfSe. Overall, 1,722 amplicon sequence variants were identified, with dominant phyla including the Proteobacteria, Fusobacteria, Tenericutes, Spirochaetes, and Bacteroidetes. Results indicate that there are differences in the alpha and beta diversity between tissue types with the gut beta diversity being distinct from other tissues. Additionally, functional differences were identified in the shell swab, mantle, and gut samples. Future research and sequencing efforts can be dedicated to exploring the existence of a core oyster microbiome.

(The rest of this section intentionally left blank)

LoBSTAS: Low-cost Benthic Weather Station To Monitor Seabed Dynamics And Coastal Hypoxia

Elizabeth H. Tan¹, Brice Loose², J.P. Walsh²

¹Department of Physics, Wheaton College, Wheaton, IL 60187

²Graduate School of Oceanography, University of Rhode Island, RI 02882

Key Points:

- Developed autonomous benthic weather station to monitor spatial and temporal variations of benthic physical processes and dissolved oxygen.
- Current prototype with 5200 mAh power bank lasted 70 hours with a 1-hour sampling interval.
- Benthic imagery characterized sediment type, benthic flora and fauna, water turbidity as well as seafloor currents through suspended particle motion.

Keywords: benthic habitat, camera, dissolved oxygen, hypoxia, benthic currents

Abstract

While a number of studies have examined coastal water quality changes (e.g., hypoxia), questions remain about the driving processes and benthic responses. A Low-cost Benthic Sensing Trap-Attached System (LoBSTAS) has been developed for multi-day image and video observations of benthic physical processes and their relationship to local dissolved oxygen levels. The prototype LoBSTAS unit contains a camera and dissolved oxygen sensor to monitor hypoxia in relation to pictures and videos of sediment suspension, particle motion, organic matter concentration, as well as water-column movement and seafloor reworking by physical processes or benthic organisms. Using off-the-shelf components and open source software in construction makes LoBSTAS affordable for potential use by researchers, managers or the public with limited finances. The low cost also enables deployment of a LoBSTAS fleet to understand spatial and temporal variations. While the LoBSTAS was initially designed to be deployed with lobster traps to evaluate benthic organism interactions with the cages, the system can also be attached to other frames or structures. At a depth of five meters, the current prototype can capture one image and a thirty-second video each hour, for a duration of seventy hours with a 5200 mAh power bank. Illumination and power consumption were significant hurdles in capturing long-duration, high quality day and night imagery in turbid waters. Future work may include computer vision algorithms for motion detection to capture benthic organisms, automatic lighting adjustment, and particle image velocimetry to deduce local benthic currents.

1 Introduction

Coastal and near-shore fisheries are important contributors to many local economies around the world, including in New England. Fishery yield and fishing effort can be highly variable among seasons, years and even decades. Some of this variation is potentially linked to changes in habitat, including water quality. A key diagnostic of water quality is the dissolved oxygen (DO) concentration, which is closely tied to nutrient balance and water stratification. The lack of DO, also known as hypoxia, is a threat to benthic dwellers such as

sessile or slow-moving shellfish (Melrose et al., 2007; Levin et al., 2009). DO concentrations have been measured in many coastal regions, but extensive spatial and temporal sampling is required to better understand the variability of DO and its relationship with benthic physical processes in space and time. While certain processes are established drivers of hypoxia, the link between benthic physical processes and hypoxia can be more informed by more investigation.

To explore these relationships, we designed a low-cost, autonomous benthic weather station (LoBSTAS¹) to monitor seabed dynamics visually and with measurements. Although the LoBSTAS was initially designed to be deployed with lobster traps to include observations of benthic organism interaction with the cages, the system can also be attached to other frames or structures. While underwater imaging systems have been widely used in biological studies and habitat mapping, the LoBSTAS takes an additional approach in focusing on changes of physical processes and hypoxia in space and time. An onboard camera records time-lapse imagery and video segments to reveal benthic currents, sediment evolution, benthic organism activity, and particle suspension in sync with local dissolved oxygen measurements.

A goal of the LoBSTAS is to make underwater monitoring affordable for potential use by researchers, managers or the public with limited finances. The low cost also enables production and deployment of a LoBSTAS fleet to understand spatial and temporal variations. With the total cost around \$380 and a base cost of \$100-150 including housing, computer, and camera, the LoBSTAS offers an imaging system more affordable and compact than industrial towed or dropped imaging systems or low-cost units such as MBARI's *SeeStar* (Cazenave et al., 2015). The base cost of the LoBSTAS which includes a computer, LED lighting, and a camera also rivals that of single action cameras commonly used underwater such as the GoPro camera (Struthers et al., 2015), which has limited battery life and customization.

In this report, we specify details of the underwater housing, Raspberry Pi controller, dissolved oxygen sensor, camera specifications and lighting. The results from several test deployments are discussed in Section 3, followed by an exploration of future improvements and possibilities.

2 Materials and Methods

Designs were made with goal that the LoBSTAS must be inexpensive and easily built by a person with limited tools or technical experience. Using off-the-shelf components and open source software in construction enabled a modest total cost of approximately \$380 USD. For the latest prototype, the total can be broken down into the housing (\$12) and core components (\$90) including Raspberry Pi controller, LED ring, 5200 mAh power bank, and camera which sums up to a base cost of approximately \$110. We chose to include a dissolved oxygen sensor, which adds \$270 to the base cost. Other sensors could possibly be added, and this is discussed more in Section 2.2.

2.1 Underwater Housing

The cylindrical shell which houses the core components is made with widely accessible 3-inch diameter schedule 40 PVC Pipe with a length of 6-10 inches (approximately 15-25 cm). For the compact "Mini" version, a 2-inch diameter PVC pipe may be used instead to

¹ LOBSTAS: Low-cost Benthic Sensing Trap-Attached System

increase portability and reduce cost by almost 50%. The main body length can also be shortened or extended to fit extra components. Schedule 40 thickness is sufficient, as a thicker-walled Schedule 80 does not necessarily increase operational depth rating (Bergshoeff et al., 2017).

On one end of the cylindrical housing is a tight-fitting square head expansion plug, and on the other end is the window which is glued to the main body with PVC cement. The expansion plug is made of plastic and a porthole can be made on the square head for sensors. The window, a 1/4 or 3/16-inch-thick acrylic disk, was placed in between the body and PVC coupling. This configuration provides a protecting frame for the acrylic window and creates two sealing points: the acrylic-coupling contact, and the body-acrylic contact which is the most important. PVC Cement was generously used in the body-acrylic contact, and water-resistant double-bubble epoxy was used to cover the coupling-acrylic contact as well as any imperfect edges on the acrylic circle.

Clear PVC was used for the window material in an earlier prototype, but a later prototype used acrylic for lesser deterioration of image quality. Note that acrylic-PVC may not weld as well as PVC-PVC if the PVC cement used does not contain key ingredients: Methyl Ethyl Ketone (MEK) and acetone. The housing was left overnight for the adhesives to cure before leak tests at a depth of 17ft (5m). The expansion plug (especially the 3-inch plug) required a wrench to fully tighten to prevent leakages.

Figure 1. Housing is a standard-sized (3-inch PVC) or Mini-sized (2-inch PVC).

2.2 Core Controller: Raspberry Pi

The core includes a camera module, LED ring, and optional sensor controlled by the Raspberry Pi computer. The first prototype uses a Raspberry Pi 3 board which has a quad-core 1.2GHz CPU, 1GB RAM, USB ports, HDMI port, Wifi, Bluetooth, and GPIO pins. Built-in wifi served as the main entry point to control the Pi and access stored data through Secure Shell (SSH) from a smartphone or computer. The Pi controls the other components with open-source Python scripts in a Linux environment, and is able to simultaneously collect camera and sensor data with multi-threading.² In a subsequent prototype, a Raspberry Pi Zero W was used. This version is 60% smaller, 70% cheaper, and has approximately 50% lesser power consumption (Fig. 2).

2.3 Power Management

The goal of the battery life was at least 3-4 days to match the common deployment cycles of lobster cages. In continuous data-taking mode (10s sampling interval), the Pi 3 was estimated to last about 9-11 hours with an Anker 5200 mAh power bank (5V 2A output) via a

² Sample code and building instructions can be found at geotracerkitchen.org

microUSB port. Sampling interval did not significantly affect the battery life, since the Pi remained on. Thus a solution was to extend battery life by shutting down the Pi in between samples. Although the Pi can enter a ‘sleep’ state by halting all processes, it cannot ‘wake’ itself by means of code. Additionally, once power is cut, the Pi computer loses track of time as it does not have a built-in Real-time Clock (RTC). To address this challenge, we added an optional *Witty Pi* power management board which fits on the Pi board’s GPIO pins; it keeps time with an RTC and can be scheduled to wake the Pi up at pre-coded intervals (Fig. 3). It also has the option to add a dummy load so that the power bank does not shut down due to low current draw. The *Witty Pi 2* for the Pi 3 and *Witty Pi Mini* for the Pi Zero adds about \$20-23 USD to the total cost. With this power management board, total battery life can be varied as a function of sampling interval, since a longer interval equals to a longer down time of the Pi.

Figure 2. Core of the second prototype. Housing size comparison is shown for reference.

Figure 3. User-defined sampling interval affects down time which can increase or decrease total deployment time. Power management feature only available with Witty Pi add-on board.

2.4 Camera Specifications

From the wide range of camera modules compatible with the Pi that can be easily installed on the board’s Camera Serial Interface (CSI) port, the *Sainsmart* FOV160° 5-Megapixel Camera Module was selected. The *Sainsmart* module uses the same OV5647 sensor as the official Raspberry Pi v1 camera but have additional embedded wide-angle lens with adjustable focus. This enables us to focus on nearby imagery, since turbid waters greatly reduce viewing distance.

While the camera can take 1920×1080 resolution JPEG images (approximately 2MB per image) and raw H264 videos at a frame rate of 30fps, we chose a frame rate of 15 fps and a resolution of 1296×972 (850kB per image) to take advantage of 2×2-pixel binning that increases the signal by four times in low-light conditions. A lower frame rate increases maximum shutter time and thus improves low-light imaging. However, lower frame rate introduced motion blur and so we set 15 fps as the minimum frame rate. We attempted to manually set the camera's sensitivity to maximum (ISO 800) and set longer shutter speeds to push the limits of low-light imaging, but preliminary tests suggest that automatic exposure settings fared better. Of all the exposure settings in the *picamera* Python package, the exposure setting named *nightpreview* gave the best results in lowlight conditions.

2.5 Lighting

To aid the camera in low-light conditions and make night imaging possible, we added a *NeoPixel* 16 × 5050 RGB LED Ring. The LED ring is connected to board pins 2, 9, 12 for power (5V), ground, and data signal respectively.

The window caused light reflections in the image, which were especially significant for objects further than two feet away. Use of the wide-angle lens captured more reflection than the standard lens, but this can be addressed by using a larger-radius LED ring or putting the LED ring further behind the camera. A potential solution was to use separate windows or housing for the camera and lighting; this will be discussed in greater detail in the results section.

In addition to reflection challenges, prototypes with the light ring and Clear PVC window captured images with blue tints due to the higher opacity of the material in comparison with acrylic. Although setting the LED light to be yellow can counter this blue tint, we decided that for same-house lighting, acrylic is the best window material.

2.6 Dissolved Oxygen Sensor

The LoBSTAS was designed to be modular and highly customizable, where extra features or sensors can be added on. We chose to add an Atlas Scientific sensor to measure dissolved oxygen (DO) since DO is a key diagnostic of water quality. We changed the sensor's data protocol from UART to i2c mode, and connected power (5V), ground, SDA, and SCL to pins 4, 6, 3, and 5 respectively (Fig. 4a). When not taking data, we set the sensor to sleep mode which reduces current draw by 95%. Since the device is very sensitive to electrostatic interference, we recommend enclosing it in anti-static pouches. We drilled a 0.6-inch diameter hole in the square head expansion plug and potted the probe with epoxy. For a single sensor, this method gives the probe mechanical stability; if there are multiple sensors, there is insufficient space on the square head and we will need to pot the wire cables instead.

The galvanic membrane DO sensor has a range of 0.01 to 100 mg/L with an accuracy of 0.05 mg/L. Although the shortest response time is one reading per second, when the probe is first powered up it needs approximately 40-60 seconds for readings to increase from zero to actual value and stabilize. Given that oxygen diffuses through the sensor membrane and is reduced at the cathode, the sensor consumes small amounts of oxygen and requires small water movement (60 ml/min recommended) to take accurate readings.

Figure 4.(a) DO sensor circuit connected to board pins 4, 6, 3, and 5 for power, ground, SDA, and SCL. (b) Testing sensor calibration by placing sensor probe in a N₂-bubbled solution.

The sensor is capable of dual point calibration with zero and atmospheric DO concentration, but we only did atmospheric calibration as zero-point calibration is only needed for measurements less than 1 mg/L. Temperature, salinity, and pressure compensation have default values of 20°C, 0 Siemens, 101.3 kPa and can be user defined, but only salinity and pressure settings are retained when power is cut. Atlas Scientific notes that for depths of less than 10 meters and water conductivity less than 2.5 millisiemens, the pressure and salinity compensation values are irrelevant. Thus, we did not set compensation values for our initial test deployments at <6m depth.

3 Results and Discussion

We conducted twelve test deployments at the URI Graduate School of Oceanography (GSO) dock at depths down to 6m. Out of the twelve deployments, two deployments experienced minor leaks: one was because the expansion plug was not fully tightened with a wrench, and the other was due to leaks in the sensor probe port on the square head expansion plug. Thankfully, the main board was not damaged as it was elevated on a cardboard platform and wrapped in anti-static pouches. However, in one of the two leaks the power bank at the bottom was the casualty.

Figure 5. The units were attached to (a) rocks and (b) cages for test deployments.

Our second core prototype with a 5200 mAh power bank had a total deployment time of seventy hours with a sampling interval of one hour. For this duration, the LoBSTAS did not experience biofouling on the window that degraded image quality. We did not test longer sampling intervals to explore maximum battery life due to time constraints. We confirmed

that a combination of a 30-second video and two images is ideal to capture movements for several wave cycles. However, 30 seconds was insufficient time for the DO sensor to stabilize and take accurate readings. Due to lack of time and the leak in the sensor probe's porthole, we were unable to take more DO readings in water.

In all our test deployments, the LoBSTAS was attached to rocks or a lobster cage during the test deployments. These are then retrieved with a rope tied to the dock. While the rock drops were most compact and convenient, the lobster cage provided a sense of scale in captured imagery with its 3D grid. We also determined that the best position to attach the LoBSTAS to the cage was at the side near one of the entry points, as this provides a view of the sediment, vertical water column, and organism activity inside the cage. Other trap-attached possibilities include a position on the net opening inside the cage, and a top down view from the outside.

From a single image captured we were able to characterize sediment type, vegetation cover, amount of suspended particles, benthic organism presence, and water turbidity. Additionally, the videos played a key role in providing information on water dynamics. Local water velocity can be gauged from particle motion as well as vegetation and sediment movement. Changes of these characteristics in time can be seen with time lapse imagery where images a few hours, days, or weeks apart are compared (Fig. 6). Spatial variation of benthic characteristics can also be compared with imagery from slightly different locations (Fig. 7).

Figure 6. Changes in the same benthic area at 5m depth, (a) before and (b) after 2 weeks.

In dark environments, foreground particles' back-scattering hindered a good view of the benthic environment (Fig. 8a). All the foreground particles were unfocused as the standard camera lens' focus was set at infinity. When we adjusted the focus to be clear at 3 inches from the lens, the depth of view became smaller and the field of view became narrower.

While fisheye lens captured several reflections of the LED ring, its larger depth of field enabled us to see well defined particles and nearby sediment. We were even able to monitor hermit crab activity through a video (Fig. 8b). We also realized that in dark, turbid waters, the object of interest should be less than 1 foot away for sufficient reflected to reach the camera.

Figure 7. Four different locations at the GSO Dock show a variety of sediment type and material, vegetation cover and species, suspended particulate matter, and water turbidity. Images were taken at approximately (a-b) 4m depth with fisheye lens, (c) 1m depth with wide angle infrared lens, (d) 5m depth with fisheye lens.

Figure 8. (a) Unfocused foreground particles and shrimp with focus set at infinity. (b) LED ring reflection when a wide-angle lens is used. (c) A top-down view of the lobster cage: near focus defines particles at 3-inches away. Objects less than 1 foot reflect enough light to be seen.

To address the issues back-scattering and reflections from single-housing units, we tested the twin and paired configuration. In the pair configuration (Fig. 10a), one camera with lighting was placed on top of the cage looking down to capture activity inside. The second camera was oriented on the side of the cage near one of the two entry points, to monitor not only in-cage activity but also the sediment and water column. With these placements, the inside of the cage was visible, but the light source was not powerful enough to light the

sediment outside the cage. Thus, for the current LED ring the best pair configuration was to move the side camera inside the cage (on the net) to focus on in-cage activity.

Figure 9. Twin configuration images captured at approximately 4-5m depth. (a) Low light scene with increased sharpness value. (b) Night image shows both sediment and suspended particles higher up in the water column. the cage.

In the twin configuration, an additional LoBSTAS was mounted on top of the base unit to provide light (Fig. 10b). The bottom camera captured the benthic environment while the top camera captured particle motion and provided light. The top unit was positioned with an inclination of 20-30°; this is the best angle as the camera is pointed at the seafloor about one foot away and would minimize back-scatter for the other camera. Its elevated height also provided a bigger view of the seafloor (Fig. 7b) which is good for studies focusing on sediment evolution. The twin configuration enabled the bottom camera to capture a good image of the sediment and water column in low light (9a) and a completely dark environment (9b).

Figure 10. Lighting solutions: the (a) pair configuration and (b) twin configuration where one unit provides light and captures particle movement. (c) The third prototype *Mini Wye* currently under development is a variation of the twin configuration.

To synchronize image capture of both units, we set the same wake up time with the *Witty Pi* and set a longer video recording length on the top unit. Strobe flashes of the LED ring would be much harder to synchronize due to slight variations in boot time (40 ± 1 s, processing speed (if both units are not the same Raspberry Pi board), and RTC variability (1-3 s) in both units.

4 Future Work

We have started development of the third prototype 'Mini Wye' which uses a 'Y' PVC connector (Fig. 8c). One branch would be the camera window, and the other branch would provide the light. While this is slightly more expensive than a single unit, it is less costly than a twin configuration which uses two units. This new housing will enable the LoBSTAS to take high quality images and videos any time of the day. Improvements on the sensor probe porthole will also need to be made to guarantee a watertight housing.

To better quantify turbidity, robust computer vision algorithms will be needed to calculate average color of a benthic image, total suspended matter in a given area, or distinguish image contrast of an underwater Secchi disc. An algorithm which adjusts the LED lighting levels based on the brightness of the scene will also be useful as current lighting system is based on fixed sunrise and sunset times. This will aid imaging on dark cloudy days or deeper waters, and account for varying daylight hours across seasons.

In the future, after testing the maximum pressure the housing can withstand in a hydrostatic pressure chamber, we hope to deploy the LoBSTAS in different coastal environments such as the Wickford Shipyard in Rhode Island (USA), Densu estuary (Ghana), and potentially polar coasts.

5 Conclusion

To better understand benthic physical processes and its link to water quality, we developed a Low-cost Benthic Sensing Trap-attached system (LoBSTAS) for use by communities with limited financial means. With a 5200 mAh power bank and sampling interval of one hour, the current prototype can be deployed for three days. From images and videos of the benthic region, we were able to characterize sediment type, sediment suspension, particle motion, organic matter concentration, as well as seafloor currents and movement patterns of benthic organisms. Although the LoBSTAS was initially design to monitor the benthic environment near shellfish traps, this design can be adapted to be used in many other observational studies of marine organisms.

Acknowledgments

Elizabeth was supported by a Summer Undergraduate Research Fellowship in Oceanography (SURFO) National Science Foundation REU grant OCE-1757572. Thanks to Michael Miller for assistance in test deployments, Jackson Sugar for power management ideas, and Fred Fourie for housing and camera advice.

References

- Bergshoeff, J. A., Zargarpour, N., Legge, G., Favaro, B., & Bay, L. (2017). How to build a low-cost underwater camera housing for aquatic research. *Facets*, 2(1), 150–159. Retrieved from <http://facetsjournal.com/article/facets-2016-0048/> doi: 10.1139/facets-2016-0048
- Cazenave, F., Kecy, C., Risi, M., & Haddock, S. H. (2015). SeeStar: A low-cost, modular and open-source camera system for subsea observations. *2014 Oceans - St. John's, OCEANS 2014*. doi: 10.1109/OCEANS.2014.7003077

- Levin, L. A., Ekau, W., Gooday, A. J., Jorissen, F., Middelburg, J. J., Naqvi, S. W., ... Zhang, J. (2009). Effects of natural and human-induced hypoxia on coastal benthos. *Biogeosciences*, 6(10), 2063–2098. doi: 10.5194/bg-6-2063-2009
- Melrose, D. C., Oviatt, C. A., & Berman, M. S. (2007). Hypoxic events in Narragansett Bay, Rhode Island, during the summer of 2001. *Estuaries and Coasts*, 30(1), 47–53. doi: 10.1007/BF02782966
- Struthers, D. P., Danylchuk, A. J., Wilson, A. D. M., & Cooke, S. J. (2015). Action Cameras: Bringing Aquatic and Fisheries Research into View. *Fisheries*, 40(10), 502–512. Retrieved from <http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/03632415.2015.1082472>
doi: 10.1080/03632415.2015.1082472

Investigating variations in particle-associated bacterial communities of *Pseudo-nitzschia* in Narragansett Bay, RI

Samantha Vaverka^{1,2,3}, Alexa Sterling², and Bethany Jenkins²

¹ Graduate School of Oceanography, University of Rhode Island, Narragansett RI

² Department of Cell and Molecular Biology, University of Rhode Island, Kingston RI

³ Department of Biology, Augustana University, Sioux Falls, SD

In recent years, the presence of the diatom *Pseudo-nitzschia*, with strains known to produce a harmful neurotoxin, has become cause for increasing concern in Narragansett Bay, RI. Its toxin, domoic acid, bioaccumulates in shellfish causing amnesic shellfish poisoning in humans upon consumption. Although *Pseudo-nitzschia* has long been present in Narragansett Bay, the recent domoic acid events and resulting shellfish bed closures suggest that there may be a toxic species new to the Bay or a species that has become toxigenic. Interestingly, bacteria living in association with the diatom are known to augment toxin production. However, the exact composition of bacterial communities may differ between species of *Pseudo-nitzschia* with varying levels of toxicity. This study aims to identify the species-specific interactions between *Pseudo-nitzschia* and particle-associated bacteria, as well as to identify variations in bacterial community composition throughout toxic events. Methods included weekly sampling of upper and lower Narragansett Bay, followed by isolation and culturing of *Pseudo-nitzschia* cells and particle-associated bacteria. To characterize bacterial community composition, high throughput sequencing will be performed, specifically analyzing a variable region of the 16S rDNA gene. This will provide information as to the different bacterial communities co-occurring with differing species of *Pseudo-nitzschia*. From laboratory experimentation, insight into possible shifts in microbiome composition within a single species during increased toxin production may be explored. These results will contribute to a larger examination of the microbial community composition present during toxic events in Narragansett Bay.

Proposal to the National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship Program

Bacterial communities associated with toxic and non-toxic *Pseudo-nitzschia*

(The rest of this section intentionally left blank)

Exploring the Effects of Turbulence on Microzooplankton Growth and Grazing

Anna Ward*, Gayantonia Franzè, Susanne Menden-Deuer

*Scripps Institution of Oceanography, University of California, San Diego; Graduate School of Oceanography, University of Rhode Island

Motivation

Plankton are key players in the ocean's biogeochemical cycles; they serve as biomass resources for higher trophic levels and contribute to carbon sequestration and vertical material transport through respiration and sinking flux [1]. The amount of primary production consumed by microzooplankton ultimately affects ecosystem structure and function; thus, it is pivotal to understand how environmental factors drive microzooplankton physiological rates [1,2,3]. Turbulent environments might alter trophic dynamics by enhancing or reducing the encounter rate between microzooplankton and their prey [4]. **With this study we investigated the influence of turbulence on microzooplankton growth and grazing rates. Given changing climate conditions, it is critical to understand how plankton dynamics will be affected, altering energy and matter fluxes in coastal waters.**

www.freesimages.com

Methods

Experimental setup: incubation bottles on a shaker table (left) and on an elevated surface (right) to simulate turbulent and still condition, respectively.

Four microzooplankton species, *Oxyrrhis marina*, *Protoperdinium bipes*, *Gyrodinium dominans*, and *Gyrodinium* sp. were used to assess the effect of turbulence on heterotrophic protists growth and grazing. Cultures were maintained on a 12:12 light:dark cycle with a light intensity of 80-100 $\mu\text{mol photons m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$. The haptophyte, *Isochrysis galbana* was provided, at saturation level, only at the start of the experiments to all species.

Microzooplankton growth and grazing rates were determined by monitoring changes in predator and prey abundance over several time intervals during five days. All species were exposed to two turbulence levels, still (0 rpm) and turbulent (75 rpm).

Turbulence enhances *O. marina* grazing rate

Growth Rates

Grazing Rates

Figure 1

Figure 2

O. marina (Fig. 1) growth (Fig. 2; left panel) and grazing rates (Fig. 2; right panel) measured at 0 and 75 rpm. A significant increase in *O. marina* grazing rate was observed in turbulent conditions compared to still conditions ($p > 0.05$). In comparison, a lower effect was observed on growth rates. These results suggest that a turbulent environment would favor *O. marina* by enhancing the encounter rate with their prey. The lower growth and grazing rates observed after four days were most likely a consequence of prey depletion.

Intraspecific variability

Growth Rates

Figure 3

Still

Turbulent

Grazing Rates

Still

Turbulent

P. bipes *G. dominans* *Gyrodinium* sp.

Figure 4

Growth (Fig. 3; top panels) and grazing rates (bottom panels) in still and turbulent conditions for *P. bipes*, *G. dominans*, and *Gyrodinium* sp. (Fig. 4). All species showed no significant difference in growth rates between still and turbulent conditions. The highest growth rate for all three microzooplankton was observed on day 1 while it decreased on day 4. On the other hand, the grazing rates slowly increased over the 5 days to reach their maximum the last day of incubation. All species showed a significant difference except for *Gyrodinium* sp. These results suggest that species respond differently to turbulence and has a varying effect on their encounter rate with the prey.

Acknowledgments

This study is funded by the NSF Biological-Oceanography award 1736635, the RI EPSCoR supported Center for Marine Life Science and the NES-LTER. A. W. was supported by a REU fellowship at the University of Rhode Island, award OCE-1757572. Special thanks to the Menden-Deuer Lab for the support and helpful discussions.

References

Worden et. al, (2015) Science; Landry and Hassett (1982) Marine Biology 67, 283-288; Anderson and Menden-Deuer (2016) Euk. Micro. 0, 1-13; Zirbel et al. (2000) Phycol. 36, 46-58.

Conclusions

Turbulence affects microzooplankton grazing by facilitating the encounter rate between the predator and their prey. The response in growth rates appears highly variable, with significant intraspecific differences.

Increased grazing in highly turbulent environments could lead to a faster depletion of resources affecting population growth and consequently affecting biomass and carbon availability/transfer to higher trophic levels.