

University of Rhode Island

DigitalCommons@URI

---

Museum Services Act (1984)

Education: National Endowment for the Arts  
and Humanities, Subject Files II (1962-1996)

---

1989

## Museum Services Act (1984): Report 12

Follow this and additional works at: [https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/pell\\_neh\\_II\\_46](https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/pell_neh_II_46)

---

### Recommended Citation

"Museum Services Act (1984): Report 12" (1989). *Museum Services Act (1984)*. Paper 7.  
[https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/pell\\_neh\\_II\\_46/7](https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/pell_neh_II_46/7)

This Report is brought to you for free and open access by the Education: National Endowment for the Arts and Humanities, Subject Files II (1962-1996) at DigitalCommons@URI. It has been accepted for inclusion in Museum Services Act (1984) by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@URI. For more information, please contact [digitalcommons-group@uri.edu](mailto:digitalcommons-group@uri.edu).

THE INSTITUTE OF MUSEUM SERVICES  
 THE APPLICATION AND GRANT MANAGEMENT SYSTEM -- CONSERVATION PROGRAM, FY 89 CYCLE 1  
 CROSSTABULATION OF RECOMMENDED / NOT RECOMMENDED PROJECTS LISTED BY STATES

DATE 08/03/89

PAGE 1

STATES		NUMBER	%	% WITHIN STATE		
ALASKA	NOT RECOMMENDED	4	0.9	66.7	% OF ALL NOT REC.	1.9
	RECOMMENDED	2	0.4	33.3	% OF ALL RECOMND.	0.8
	SUBTOTALS	<u>6</u>	<u>1.3</u>			
ALABAMA	NOT RECOMMENDED	1	0.2	25.0	% OF ALL NOT REC.	0.5
	RECOMMENDED	3	0.7	75.0	% OF ALL RECOMND.	1.2
	SUBTOTALS	<u>4</u>	<u>0.9</u>			
ARKANSAS	NOT RECOMMENDED	1	0.2	100.0	% OF ALL NOT REC.	0.5
ARIZONA	NOT RECOMMENDED	4	0.9	33.3	% OF ALL NOT REC.	1.9
	RECOMMENDED	8	1.7	66.7	% OF ALL RECOMND.	3.3
	SUBTOTALS	<u>12</u>	<u>2.6</u>			
CALIFORNIA	NOT RECOMMENDED	22	4.8	53.7	% OF ALL NOT REC.	10.1
	RECOMMENDED	19	4.1	46.3	% OF ALL RECOMND.	7.8
	SUBTOTALS	<u>41</u>	<u>8.9</u>			
COLORADO	NOT RECOMMENDED	4	0.9	33.3	% OF ALL NOT REC.	1.8
	RECOMMENDED	8	1.7	66.7	% OF ALL RECOMND.	3.2
	SUBTOTALS	<u>12</u>	<u>2.6</u>			
CONNECTICUT	NOT RECOMMENDED	4	0.9	57.1	% OF ALL NOT REC.	1.8
	RECOMMENDED	3	0.7	42.9	% OF ALL RECOMND.	1.2
	SUBTOTALS	<u>7</u>	<u>1.5</u>			
WASHINGTON D.C.	RECOMMENDED	4	0.9	100.0	% OF ALL RECOMND.	1.6
DELAWARE	NOT RECOMMENDED	1	0.2	33.3	% OF ALL NOT REC.	0.5
	RECOMMENDED	2	0.4	66.7	% OF ALL RECOMND.	0.8
	SUBTOTALS	<u>3</u>	<u>0.7</u>			

PROG - PLSTATS  
 THE INSTITUTE OF MUSEUM SERVICES  
 THE APPLICATION AND GRANT MANAGEMENT SYSTEM --- CONSERVATION PROGRAM, FY 89 CYCLE 1  
 CROSSTABULATION OF RECOMMENDED / NOT RECOMMENDED PROJECTS LISTED BY STATES

TIME - 1033362

DATE 08/03/89

PAGE :

STATES		NUMBER	%	% WITHIN STATE		
FLORIDA	NOT RECOMMENDED	1	0.2	9.1	% OF ALL NOT REC.	0.5
	RECOMMENDED	10	2.2	90.9	% OF ALL RECOMND.	4.3
	SUBTOTALS	<u>11</u>	<u>2.4</u>			
GEORGIA	NOT RECOMMENDED	1	0.2	20.0	% OF ALL NOT REC.	0.5
	RECOMMENDED	4	0.9	80.0	% OF ALL RECOMND.	1.6
	SUBTOTALS	<u>5</u>	<u>1.1</u>			
HAWAII	NOT RECOMMENDED	4	0.9	57.1	% OF ALL NOT REC.	1.9
	RECOMMENDED	3	0.7	42.9	% OF ALL RECOMND.	1.9
	SUBTOTALS	<u>7</u>	<u>1.5</u>			
IOWA	NOT RECOMMENDED	3	0.7	60.0	% OF ALL NOT REC.	1.4
	RECOMMENDED	2	0.4	40.0	% OF ALL RECOMND.	0.8
	SUBTOTALS	<u>5</u>	<u>1.1</u>			
IDAHO	NOT RECOMMENDED	1	0.2	50.0	% OF ALL NOT REC.	0.5
	RECOMMENDED	1	0.2	50.0	% OF ALL RECOMND.	0.4
	SUBTOTALS	<u>2</u>	<u>0.4</u>			
ILLINOIS	NOT RECOMMENDED	5	1.1	25.0	% OF ALL NOT REC.	2.3
	RECOMMENDED	15	3.3	75.0	% OF ALL RECOMND.	6.2
	SUBTOTALS	<u>20</u>	<u>4.4</u>			
INDIANA	NOT RECOMMENDED	6	1.3	75.0	% OF ALL NOT REC.	2.7
	RECOMMENDED	2	0.4	25.0	% OF ALL RECOMND.	0.8
	SUBTOTALS	<u>8</u>	<u>1.7</u>			
KANSAS	NOT RECOMMENDED	1	0.2	16.7	% OF ALL NOT REC.	0.5
	RECOMMENDED	5	1.1	83.3	% OF ALL RECOMND.	2.1
	SUBTOTALS	<u>6</u>	<u>1.3</u>			

THE INSTITUTE OF MUSEUM SERVICES  
 THE APPLICATION AND GRANT MANAGEMENT SYSTEM -- CONSERVATION PROGRAM, FY 89 CYCLE 1  
 CROSSTABULATION OF RECOMMENDED / NOT RECOMMENDED PROJECTS LISTED BY STATES

DATE 08/03/89

PAGE 3

STATES	NUMBER	%	% WITHIN STATE		
=====					
KENTUCKY					
NOT RECOMMENDED	2	0.4	50.0	% OF ALL NOT REC.	0.9
RECOMMENDED	2	0.4	50.0	% OF ALL RECOMND.	0.8
SUBTOTALS	<u>4</u>	<u>0.9</u>			
LOUISIANA					
NOT RECOMMENDED	4	0.9	100.0	% OF ALL NOT REC.	1.9
MASSACHUSETTS					
NOT RECOMMENDED	13	2.8	43.3	% OF ALL NOT REC.	6.0
RECOMMENDED	17	3.7	56.7	% OF ALL RECOMND.	7.0
SUBTOTALS	<u>30</u>	<u>6.5</u>			
MARYLAND					
RECOMMENDED	4	0.9	100.0	% OF ALL RECOMND.	1.6
MAINE					
NOT RECOMMENDED	2	0.4	50.0	% OF ALL NOT REC.	0.9
RECOMMENDED	2	0.4	50.0	% OF ALL RECOMND.	0.8
SUBTOTALS	<u>4</u>	<u>0.9</u>			
MICHIGAN					
NOT RECOMMENDED	8	1.7	53.3	% OF ALL NOT REC.	3.7
RECOMMENDED	7	1.5	46.7	% OF ALL RECOMND.	2.9
SUBTOTALS	<u>15</u>	<u>3.3</u>			
MINNESOTA					
NOT RECOMMENDED	4	0.9	50.0	% OF ALL NOT REC.	1.9
RECOMMENDED	4	0.9	50.0	% OF ALL RECOMND.	1.6
SUBTOTALS	<u>8</u>	<u>1.7</u>			
MISSOURI					
NOT RECOMMENDED	4	0.9	57.1	% OF ALL NOT REC.	1.9
RECOMMENDED	3	0.7	42.9	% OF ALL RECOMND.	1.2
SUBTOTALS	<u>7</u>	<u>1.5</u>			
MISSISSIPPI					
RECOMMENDED	1	0.2	100.0	% OF ALL RECOMND.	0.4

PROG - PLSTATS  
 THE INSTITUTE OF MUSEUM SERVICES  
 THE APPLICATION AND GRANT MANAGEMENT SYSTEM -- CONSERVATION PROGRAM, FY 89 CYCLE 1  
 CROSSTABULATION OF RECOMMENDED / NOT RECOMMENDED PROJECTS LISTED BY STATES

TIME - 10333641

DATE 08/03/89

PAGE 4

STATES	NUMBER	%	% WITHIN STATE		
<b>MONTANA</b>					
NOT RECOMMENDED	4	0.9	80.0	% OF ALL NOT REC.	1.9
RECOMMENDED	1	0.2	20.0	% OF ALL RECOMND.	0.4
SUBTOTALS	<u>5</u>	<u>1.1</u>			
<b>NORTH CAROLINA</b>					
NOT RECOMMENDED	3	0.7	33.3	% OF ALL NOT REC.	1.4
RECOMMENDED	6	1.3	66.7	% OF ALL RECOMND.	2.5
SUBTOTALS	<u>9</u>	<u>2.0</u>			
<b>NEBRASKA</b>					
NOT RECOMMENDED	1	0.2	25.0	% OF ALL NOT REC.	0.5
RECOMMENDED	3	0.7	75.0	% OF ALL RECOMND.	1.2
SUBTOTALS	<u>4</u>	<u>0.9</u>			
<b>NEW HAMPSHIRE</b>					
NOT RECOMMENDED	2	0.4	50.0	% OF ALL NOT REC.	0.9
RECOMMENDED	2	0.4	50.0	% OF ALL RECOMND.	0.8
SUBTOTALS	<u>4</u>	<u>0.9</u>			
<b>NEW JERSEY</b>					
NOT RECOMMENDED	3	0.7	42.9	% OF ALL NOT REC.	1.4
RECOMMENDED	4	0.9	57.1	% OF ALL RECOMND.	1.6
SUBTOTALS	<u>7</u>	<u>1.5</u>			
<b>NEW MEXICO</b>					
NOT RECOMMENDED	4	0.9	57.1	% OF ALL NOT REC.	1.8
RECOMMENDED	3	0.7	42.9	% OF ALL RECOMND.	1.2
SUBTOTALS	<u>7</u>	<u>1.5</u>			
<b>NEVADA</b>					
NOT RECOMMENDED	1	0.2	100.0	% OF ALL NOT REC.	0.5
<b>NEW YORK</b>					
NOT RECOMMENDED	31	6.8	58.5	% OF ALL NOT REC.	14.4
RECOMMENDED	22	4.8	41.5	% OF ALL RECOMND.	9.1
SUBTOTALS	<u>53</u>	<u>11.5</u>			

PROG - PLSTATS TIME - 1033364  
 THE INSTITUTE OF MUSEUM SERVICES  
 THE APPLICATION AND GRANT MANAGEMENT SYSTEM -- CONSERVATION PROGRAM, FY 89 CYCLE 1  
 CROSSTABULATION OF RECOMMENDED / NOT RECOMMENDED PROJECTS LISTED BY STATES

DATE 08/03/89

PAGE 1

STATES		NUMBER	%	% WITHIN STATE		
<b>OHIO</b>						
	NOT RECOMMENDED	8	1.7	50.0	% OF ALL NOT REC.	3.7
	RECOMMENDED	8	1.7	50.0	% OF ALL RECOMND.	3.3
	SUBTOTALS	<u>16</u>	<u>3.5</u>			
<b>OKLAHOMA</b>						
	RECOMMENDED	2	0.4	100.0	% OF ALL RECOMND.	0.8
<b>OREGON</b>						
	NOT RECOMMENDED	1	0.2	50.0	% OF ALL NOT REC.	0.5
	RECOMMENDED	1	0.2	50.0	% OF ALL RECOMND.	0.4
	SUBTOTALS	<u>2</u>	<u>0.4</u>			
<b>PENNSYLVANIA</b>						
	NOT RECOMMENDED	17	3.7	44.7	% OF ALL NOT REC.	7.9
	RECOMMENDED	21	4.6	55.3	% OF ALL RECOMND.	8.6
	SUBTOTALS	<u>38</u>	<u>8.3</u>			
<b>PUERTO RICO</b>						
	NOT RECOMMENDED	2	0.4	100.0	% OF ALL NOT REC.	0.9
<b>RHODE ISLAND</b>						
	NOT RECOMMENDED	1	0.2	33.3	% OF ALL NOT REC.	0.5
	RECOMMENDED	2	0.4	66.7	% OF ALL RECOMND.	0.8
	SUBTOTALS	<u>3</u>	<u>0.7</u>			
<b>SOUTH CAROLINA</b>						
	RECOMMENDED	4	0.9	100.0	% OF ALL RECOMND.	1.6
<b>SOUTH DAKOTA</b>						
	RECOMMENDED	2	0.4	100.0	% OF ALL RECOMND.	0.8
<b>TENNESSEE</b>						
	NOT RECOMMENDED	5	1.1	45.5	% OF ALL NOT REC.	2.3
	RECOMMENDED	6	1.3	54.5	% OF ALL RECOMND.	2.5
	SUBTOTALS	<u>11</u>	<u>2.4</u>			

DATE 08/03/89

PAGE 6

STATES		NUMBER	%	% WITHIN STATE		
=====						
TEXAS	NOT RECOMMENDED	10	2.2	66.7	% OF ALL NOT REC.	4.6
	RECOMMENDED	5	1.1	33.3	% OF ALL RECOMND.	2.3
	SUBTOTALS	<u>15</u>	<u>3.3</u>			
UTAH	NOT RECOMMENDED	2	0.4	40.0	% OF ALL NOT REC.	0.9
	RECOMMENDED	3	0.7	60.0	% OF ALL RECOMND.	1.2
	SUBTOTALS	<u>5</u>	<u>1.1</u>			
VIRGINIA	NOT RECOMMENDED	8	1.7	72.7	% OF ALL NOT REC.	3.7
	RECOMMENDED	3	0.7	27.3	% OF ALL RECOMND.	1.9
	SUBTOTALS	<u>11</u>	<u>2.4</u>			
VERMONT	RECOMMENDED	3	0.7	100.0	% OF ALL RECOMND.	1.9
WASHINGTON	NOT RECOMMENDED	4	0.9	66.7	% OF ALL NOT REC.	1.9
	RECOMMENDED	2	0.4	33.3	% OF ALL RECOMND.	0.8
	SUBTOTALS	<u>6</u>	<u>1.3</u>			
WISCONSIN	NOT RECOMMENDED	9	2.0	64.3	% OF ALL NOT REC.	4.2
	RECOMMENDED	5	1.1	35.7	% OF ALL RECOMND.	2.3
	SUBTOTALS	<u>14</u>	<u>3.1</u>			
WEST VIRGINIA	RECOMMENDED	1	0.2	100.0	% OF ALL RECOMND.	0.4
WYOMING	RECOMMENDED	3	0.7	100.0	% OF ALL RECOMND.	1.2
TOTALS	NOT RECOMMENDED	216	47.1			
	RECOMMENDED	243	52.9			
	TOTALS	<u>459</u>	<u>100.0</u>			

THE INSTITUTE OF MUSEUM SERVICES  
 THE APPLICATION AND GRANT MANAGEMENT SYSTEM -- GENERAL OPERATING SUPPORT, FY 89 CYCLE 1  
 CROSSTABULATION OF RECOMMENDED / NOT RECOMMENDED PROJECTS LISTED BY STATES

DATE 05/11/89

PAGE 1

STATES		NUMBER	%	% WITHIN STATE		
ALASKA	NOT RECOMMENDED	6	0.4	75.0	% OF ALL NOT REC.	0.6
	RECOMMENDED	2	0.1	25.0	% OF ALL RECOMND.	0.5
	SUBTOTALS	<u>8</u>	<u>0.6</u>			
ALABAMA	NOT RECOMMENDED	12	0.9	92.3	% OF ALL NOT REC.	1.3
	RECOMMENDED	1	0.1	7.7	% OF ALL RECOMND.	0.3
	SUBTOTALS	<u>13</u>	<u>1.0</u>			
ARKANSAS	NOT RECOMMENDED	8	0.6	80.0	% OF ALL NOT REC.	0.8
	RECOMMENDED	2	0.1	20.0	% OF ALL RECOMND.	0.5
	SUBTOTALS	<u>10</u>	<u>0.7</u>			
ARIZONA	NOT RECOMMENDED	12	0.9	80.0	% OF ALL NOT REC.	1.3
	RECOMMENDED	3	0.2	20.0	% OF ALL RECOMND.	0.8
	SUBTOTALS	<u>15</u>	<u>1.1</u>			
CALIFORNIA	NOT RECOMMENDED	91	6.7	77.8	% OF ALL NOT REC.	9.5
	RECOMMENDED	26	1.9	22.2	% OF ALL RECOMND.	6.5
	SUBTOTALS	<u>117</u>	<u>8.6</u>			
COLORADO	NOT RECOMMENDED	16	1.2	72.7	% OF ALL NOT REC.	1.7
	RECOMMENDED	6	0.4	27.3	% OF ALL RECOMND.	1.5
	SUBTOTALS	<u>22</u>	<u>1.6</u>			
CONNECTICUT	NOT RECOMMENDED	19	1.4	73.1	% OF ALL NOT REC.	2.0
	RECOMMENDED	7	0.5	26.9	% OF ALL RECOMND.	1.8
	SUBTOTALS	<u>26</u>	<u>1.9</u>			
WASHINGTON D.C.	NOT RECOMMENDED	7	0.5	63.6	% OF ALL NOT REC.	0.7
	RECOMMENDED	4	0.3	36.4	% OF ALL RECOMND.	1.0
	SUBTOTALS	<u>11</u>	<u>0.8</u>			



THE INSTITUTE OF MUSEUM SERVICES  
 THE APPLICATION AND GRANT MANAGEMENT SYSTEM -- GENERAL OPERATING SUPPORT, FY 89 CYCLE 1  
 CROSSTABULATION OF RECOMMENDED / NOT RECOMMENDED PROJECTS LISTED BY STATES

DATE 05/11/89

PAGE 2

STATES		NUMBER	%	% WITHIN STATE		
DELAWARE	NOT RECOMMENDED	6	0.4	60.0	% OF ALL NOT REC.	0.6
	RECOMMENDED	4	0.3	40.0	% OF ALL RECOMND.	1.0
	SUBTOTALS	<u>10</u>	<u>0.7</u>			
FLORIDA	NOT RECOMMENDED	31	2.3	75.6	% OF ALL NOT REC.	3.2
	RECOMMENDED	10	0.7	24.4	% OF ALL RECOMND.	2.5
	SUBTOTALS	<u>41</u>	<u>3.0</u>			
GEORGIA	NOT RECOMMENDED	23	1.7	85.2	% OF ALL NOT REC.	2.4
	RECOMMENDED	4	0.3	14.8	% OF ALL RECOMND.	1.0
	SUBTOTALS	<u>27</u>	<u>2.0</u>			
HAWAII	NOT RECOMMENDED	8	0.6	72.7	% OF ALL NOT REC.	0.8
	RECOMMENDED	3	0.2	27.3	% OF ALL RECOMND.	0.8
	SUBTOTALS	<u>11</u>	<u>0.8</u>			
IOWA	NOT RECOMMENDED	13	1.0	68.4	% OF ALL NOT REC.	1.4
	RECOMMENDED	6	0.4	31.6	% OF ALL RECOMND.	1.5
	SUBTOTALS	<u>19</u>	<u>1.4</u>			
IDAHO	NOT RECOMMENDED	1	0.1	25.0	% OF ALL NOT REC.	0.1
	RECOMMENDED	3	0.2	75.0	% OF ALL RECOMND.	0.8
	SUBTOTALS	<u>4</u>	<u>0.3</u>			
ILLINOIS	NOT RECOMMENDED	35	2.6	62.5	% OF ALL NOT REC.	3.7
	RECOMMENDED	21	1.5	37.5	% OF ALL RECOMND.	5.3
	SUBTOTALS	<u>56</u>	<u>4.1</u>			
INDIANA	NOT RECOMMENDED	15	1.1	68.2	% OF ALL NOT REC.	1.6
	RECOMMENDED	7	0.5	31.8	% OF ALL RECOMND.	1.8
	SUBTOTALS	<u>22</u>	<u>1.6</u>			

THE INSTITUTE OF MUSEUM SERVICES  
 THE APPLICATION AND GRANT MANAGEMENT SYSTEM -- GENERAL OPERATING SUPPORT, FY 89 CYCLE 1  
 CROSSTABULATION OF RECOMMENDED / NOT RECOMMENDED PROJECTS LISTED BY STATES

DATE 05/11/89

PAGE 3

STATES	NUMBER	%	% WITHIN STATE		
<b>KANSAS</b>					
NOT RECOMMENDED	9	0.7	64.3	% OF ALL NOT REC.	0.9
RECOMMENDED	5	0.4	35.7	% OF ALL RECOMND.	1.3
SUBTOTALS	<u>14</u>	<u>1.0</u>			
<b>KENTUCKY</b>					
NOT RECOMMENDED	8	0.6	80.0	% OF ALL NOT REC.	0.8
RECOMMENDED	2	0.1	20.0	% OF ALL RECOMND.	0.5
SUBTOTALS	<u>10</u>	<u>0.7</u>			
<b>LOUISIANA</b>					
NOT RECOMMENDED	13	1.0	92.9	% OF ALL NOT REC.	1.4
RECOMMENDED	1	0.1	7.1	% OF ALL RECOMND.	0.3
SUBTOTALS	<u>14</u>	<u>1.0</u>			
<b>MASSACHUSETTS</b>					
NOT RECOMMENDED	45	3.3	60.0	% OF ALL NOT REC.	4.7
RECOMMENDED	30	2.2	40.0	% OF ALL RECOMND.	7.5
SUBTOTALS	<u>75</u>	<u>5.5</u>			
<b>MARYLAND</b>					
NOT RECOMMENDED	13	1.0	65.0	% OF ALL NOT REC.	1.4
RECOMMENDED	7	0.5	35.0	% OF ALL RECOMND.	1.8
SUBTOTALS	<u>20</u>	<u>1.5</u>			
<b>MAINE</b>					
NOT RECOMMENDED	10	0.7	47.6	% OF ALL NOT REC.	1.0
RECOMMENDED	11	0.8	52.4	% OF ALL RECOMND.	2.8
SUBTOTALS	<u>21</u>	<u>1.5</u>			
<b>MICHIGAN</b>					
NOT RECOMMENDED	32	2.4	76.2	% OF ALL NOT REC.	3.4
RECOMMENDED	10	0.7	23.8	% OF ALL RECOMND.	2.5
SUBTOTALS	<u>42</u>	<u>3.1</u>			
<b>MINNESOTA</b>					
NOT RECOMMENDED	28	2.1	71.8	% OF ALL NOT REC.	2.9
RECOMMENDED	11	0.8	28.2	% OF ALL RECOMND.	2.8
SUBTOTALS	<u>39</u>	<u>2.9</u>			

THE INSTITUTE OF MUSEUM SERVICES  
 THE APPLICATION AND GRANT MANAGEMENT SYSTEM -- GENERAL OPERATING SUPPORT, FY 89 CYCLE 1  
 CROSSTABULATION OF RECOMMENDED / NOT RECOMMENDED PROJECTS LISTED BY STATES

DATE 05/11/89

PAGE 4

STATES	NUMBER	%	% WITHIN STATE		
<b>MISSOURI</b>					
NOT RECOMMENDED	8	0.6	47.1	% OF ALL NOT REC.	0.8
RECOMMENDED	9	0.7	52.9	% OF ALL RECOMND.	2.3
SUBTOTALS	<u>17</u>	<u>1.3</u>			
<b>MISSISSIPPI</b>					
NOT RECOMMENDED	4	0.3	66.7	% OF ALL NOT REC.	0.4
RECOMMENDED	2	0.1	33.3	% OF ALL RECOMND.	0.5
SUBTOTALS	<u>6</u>	<u>0.4</u>			
<b>MONTANA</b>					
NOT RECOMMENDED	4	0.3	50.0	% OF ALL NOT REC.	0.4
RECOMMENDED	4	0.3	50.0	% OF ALL RECOMND.	1.0
SUBTOTALS	<u>8</u>	<u>0.6</u>			
<b>NORTH CAROLINA</b>					
NOT RECOMMENDED	27	2.0	73.0	% OF ALL NOT REC.	2.8
RECOMMENDED	10	0.7	27.0	% OF ALL RECOMND.	2.5
SUBTOTALS	<u>37</u>	<u>2.7</u>			
<b>NORTH DAKOTA</b>					
NOT RECOMMENDED	2	0.1	100.0	% OF ALL NOT REC.	0.2
<b>NEBRASKA</b>					
NOT RECOMMENDED	7	0.5	70.0	% OF ALL NOT REC.	0.7
RECOMMENDED	3	0.2	30.0	% OF ALL RECOMND.	0.8
SUBTOTALS	<u>10</u>	<u>0.7</u>			
<b>NEW HAMPSHIRE</b>					
NOT RECOMMENDED	5	0.4	45.5	% OF ALL NOT REC.	0.5
RECOMMENDED	6	0.4	54.5	% OF ALL RECOMND.	1.5
SUBTOTALS	<u>11</u>	<u>0.8</u>			
<b>NEW JERSEY</b>					
NOT RECOMMENDED	18	1.3	90.0	% OF ALL NOT REC.	1.9
RECOMMENDED	2	0.1	10.0	% OF ALL RECOMND.	0.5
SUBTOTALS	<u>20</u>	<u>1.5</u>			

THE INSTITUTE OF MUSEUM SERVICES

THE APPLICATION AND GRANT MANAGEMENT SYSTEM -- GENERAL OPERATING SUPPORT, FY 89 CYCLE 1

CROSSTABULATION OF RECOMMENDED / NOT RECOMMENDED PROJECTS LISTED BY STATES

DATE 05/11/89

PAGE 5

STATES	NUMBER	%	% WITHIN STATE		
<b>NEW MEXICO</b>					
NOT RECOMMENDED	11	0.8	68.8	% OF ALL NOT REC.	1.2
RECOMMENDED	5	0.4	31.3	% OF ALL RECOMND.	1.3
SUBTOTALS	<u>16</u>	<u>1.2</u>			
<b>NEVADA</b>					
NOT RECOMMENDED	1	0.1	100.0	% OF ALL NOT REC.	0.1
<b>NEW YORK</b>					
NOT RECOMMENDED	108	8.0	68.8	% OF ALL NOT REC.	11.3
RECOMMENDED	49	3.6	31.2	% OF ALL RECOMND.	12.3
SUBTOTALS	<u>157</u>	<u>11.6</u>			
<b>OHIO</b>					
NOT RECOMMENDED	28	2.1	73.7	% OF ALL NOT REC.	2.9
RECOMMENDED	10	0.7	26.3	% OF ALL RECOMND.	2.5
SUBTOTALS	<u>38</u>	<u>2.8</u>			
<b>OKLAHOMA</b>					
NOT RECOMMENDED	7	0.5	77.8	% OF ALL NOT REC.	0.7
RECOMMENDED	2	0.1	22.2	% OF ALL RECOMND.	0.5
SUBTOTALS	<u>9</u>	<u>0.7</u>			
<b>OREGON</b>					
NOT RECOMMENDED	22	1.6	81.5	% OF ALL NOT REC.	2.3
RECOMMENDED	5	0.4	18.5	% OF ALL RECOMND.	1.3
SUBTOTALS	<u>27</u>	<u>2.0</u>			
<b>PENNSYLVANIA</b>					
NOT RECOMMENDED	77	5.7	69.4	% OF ALL NOT REC.	8.1
RECOMMENDED	34	2.5	30.6	% OF ALL RECOMND.	8.5
SUBTOTALS	<u>111</u>	<u>8.2</u>			
<b>PUERTO RICO</b>					
NOT RECOMMENDED	1	0.1	100.0	% OF ALL NOT REC.	0.1
<b>RHODE ISLAND</b>					
NOT RECOMMENDED	6	0.4	66.7	% OF ALL NOT REC.	0.6
RECOMMENDED	3	0.2	33.3	% OF ALL RECOMND.	0.8
SUBTOTALS	<u>9</u>	<u>0.7</u>			

THE INSTITUTE OF MUSEUM SERVICES

THE APPLICATION AND GRANT MANAGEMENT SYSTEM -- GENERAL OPERATING SUPPORT, FY 89 CYCLE 1

CROSSTABULATION OF RECOMMENDED / NOT RECOMMENDED PROJECTS LISTED BY STATES

DATE 05/11/89

PAGE 6

STATES	NUMBER	%	% WITHIN STATE		
<b>SOUTH CAROLINA</b>					
NOT RECOMMENDED	4	0.3	44.4	% OF ALL NOT REC.	0.4
RECOMMENDED	5	0.4	55.6	% OF ALL RECOMND.	1.3
SUBTOTALS	<u>9</u>	<u>0.7</u>			
<b>SOUTH DAKOTA</b>					
NOT RECOMMENDED	7	0.5	58.3	% OF ALL NOT REC.	0.7
RECOMMENDED	5	0.4	41.7	% OF ALL RECOMND.	1.3
SUBTOTALS	<u>12</u>	<u>0.9</u>			
<b>TENNESSEE</b>					
NOT RECOMMENDED	13	1.0	52.0	% OF ALL NOT REC.	1.4
RECOMMENDED	12	0.9	48.0	% OF ALL RECOMND.	3.0
SUBTOTALS	<u>25</u>	<u>1.8</u>			
<b>TEXAS</b>					
NOT RECOMMENDED	42	3.1	80.8	% OF ALL NOT REC.	4.4
RECOMMENDED	10	0.7	19.2	% OF ALL RECOMND.	2.5
SUBTOTALS	<u>52</u>	<u>3.8</u>			
<b>UTAH</b>					
NOT RECOMMENDED	11	0.8	91.7	% OF ALL NOT REC.	1.2
RECOMMENDED	1	0.1	8.3	% OF ALL RECOMND.	0.3
SUBTOTALS	<u>12</u>	<u>0.9</u>			
<b>VIRGINIA</b>					
NOT RECOMMENDED	27	2.0	67.5	% OF ALL NOT REC.	2.8
RECOMMENDED	13	1.0	32.5	% OF ALL RECOMND.	3.3
SUBTOTALS	<u>40</u>	<u>3.0</u>			
<b>VERMONT</b>					
NOT RECOMMENDED	3	0.2	33.3	% OF ALL NOT REC.	0.3
RECOMMENDED	6	0.4	66.7	% OF ALL RECOMND.	1.5
SUBTOTALS	<u>9</u>	<u>0.7</u>			
<b>WASHINGTON</b>					
NOT RECOMMENDED	22	1.6	68.8	% OF ALL NOT REC.	2.3
RECOMMENDED	10	0.7	31.3	% OF ALL RECOMND.	2.5
SUBTOTALS	<u>32</u>	<u>2.4</u>			

THE INSTITUTE OF MUSEUM SERVICES

THE APPLICATION AND GRANT MANAGEMENT SYSTEM -- GENERAL OPERATING SUPPORT, FY 89 CYCLE 1

CROSSTABULATION OF RECOMMENDED / NOT RECOMMENDED PROJECTS LISTED BY STATES

DATE 05/11/89

PAGE 7

STATES	NUMBER	%	% WITHIN STATE		
<b>WISCONSIN</b>					
NOT RECOMMENDED	22	1.6	75.9	% OF ALL NOT REC.	2.3
RECOMMENDED	7	0.5	24.1	% OF ALL RECOMND.	1.8
SUBTOTALS	<u>29</u>	<u>2.1</u>			
<b>WEST VIRGINIA</b>					
NOT RECOMMENDED	4	0.3	100.0	% OF ALL NOT REC.	0.4
<b>WYOMING</b>					
NOT RECOMMENDED	3	0.2	75.0	% OF ALL NOT REC.	0.3
RECOMMENDED	1	0.1	25.0	% OF ALL RECOMND.	0.3
SUBTOTALS	<u>4</u>	<u>0.3</u>			
<b>TOTALS</b>					
NOT RECOMMENDED	955	70.5			
RECOMMENDED	400	29.5			
TOTALS	<u>1355</u>	<u>100.0</u>			