

2015

Benefits of Surfing for Children with Disabilities: A Pilot Study

Cortney N. Armitano
University of Rhode Island

Emily D. Clapham
University of Rhode Island, eclapham@uri.edu

Linda S. Lamont
University of Rhode Island, lamont@uri.edu

Jennifer G. Audette
University of Rhode Island, jaudette@uri.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/kinesiology_facpubs

Citation/Publisher Attribution

Armitano, C. N., Clapham, E. D., Lamont, L. S., & Audette, J. G. (2015). Benefits of Surfing for Children with Disabilities: A Pilot Study. *Palaestra*, 29(3), 31-34. doi: 10.18666/PALAESTR-2015-V29-I3-6912
Available at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.18666/PALAESTR-2015-V29-I3-6912>

This Article is brought to you by the University of Rhode Island. It has been accepted for inclusion in Kinesiology Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@URI. For more information, please contact digitalcommons-group@uri.edu. For permission to reuse copyrighted content, contact the author directly.

Benefits of Surfing for Children with Disabilities: A Pilot Study

The University of Rhode Island Faculty have made this article openly available.
Please let us know how Open Access to this research benefits you.

This is a pre-publication author manuscript of the final, published article.

Terms of Use

This article is made available under the terms and conditions applicable towards Open Access Policy Articles, as set forth in our [Terms of Use](#).

1 SURFING FOR CHILDREN WITH DISABILITIES PILOT STUDY

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 Benefits of Surfing for Children with Disabilities: A Pilot Study

12 Cortney N. Armitano, Emily D. Clapham, Linda S. Lamont, Jennifer G. Audette

13 University of Rhode Island

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46

Abstract

The purpose of this study was to assess the effectiveness of an eight-week surfing intervention for 16 children with disabilities. The assessment procedure consisted of pre and post physical fitness measures to determine the benefits of this intervention. Our results showed an overall improvement in upper body strength (right: $P = 0.024$, left: $P = 0.022$), core strength ($P = 0.002$) and cardiorespiratory endurance ($P = 0.013$). This research is the first of its kind, illustrating the feasibility and effectiveness of a surfing intervention on improving the physical fitness of children with disabilities.

Keywords: Aquatic, Ocean, Fitness

47 Benefits of Surfing in Children with Disabilities: A Pilot Study

48 Of the 53.9 million school-aged children (aged 5 to 17) in the United States, about 2.8
49 million (5.2 percent) were reported to have a disability (Brault, 2011). Children with disabilities
50 have the same activity requirements as all children, who are recommended to accumulate 60
51 minutes or more of moderate to vigorous physical activity throughout the day (World Health
52 Organization, 2012; ACSM, 2010). Participation in sports and recreational activities provide
53 opportunities for these children that promote inclusion, minimize deconditioning, optimize
54 physical functioning, and enhance overall well-being (Murphy, Carbone, & the Council on
55 Children with disabilities, 2008). Despite the benefits, disabled children are more restricted in
56 their participation, have lower fitness levels, and higher obesity levels than their able-bodied
57 peers (Murphy, 2008). This limited participation also puts them at risk for secondary health
58 problems later in life such as dyslipidemia, coronary artery disease, osteoporosis and diabetes
59 (Fragala-Pinkham, Haley, and O'Neil, 2008; Hayden, 1998). Unfortunately, opportunities to
60 participate in fitness and activity programs, whether for leisure, recreation, or competition, are
61 limited (Murphy, 2008; Okagaki, Diamond, Kontos, & Hestenes, 1998; Rimmer, Riley, Wang,
62 Rauworth, & Jurkowski, 2004).

63 Adapted aquatics programs offer necessary physical activity and educational programming to
64 these children (Kelly & Darrah, 2005; Koury, 1996) and the physical and psychosocial benefits
65 are more pronounced than those reported for children without disabilities (Koury, 1996; Fragala-
66 Pinkham, 2008; Haley, 2010). Research involving children with cerebral palsy determined that
67 aquatic exercise improves muscle strength, cardiorespiratory function, and gross motor skills
68 (Peganoff, 1984; Hutzler, Chacham, & Bergman, 1998; Thorpe and Reilly, 2000). There are
69 reports that carefully planned and implemented water activities can contribute to the

70 psychosocial and cognitive development of a child with a disability (Yilmaz, Yanardag, Birkan
71 & Bumin, 2004; Kelly, 2005). Similar benefits could potentially be derived from surfing in the
72 ocean. Surfing is known to be highly aerobic and exercise intensities are high (75% - 85% of
73 maximal heart rate) (Mendez-Villanueva & Bishop, 2005). There are several surf programs
74 offered to people with disabilities around the world (e.g., Surfers Healing, Ride-a-Wave, and the
75 Disabled Surfers Association in Australia), and they are quickly gaining popularity.

76 The present study was designed to determine whether a surfing program is beneficial by
77 assessing physiological characteristics of the children before and after completion of the
78 program. Surfing programs for children with disabilities are gaining popularity, however the
79 benefits of these intervention programs have not been formally studied. This pilot project
80 provides a preliminary exploration of the benefits of ocean surfing in children with disabilities by
81 assessing for physiological improvements.

82 **Methods**

83 **Participants**

84 This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board at the University of Rhode
85 Island on March 22, 2012. Sixteen participants were recruited from the University of Rhode
86 Island Adapted Physical Education class, Special Olympics Rhode Island and through word-of-
87 mouth throughout the local community. There was a wide range of children with disabilities in
88 this study which included intellectual and learning disabilities, Down syndrome, several Autism
89 Spectrum disorders, Microcephaly, Global Developmental Delays, Dandy-Walker syndrome,
90 heart defects, and hypothyroidism (Table 1). Individuals interested in participating in the study
91 needed to meet the inclusion criteria of being between 5 and 18 years, diagnosed with a

92 developmental, sensory, and/or physical disability, categorized by disability levels of mild to
93 severe by a parent and/or guardian report, cleared by a medical doctor, and have an informed
94 consent signed by their parent/guardian and an assent form signed by the participant.

95 **Materials**

96 This was a pilot study with an experimental study design utilizing pre and post fitness
97 testing measurements (variables) to assess the surfing intervention. The fitness tests used were
98 from the Brockport Physical Fitness Test Manual (Winnick & Short, 1999; Cureton, 1994) which
99 is based on The Cooper Institute's Fitnessgram. The tests were selected to measure
100 cardiorespiratory endurance, flexibility, muscular strength and muscle endurance. A practice day
101 was completed to familiarize all the children with the testing procedures.

102 **Procedures**

103 Each child was paired with an adult instructor for one-on-one surfing instruction. The
104 surf instructors were given training on the program goals, skills and optimal learning style of
105 each child to encourage maximum progression and participation in the program (Clapham,
106 Armitano, Lamont & Audette, 2014). The surf instruction consisted of a one-hour session, twice
107 a week, for eight weeks; the child practiced surfing skills during these sessions. Specifically,
108 children progressed from: 1) paddling, 2) balancing on a surfboard while sitting (Figure 1),
109 laying, kneeling or standing (Figure 2), 3) catching a wave and riding it into shore in the prone,
110 sitting, kneeling (Figure 3), or standing position, and 4) how to paddle back out through the wave
111 unassisted (Clapham et al., 2014). The skills were first practiced in a large group format, then the
112 child and their surf instructor would break off to practice their skills one-on-one beginning on

113 land and then in the ocean (Clapham et al., 2014). The progression through the skills were based
114 on each child's individual pace of learning and the goals set by the surf instructors.

115 SPSS version 19 statistical software was used for the data analyses. Given the broad
116 variability in disabilities of our sample group we used a Shapiro-Wilk Test to examine the
117 normality of distribution for our measures. For the normally distributed data a repeated measures
118 multivariate analysis of variance (ANOVA) using two time points (pre and post) was employed
119 (sit and reach, modified Apley's scratch test and hand grip). Significance was based on an alpha
120 of 0.05 using a Bonferroni correction and a 95% confidence interval. For the non-normally
121 distributed data (trunk lift, modified curl-up, isometric push-up, 20 meter pacer scores $P < 0.05$)
122 we used the nonparametric Wilcoxon Signed Ranks Test for paired variables. All data are
123 presented as mean \pm standard error of the mean.

124 **Results**

125 Table 2 lists the experimental results of this study. In the normally distributed items, we
126 found significant increases in the grip strength in both hands and flexibility of the right arm as
127 measured by the Apley's scratch test. The Back Saver Sit-and-Reach for both left and right arms
128 remained unchanged. For the non-normally distributed data, we found significant improvements
129 in core body muscle strength and aerobic capacity of our sample group as measured by the
130 modified curl-up and cardiorespiratory endurance test employed. There were no significant
131 improvements in the trunk lift or the isometric push up over the eight weeks of surfing
132 instruction for this sample of children with disabilities.

133

Discussion

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

The purpose of this study was to explore the effectiveness of a surfing intervention for children with disabilities through an assessment of physiological measurements including; balance, strength, endurance, flexibility, and cardiorespiratory endurance. Results indicated that this surf program improved numerous areas of physical fitness and is another activity that can be added to the repertoire of effective adapted aquatic exercise programs. There were significant improvements in the participants' upper-body strength, core strength, as well as cardiorespiratory endurance. In the upper extremities there were increases in grip strength and in the participants' range of motion. The Modified Apley's scratch test was used to indicate improvements in the participants' range of motion. These results are consistent with research by Peganoff (1984) who found lap swimming increased shoulder flexion 15° and shoulder abduction 10° in the their participants right upper extremity. These improvements could be attributed to carrying the surfboard, arm use during swimming, and the repetitive arm motion needed to paddle through the water.

We found a substantial increase in core body muscle strength in our participants. Research by Fragala-Pinkham et al. (2010) also reported similar improvements after aerobic aquatic exercise. The improvements that we reported for core strength and endurance should be underscored, and are particularly beneficial as children with disabilities typically show a limitation in postural control (Liao, Jeng, Lai, Cheng & Hu, 1997). We found no improvements in the trunk lift or balance. The lack of improvement in the trunk lift could be attributed to a ceiling effect, because the majority of our participants obtained the maximum score prior to the surfing instruction.

155 Most research indicates that children with disabilities have low levels of cardiorespiratory
156 endurance when compared with their abled bodied peers (Murphy, 2008; Hayden, 1998; Fernhall
157 & Pitetti, 2001). Therefore, one of the most important benefits of this surfing project was the
158 increase in cardiorespiratory endurance. A review by Mendez-Villanueva and Bishop (2005)
159 (2005) indicated that surfing was a highly aerobic activity and Fragala-Pinkham et al. (2008)
160 found improvements in cardiorespiratory endurance after a 14-week aquatic aerobic exercise
161 intervention.

162 Anecdotally, many positive outcomes were reported to be observed from the surfing
163 intervention. Researchers, surf instructors, and parents observed increased self-confidence, gains
164 in social development by interacting with the volunteer surf instructors and other participants,
165 and decreased anxiety. Some of these improvements, as seen in research by Clapham et al.
166 (2014), included increased verbalization, excitement and motivation about physical activity, and
167 improvements in surfing skills. Several outcomes of the program were also reported to carry over
168 into other areas of the participants' lives including increased participation and improved
169 performance in other physical activities such as adapted physical education classes, the Special
170 Olympics and Unified Sports. These observations are concurrent with previous research that also
171 found participation in the surf intervention aided the participants in acquiring the self-
172 confidence, social skills, and physical fitness necessary to increase their participation in
173 organized sport and physical activity (Clapham et al., 2014). It is recommended that future
174 research examines these reported improvements formally.

175 It will be of interest to determine if improvements in cardiovascular fitness in children
176 with disabilities can impact on the secondary health problems they are at risk of experiencing.
177 The results of this study indicate that a surfing intervention program is feasible as well as

178 beneficial to improve the cardiorespiratory endurance, muscle strength, flexibility and range of
179 motion of children with disabilities. Based on the researchers' results and feedback from
180 participants and parents, it appeared as though the surf intervention was effective in improving
181 lives of children with disabilities.

182

Acknowledgements

183

The authors would like to thank a local surf shop Peter Pan Surf Academy who donated

184

50% of the cost of the equipment rentals and all of the volunteers from the community and from

185

the University of Rhode Island's Kinesiology Department and Physical Therapy Department.

186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207

References

ACSM's guidelines for exercise testing and prescription. (2010). Philadelphia, PA: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.

Brault, M. W. (2011). School-aged children with disabilities in U.S. metropolitan statistical areas: 2010. Retrieved December, 2, 2013, from <http://www.census.gov/prod/2011pubs/acsbr10-12.pdf>

Clapham, E.D., Armitano, C.N., Lamont, L., & Audette, J.G. (2014). The ocean as a unique therapeutic environment: developing a surfing program. *Journal of Physical Education Recreation and Dance*: 85(4):8-14.

Cureton, K. J. (1994). *The prudential fitnessgram technical reference manual*. Dallas, TX: Cooper Institute of Aerobics Research.

Fernhall, B., Pitetti, K. (2001). Limitations to work capacity in individuals with intellectual disabilities. *Clinical Exercise Physiology*, 3, 176-185

Fragala-Pinkham, M., Haley, S. M., & O'Neil, M. E. (2008). Group aquatic aerobic exercise for children with disabilities. *Developmental Medicine & Child Neurology*, 50(11), 822-827.

Hayden, M. (1998). Mortality among people with mental retardation living in the United States: research review and policy application. *Mental Retardation*, 36, 345-359.

Hutzler, Y., Chacham, A., Bergman, U. (1998). Effects of movement and swimming program on vital capacity and water orientation skills of children with cerebral palsy. *Developmental Medicine & Child Neurology*, 40(3), 176-181.

Kelly, M., Darrah, J. (2005). Aquatic exercise for children with cerebral palsy. *Developmental Medicine & Child Neurology*, 47, 838-842.

- 208 Koury, J. (1996). *Aquatic Therapy Programming*. Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics.
- 209 Liao, H. F., Jeng, S. F., Lai, J. S., Cheng, C. K., & Hu, M. H. (1997). The relation between single
210 standing balance and walking function in children with spastic diplegic cerebral palsy.
211 *Developmental Medicine & Child Neurology*, 39, 106-112.
- 212 Mendez-Villanueva A., & Bishop, D. (2005). Physiological aspects of surfboard riding
213 performance. *Sports Medicine*, 35(1), 55-70.
- 214 Murphy, N. A., Carbone, P. S. & Council on Children with Disabilities. (2008). Promoting the
215 participation of children with disabilities in sports, recreation, and physical activities.
216 *PEDIATRICS*, 121, 1057-1061.
- 217 Okagaki, L., Diamond, K. E., Kontos, S. J., & Hestenes, L. L. (1998). Correlates of young
218 children's interactions with classmates with disabilities. *Early Childhood Research*
219 *Quarterly*, 13, 67-86.
- 220 Peganoff, S. A. (1984). The use of aquatics with cerebral palsied adolescents. *The American*
221 *Journal of Occupational Therapy*, 38, 469-473.
- 222 Rimmer, J. H., Riley, B., Wang, E., Rauworth, A., & Jurkowski, J. (2004). Physical activity
223 participation among persons with disabilities. *American Journal of Preventative*
224 *Medicine*, 26(5), 419-425.
- 225 Thorpe, D. E., & Reilly, M. (2000). The effect of an aquatic resistive exercise program on lower
226 extremity strength, energy expenditure, functional mobility, balance and self-perception
227 in an adult with cerebral palsy: a retrospective case report. *The Journal of Aquatic*
228 *Physical Therapy*, 8(2), 18-24.

- 229 Winnick, J., Short, F. (1999). *The brockport physical fitness test manual*. Champaign, IL: Human
230 Kinetics.
- 231 World Health Organization. (2012). Global strategy on diet, physical activity and health:
232 physical activity and young people. Retrieved from
233 http://www.who.int/dietphysicalactivity/factsheet_young_people/en/index.html.
- 234 Yilmaz, I., Yanardag, M., Birkan, B., Bumin, G. (2004). Effects of swimming training on
235 physical fitness and water orientation in autism. *Pediatrics International*, 46, 624-626.

Table 1**Subject Characteristics**

ID #	Gender	Age	Disability type and other health information	Disability level
1	Male	15	Autism	Mild
2	Male	7	Down Syndrome	Mild
3	Male	6	Autism- non-verbal	Moderate/Severe
4	Male	10	Autism-non-verbal	Moderate
5	Female	9	Global developmental delays: specifically speech and motor skills	Mild
6	Male	13	Autism	Moderate
7	Female	13	Down syndrome, hypothyroidism	Moderate
8	Male	12	Hypoplastic left heart syndrome, Suffered from several strokes at a young age	Moderate
9	Male	10	Autism	Moderate
10	Male	10	ADHD, learning disabilities (reading), asthma	Mild
11	Male	13	Autism (Asperger Syndrome), ADHD, Tourette Syndrome	Moderate
12	Female	16	Down Syndrome, Hypothyroid	Moderate
13	Female	13	Autism (Asperger Syndrome), Obsessive Compulsive Disorder, Anxiety Disorder	Moderate
14	Male	15	Microcephaly, very low muscle tone	Severe
15	Male	5	Sensory integration disorder, hyperkinetic	Moderate
16	Female	6	Learning disabilities, dandy walker syndrome	Moderate

Table 2**Pre and Post Testing Results**

Test	Pre ± SEM	Post ± SEM	Improvements	Significance
Grip strength (L)	120.5N± 25.5N	219.7N± 23.1N	99.2N	P = 0.024 ^{a*}
Grip strength (R)	120.0N± 24.5N	225.6N ± 23.4N	105.5N	P = 0.022 ^{a*}
Isometric Push-Up	1:28.8 min± 14.9sec.	2:00.4 min± 10.5sec.	31.6sec.	P = N.S. ^b
Modified Curl-Up	16± 5 reps.	27± 6 reps.	11 reps.	P = 0.002 ^{b*}
Trunk lift	9.2in.± 0.8in.	9.8in. ± 0.6in.	0.6in.	P = N.S. ^b
Back Saver Sit- and-Reach (L)	28.63in.± 2.50in.	29.06in.± 2.39in.	0.4in.	P = N.S. ^a
Back Saver Sit- and-Reach (R)	29.0in.± 2.6in.	29.0in.± 2.4in.	0.0in.	P = N.S. ^a
Modified Apley's Scratch (L)	12.5°± 8.6°	14°± 7.1°	1.5°	P = 0 .095 ^a
Modified Apley's Scratch (R)	10.8°± 7.7°	14°± 7.4°	3.18°	P = 0 .034 ^a
20-m PACER	4 laps± 1 lap	6 laps± 2 laps	2 laps	P = 0.013 ^{b*}

* = Statistically significant

N.S. = Not significant

^a = Adjustment for multiple comparisons: Bonferroni^b = Wilcoxon Signed Ranks Test



Figure 1 Balancing on the surfboard while sitting



Figure 2 Balancing on the surfboard while standing



Figure 3 Riding a wave into shore while kneeling