

University of Rhode Island

DigitalCommons@URI

---

Hackney, Sheldon: Humanities Chairman  
Nomination Hearing (1993)

Education: National Endowment for the Arts  
and Humanities, Subject Files I (1973-1996)

---

4-27-1993

## Hackney, Sheldon: Humanities Chairman Nomination Hearing (1993): Letter to the Editor 02

Sheldon Hackney

Follow this and additional works at: [https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/pell\\_neh\\_I\\_29](https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/pell_neh_I_29)

---

### Recommended Citation

Hackney, Sheldon, "Hackney, Sheldon: Humanities Chairman Nomination Hearing (1993): Letter to the Editor 02" (1993). *Hackney, Sheldon: Humanities Chairman Nomination Hearing (1993)*. Paper 15. [https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/pell\\_neh\\_I\\_29/15](https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/pell_neh_I_29/15)

This Letter to the Editor is brought to you by the University of Rhode Island. It has been accepted for inclusion in Hackney, Sheldon: Humanities Chairman Nomination Hearing (1993) by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@URI. For more information, please contact [digitalcommons-group@uri.edu](mailto:digitalcommons-group@uri.edu). For permission to reuse copyrighted content, contact the author directly.

524-1044

UNIVERSITY of PENNSYLVANIA

Office of the President  
100 College Hall  
Philadelphia, PA 19104-6380  
215-896-7221

April 27, 1993

*This has not appeared (been printed) yet*

Editor  
The Wall Street Journal  
200 Liberty Street  
New York, NY 10281  
ATTN: Ned Crabb

Dear Editor:

I write to correct the unfortunate impression left by your editorial of April 26 about a student disciplinary case now pending at the University of Pennsylvania. Although I am not permitted by federal law or University policy to discuss the facts of the case, I can put it into context.

Freedom of expression is the paramount value at Penn, and we are unwavering in our commitment to protect it. To that end, we have well-established policies to protect open expression, and we have very deliberate and fair procedures for judging alleged violations.

Penn is a special kind of community. Not only does the University put the free exchange of ideas at the core of its being, but it strives to ensure that no member of the community is prevented from full participation in those debates by intimidating and abusive racial slurs that are intended only to wound, rather than to enlighten.

Whether a student has engaged in racial harassment according to our very narrowly defined policy is now up to a judicial panel of students and faculty to determine. If errors are made, ample avenues of appeal are available after the judicial panel has reached its conclusion.

Those who think they know what the outcome should be are impatient with the process, but that process must be allowed to run its course.

Sincerely,

*Sheldon Hackney*  
Sheldon Hackney  
President