### University of Rhode Island

## DigitalCommons@URI

Obscenity: News Articles (1989)

Education: National Endowment for the Arts and Humanities, Subject Files II (1962-1996)

7-10-1989

# Obscenity: News Articles (1989): News Article 01

Albert Schweitzer

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/pell\_neh\_II\_58

### **Recommended Citation**

Schweitzer, Albert, "Obscenity: News Articles (1989): News Article 01" (1989). *Obscenity: News Articles (1989)*. Paper 10.

https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/pell\_neh\_II\_58/10

This News Article is brought to you by the University of Rhode Island. It has been accepted for inclusion in Obscenity: News Articles (1989) by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@URI. For more information, please contact digitalcommons-group@uri.edu. For permission to reuse copyrighted content, contact the author directly.

11:24 (212)

397-8283

# One Gain for Freedom, One Loss

By ARTHUR SCHLESINGER JE The holiday just past was an appropri-

ate time to reflect on the question of what America is all about-a reflection forced on us in any case by current heated arguments over the desecration of the flag and over federal support of the arts. 4. 1494

The burning of the flag-unless carried out with appropriate rites by the American Legion-angers most Americans. What would our soldiers have thought during the Second World War, critics ask, if the Suthe flag they were giving their lives to de-

ond World War. On June 14, 1943, in the week when our planes were bombing Sicil-

amidst the fury of the greatest war of our Americans waiting at that time to go overteous protest we hear today, much of it coming from people who never served in the armed forece thorncoluce I anniand the present Supreme Court for keeping the faith.

While one can understand the popular Indignation over the burning of the flag. one must hope that our legislators will: think twice before they rush into ill-considered remedies. I never expected to agree with Senator Gordon Humphrey of New Hampshire, but he stated the matter with precision when he called a proposed consti-

the state must confine itself to its own interests, and art must be free." It is not the business of the government to root out sacrilege, he continues, "but neither is it the business of the United States to support

Now the Serrano photograph, the cause of the uproar, was displayed in an exhibition mounted by the Southeastern Center

copy of his magazine the American Mer-

The other day Rep. Sidney Yates (D., Ill.) showed Rep. Dick Armey (R., Tex.), a leading critic of the National Endowment for the Arts, a Picasso painting of the crucifixion that gave offense to many people half a century ago. Congressman Armey said he didn't mind the Picasso painting. Yates "made the point," Armey said later, "that this is a tricky business-and 1 agree." But he still thinks that the Serrano

photograph is offensive.

The Serrano photograph is offensive, but this remains a tricky business. How should federal grants be made? The experience of the Endowments for the Arts and for the Humanities and of comparable government agencies like the National Science Foundation is that the selection of artists and arts institutions, of humanists, of scientists for federal grants is best handled by juries of peers. Peer review has long since proven itself the best means of maintaining objectivity, balance and professional standards in the awarding of

take whatever pictures they want, the

critic of the New York Tribune, waged a New York stage on the ground that his plays were pornographic. Louisa May Alcott blasted "Huckleberry Finn," and the library in Concord, Massachusetts, banned it. Sixty years ago H.L. Mencken was arrested on Boston Common for selling a

There are two alternatives to peer review. One is to abolish the endowments and abandon the policy of federal support for the arts and humanities. One doubts that this is what Congress and the country want. When President Reagan tried to abolish the National Endowment for the Arts in 1981, he could not even get his own

The other alternative, if we keep the endowments, is the award of grants through political pressure and intervention. A politicized process is precisely the threat raised by the congressional protests. One must hope that the indignant members of Congress will come to see the need for the insulation of the endowments from pollties and will reconsider their perhaps understandable but impulsive attack on agencies with a notable record of achievement in sustaining the cultural vitality of the re-

Let glasnost not contract in the United States while it is expanding in the Soviet

Mr. Schlesinger is Albert Schweitzer professor of the humanities at the City University of New York and a winner of Pulitzer Prizes in history and biography.