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1) **Structure:** The House would recede to the Senate structure with regard to the merger of federal library programs and the Institute of Museum Services into the IMLS with amendments:

   a) requiring clear language preventing the transfer of funds between library and museum programs.

   b) including a merger of the National Museum Services Board and the National Commission on Libraries and Information Services into one commission with reduced overall membership to advise on Museum and Library Services.

   c) requiring the Director of the Institute always come from the library community.

2) **Program Consolidation:** The Senate would recede on consolidate all three federal library programs (LSCA, Title II -- HEA, and Part F of the Technology for Education Act).

3) **One Library Program:** House - Senate compromise discussed yesterday would be adopted: i.e. -- One Library program emphasizing both technology and special services. (see proposed language)

   a) Maintain State Library Admin. Agency flexibility on how to achieve both purposes -- no prescriptive language, no formula allocating resources to any specific special populations or target areas. --- ie the House would ask the Senate to recede on notes 557, 558 and 559.

4) **Setasides:**

   a) The House would recede to the Senate on reserving 1.5% off the top for Indian Tribes. The House would ask the Senate to recede on note 562, Services for Indian Tribes because those services should be the same as the State ie see proposed language for #3

   b) The Senate would recede with respect to the federal leadership programs (8%).

   c) Administrative funds would be limited to 3%.

5) **Paperwork Reduction:** Use House application requirements. Accept flexible evaluation proposal discussed yesterday, i.e. SLAAs would have to preform an evaluation, however, we do not prescribe how they must do it.

6) **State Minimum Grant:** $250,000.

7) **Federal Project Share:** 70%.