

1996

Consolidated and Reformed Workforce Development and Literacy Act (1976): Report 02

Follow this and additional works at: http://digitalcommons.uri.edu/pell_neh_I_19

Recommended Citation

"Consolidated and Reformed Workforce Development and Literacy Act (1976): Report 02" (1996). *Consolidated and Reformed Workforce Development and Literacy Act (1976)*. Paper 14.
http://digitalcommons.uri.edu/pell_neh_I_19/14http://digitalcommons.uri.edu/pell_neh_I_19/14

This Report is brought to you for free and open access by the Education: National Endowment for the Arts and Humanities, Subject Files I (1973-1996) at DigitalCommons@URI. It has been accepted for inclusion in Consolidated and Reformed Workforce Development and Literacy Act (1976) by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@URI. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@etal.uri.edu.

NOTE 121e.

The identification of criteria with respect to (a) effective at-risk providers and programs and (b) ineffective at-risk providers and programs

Such identification of criteria shall include at-risk youth activities administered by the eligible providers outlined in section _____ at-risk eligible providers.

NOTE 291

Senate Recode with amendment.

Amendment:

Line 24 insert "Governor or the" after "the".

NOTE 293a.

House Recode with amendment.

Amendment:

Strike all in lines 6 through 14 and insert the following:

"(a) **WITHIN STATE DISTRIBUTION.** -- Of the funds allotted to a State under section ___ for at-risk youth activities--

- (1) not less than 75 percent shall be allotted to local workforce development boards to carry out such activities through the statewide system;
- (2) not more than 21 percent shall be allotted to the Governor to carry out such activities through the statewide system; and
- (3) not more than 4 percent shall be allotted for administrative purposes at the State level.

(b) **DISTRIBUTION OF LOCAL FUNDS.**--

(1) The Governor, through the collaborative process, shall develop a formula for the allocation of funds in accordance with paragraph (1) of subsection (a). Such formula shall take into account --

(A) poverty rates within each local community, as determined by the State;

(B) the proportion of the State's at-risk youth population, as defined in section _____, residing within each local community; and

(C) such other factors as considered appropriate.

(2) **EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION.**--In establishing such formula, the Governor shall ensure that funds are distributed geographically equitable throughout the State, and that the factors described in paragraph (1) do not receive disproportionate weighting.

(c). -- **LOCAL GRANT AWARDS** --

(1) The local workforce development board shall develop a process for the competitive awarding of grants under paragraph (1) of subsection (a).

(a) In awarding grants, the local workforce development board shall give priority to program applications that serve communities or consortia of communities that contain a large number or high concentration of at-risk youth.

(b) A local workforce board shall not operate programs but shall contract with eligible providers of demonstrated effectiveness, taking into consideration the criteria outlined in section _____.

(2) A local workforce development area shall reserve not more than 10% of the funds allocated to the local area under paragraph (1) of subsection (a) for administrative purposes. Of the funds allocated to the local area for administrative purposes, not more than 4% of those funds shall be used by the local workforce development board for administrative purposes. The remainder of the funds allocated to the local area for administrative purposes shall be used by the local providers of at-risk youth activities for administrative purposes.

(3) PEER REVIEW PROCESS. -- The process developed in paragraph (1) of subsection (c) shall use a peer review process in reviewing applications and making grant awards.

(4) EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION. -- The local workforce development board shall ensure that funds are distributed geographically equitable throughout the local area, and that there is not a disproportionate weighting of factors for the awarding of grants.

(D) STATE GRANT AWARDS. --

(1) (a) The Governor shall develop a process for the competitive awarding of grants under paragraph (2) of subsection (a). Such process for competitive awards shall give priority to serving at-risk youth as defined in section _____.

(b) In awarding grants, the Governor shall give priority to program applications that serve communities or consortia of communities that contain a large number or high concentration of at-risk youth.

(c) The Governor shall contract with eligible providers of demonstrated effectiveness, taking into consideration the criteria outline in section _____.

(d) The Governor shall ensure that funds are distributed equitably throughout the State, and that there is not a disproportionate weighting of factors for the awarding of grants.

(2) PEER REVIEW PROCESS. -- The process developed in paragraph (1) of subsection (d) shall use a peer review process in reviewing applications and making grant awards.

(E) TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE. The Governor, in conjunction with the local elected official within the local workforce development area, shall provide technical assistance to the local workforce development board to improve its level of performance in regard to local at-risk youth activities upon the request of the local workforce development board, or as determined by the Governor through section _____ (Note 187).

OUTLINE OF OFFER

- I. **Distribution of Funds**
 - A. 75% local workforce development boards
 - B. 21% Governor
 - C. 4% State administrative cap

- II. **Local Funds**
 - A. Distributed by formula developed by Governor, through the collaborative process.
 - 1. Formula must take into account poverty, at-risk population and other factors deemed appropriate.
 - 2. Formula must be geographically equitable.
 - B. Local boards, through the collaborative process, make local grant awards.
 - 1. Local boards cannot run programs.
 - 2. Priority of awards given to high numbers or high concentrations of at-risk youth.
 - 3. Local administrative cap of 10% with local boards using no more than 4%.
 - C. Peer review process used for awarding of grants.
 - D. Awards must be geographically equitable.

- III. **State Funds**
 - A. Governor, through the collaborative process, makes grant awards.
 - B. Priority of awards given to high numbers or high concentrations of at-risk youth.
 - C. Peer review process used for awarding of grants.
 - D. Awards must be geographically equitable.

- IV. **Technical Assistance** -- Governor shall provide technical assistance to local boards upon request or as determined by the Governor during the certification process.