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1) Isn't national more to special funding?
2) past history has been must have consensual among
   1) Hill
   2) arts community
     Nat'l vs. local
   3) & locally in DC

- running if these NED
  is bad
- brings into jeopardy the panel system
- would base it on geographic consideration rather than merit.

LD - 114

June

- issues are important
- boxes are usually minor it they do implication are serious
- community will go back shut
- jeopardizes chances for future action