

2017

Kerr, John Hoare (1978-1984): Note 01

Claiborne Pell

Follow this and additional works at: http://digitalcommons.uri.edu/pell_neh_II_42

Recommended Citation

Pell, Claiborne, "Kerr, John Hoare (1978-1984): Note 01" (2017). *Kerr, John Hoare (1978-1984)*. Paper 15.
http://digitalcommons.uri.edu/pell_neh_II_42/15http://digitalcommons.uri.edu/pell_neh_II_42/15

This Note is brought to you for free and open access by the Education: National Endowment for the Arts and Humanities, Subject Files II (1962-1996) at DigitalCommons@URI. It has been accepted for inclusion in Kerr, John Hoare (1978-1984) by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@URI. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@etal.uri.edu.

Please talk w. Liry re John Kerr

Liry -

has been touch w. John's lawyer

- will be compensated for all back pay
- in the payroll
- has been reinstated

- Disability retirement - requires a Doctor's certificate - not taxable - will give him steady income for

John gets best of possible would - ^{rest of life}
back pay
~~re~~ re-instatement.

- (is not fully healthy - had a heart attack.) - was wanting disability way back!

John was separated in Aug 78 -

Liry has letter of Feb 78 - saying John we cannot keep you beyond Aug. - rather than 30 day notice.

- During this period - Liry wrote 50 letters ^{(as far as on (link a) possible)} on John's behalf. In summer of 78 - Liry detailed John to ICA - to get better with contacts. At end of ~~June~~ June John wanted to come back to NEA - so he could concentrate on finding another job (in letter)

→ John came back to NEA for last month + 1/2
- John says ICA detail ^{was} "abruptly terminated"
- he also got full separation pay from NEA

→ John maintains he was never given a chance to appeal his separation -
→ Liny thought he would appeal in October 17. There many conversations but he didn't.

- NEA appears culpable - because ~~they~~ it did not get letters from MSPB - asking for comments. 2 letters were lost.

John given every possible break! Even more than others rotated out.

~~He~~ He was not doing a good job - but Liny didn't bring this up.

- We can write John + say that we understand that ^{NEA} is currently adjudicating yr case in accordance w the letters rpts they have rec'd from you + yr lawyer.