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Memorandum -- prepared for Dr. Ackerman
by Livingston Biddle, Staff Director,
Senate Special Subcommittee on Arts and Humanities

Attached is a copy of S. 3440, which has become the Senate
amendment to the legislation passed by the House of Representatives
(H. R. 12838) to reauthorize the Arts and Humanities program
for another four fiscal years.

The so-called "Pell amendment" to the Humanities legislation has
been modified as a result of the Senator's discussions in
Subcommittee (which he Chairs) and action taken by the full Committee.
The bill as a whole was passed by the Senate by unanimous consent
on May 20.

In essence the legislation would permit these options for
State-based Humanities programs:
1. Present committees could be continued, provided they
established appropriate grievance procedures to take care of
responsibly made complaints.

2. Present committees could continue if they phased in
a plan to have a majority of the members appointed by a State's
governor within a three-year span.

3. A new entity, exclusively for the Humanities, could be
established.

4. A State's combined Arts and Humanities program (11 States
now have such programs) could be selected.

The Senate bill leaves the selection among these four options up
to the State. One of the above would be designated by the State to
support the State program. (At present the National Endowment in
Washington decides on State-based programs. Senator Pell believes that
the States should decide what is best to meet their individual wishes
and needs.)

The above represents only 20 per cent of the totals authorized
(same as for Arts State programs). The 80 per cent of the funding
for national programs would not be effected. These procedures would
remain with Washington (as is also the case with the Arts Endowment.)

Senator Pell points to the remarkable success of the State Arts
programs: funding levels have increased 15-fold in 10 years, from
$4 million to over $60 million; community arts organizations have
grown from less than 100 to more than 1,000 in the same time; governors,
mayors, etc. are increasingly emphasizing that the arts have a
central role in terms of national and local well-being -- ten years
ago government officials generally regarded the arts as peripheral luxuries.
The Pell-Berman dispute began over the question of State input. In essence, I feel the Senator has lost confidence in Dr. Berman's leadership. He believes Berman has performed passably, but not exceptionally. And he feels, very strongly, that an exceptional ability must be clearly demonstrated, if either the Chairman of the Arts or the Chairman of the Humanities is to be reappointed.

He also feels that the legislation for reauthorization, now pending an early Conference with the House of Representatives, should be separated from the Berman matter. Thus we are concentrating on first taking care of this bill.

You'll note that there is a special new section on museums — Senator Pell started work on this four years ago; it has at last come into being.

There is also a new opportunity for initiatives in the field of arts Education. The House bill lacks this element. It may not survive; but obviously more needs to be done in this area than at present — there needs to be a new leadership, I believe, in this field.

In addition, there's a new concept for challenge grants. In the arts area, this could include museums — and museums are given specific mention in the Arts Education Title.

All best to you,

Lin Biddle

P.S. Excuse typing — we're in recess and some helpers are away — but what I lack in accuracy I make up for in good wishes to you.