## University of Rhode Island

## DigitalCommons@URI

Obscenity: News Articles (1989)

Education: National Endowment for the Arts and Humanities, Subject Files II (1962-1996)

6-23-1989

Obscenity: News Articles (1989): Editorial 01

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/pell\_neh\_II\_58

## **Recommended Citation**

"Obscenity: News Articles (1989): Editorial 01" (1989). *Obscenity: News Articles (1989)*. Paper 18. https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/pell\_neh\_II\_58/18

This Editorial is brought to you by the University of Rhode Island. It has been accepted for inclusion in Obscenity: News Articles (1989) by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@URI. For more information, please contact digitalcommons-group@uri.edu. For permission to reuse copyrighted content, contact the author directly.

tive power — or the Court's view that the case was about political speech — as the justices' attempts to

it. It's a victory for ordered noerty unat garwing a good name.

Ny TIMES

EDITORIAL

OSDUS

ta

dis

th

lik Wi ag Un da

ca

to

## Caving In at the Corcoran

"My work is about seeing — seeing things like they haven't been seen before," the photographer Robert Mapplethorpe said several years ago. A Mapplethorpe portrait of a calla lily, then, is not simply exquisite. It is also curiously and remarkably erotic.

Mapplethorpe, who died in March, brought the same elegance and technique to his documentation of a sadomasochistic male homosexual subculture in which he himself was a participant. This is confrontational photography, and more than a few viewers have turned away from it. But if the response to his subject matter is sometimes disdain of disgust, the response to the way Mapplethorpe explored it is usually respect. The photographer was an artist.

Certainly Washington's Corcoran Gallery of Art thought so: a Mapplethorpe retrospective, partly financed by the National Endowment for the Arts, was to open there next Friday.

Not anymore. Citing "concerns — on both sides of the issue of public funds supporting controversial

art," the Corcoran's director, Dr. Christina Orr-Cahall, announced that the gallery was dropping the show.

Faced with Congressional threats to slash the endowment's budget and restrict its discretion to underwrite works that some legislators think is blasphemous or pornographic, the Corcoran unwisely chose to repudiate its own artistic judgment. Instead of helping to avoid controversy, the gallery's cave in only attracted it. A laser artist plans to project huge images of Mapplethorpe's photographs on the gallery's facade.

"Robert Mapplethorpe: The Perfect Moment" has now been picked up — by the Washington Project for the Arts, which, like the Corcoran, gets Federal funds. Unlike the Corcoran, the Washington Project is unwilling to step back because of political intimidation. Would that the Corcoran had been so stout of heart.

The episode also underscores the need to provide the endowment, without a permanent leader since February, with a courageous, committed and knowledgeable new chairman.

Polishing the City