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In considering how best to implement parent-delivered communication interventions for young children with autism spectrum disorder (ASD), there is a growing body of evidence supporting the need to examine children’s pre-treatment factors (e.g., verbal skills, joint attention skills, imitation skills) as potentially influential variables on intervention efficacy. Nonetheless, we know little about the mechanisms by which pre-treatment parent factors may influence communication outcomes, limiting our ability to make optimal decisions in tailoring communication intervention to the strengths and needs of children with ASD and their families. There may be much to be gained from examining the potential influence of pre-treatment parent characteristics on communication outcomes for children with ASD. To these ends, our recent work published in the Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders, examined father-child and mother-child interactions in order to investigate concurrent associations between the language skills of children with ASD and two potentially influential variables in parent uptake of communication intervention, parent gender and broad autism phenotype (i.e., aloofness, rigidity, pragmatic language deficits). Specifically, we examined concurrent relationships between two child measures (i.e., engagement and language skills) and three parent variables (i.e., parent gender, parental BAP characteristics, and parent verbal responsiveness).

The importance of parent responsiveness for communication outcomes for children with ASD has long been recognized. Indeed, longitudinal studies and intervention studies have documented that mothers’ use of language input which follows the child’s lead and maps to the child’s focus of attention is a significant predictor of language outcomes for children with ASD. Given that these studies show parental verbal responsiveness to be a predictor of language outcomes for children with ASD, it follows that increasing parent responsiveness is often a target of early communication intervention for children with ASD. However, results from studies of parent-implemented intervention have been mixed, with some studies reporting changes in parent behavior but not child outcomes whereas others report changes in both parent behavior and child communication outcomes. For example, in a recent randomized controlled trial (RCT) Green and colleagues examined pre-emptive interventions for young children at familial risk of ASD. Fifty-four infants between 9 and 14 months of age were assigned to treatment or control group or treatment group receiving a 12-session parent-mediated social communication intervention. Although...
findings showed no effect of intervention on categorical diagnostic outcome or formal language measures, the researchers reported significant overall effects for parent responsiveness (e.g., nondirectiveness/synchrony) and child attentiveness/communication initiation. Overall, findings from this study and others suggest that not all parents acquire the same level of proficiency in using targeted strategies. In addition, parents differ in their use of these strategies over time, and some may not implement targeted strategies with sufficient intensity to affect children’s long-term communication outcomes.12, 13, 14, 15.

In a recent metaanalysis of parent-implemented intervention, which reported small improvements in ASD symptom severity, socialization, and cognition and only minimal improvements in communication and language across RCTs16. Parent-implemented interventions for young children with ASD aim to improve child communication skills through changes in parent behavior, and thus differences found in intervention outcomes may be related to the fit of treatment strategies to the pre-intervention characteristics, needs and strengths of participating parents and families. For instance, in a recent RCT, Wetherby and colleagues17 showed individualized home-based parent coaching to be more effective than parent training delivered in a group setting for improving child outcome measures of social communication, adaptive behaviors, and developmental level. In another example, Siller, Hutman and Sigman identified maternal insightfulness as an influential pre-intervention parental characteristic18. In their intervention targeting responsive insightfulness as an influential pre-intervention parental example, Siller, Hutman and Sigman identified maternal insightfulness on child outcome measures of social communication, parent training delivered in a group setting for improving home-based parent coaching to be more effective than education and learning for children with ASD20, 21. However role in childcare and show a high level of engagement in variable to examine, as fathers now take an increased

In designing optimal communication interventions for children with ASD, it is timely to consider the findings of research investigating potential relationships between parental BAP and child communication skills. The BAP refers to the phenotypic expression of elevated, but diagnostically subthreshold, levels of autism-related symptoms among relatives of individuals with ASD22. Researchers have defined three primary components of the BAP: (a) aloofness; (b) rigid personality; and (c) pragmatic language deficits23. Aloofness is characterized by diminished interest in or enjoyment of social interaction; rigid personality is defined as difficulty adjusting to change; and pragmatic language problems refer to deficits in the social use of language, resulting in reduced effectiveness of communicative exchanges. Studies suggest that BAP characteristics can impact the communicative effectiveness of parents during exchanges with other adults29, 30, 31. The BAP may also influence parent-child communication.

Thus, in our study we examined concurrent associations between parental BAP characteristics, and child engagement and language skills. In addition, we examined whether associations between parental BAP characteristics and child language remained significant after accounting for parental verbal responsiveness. Sixteen children with ASD (12 boys and 4 girls) and their mothers and fathers participated in this study. Child ASD characteristics were not examined in this study, however for purposes of determining eligibility for inclusion in the study, child participants met the following criteria: (a) chronologic age between 36 and 69 months; (b) existing clinical diagnosis of ASD, confirmed by the cutoff scores on the Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule22; (c) no severe sensory or motor impairments; and (d) no identified metabolic, genetic, or progressive neurological disorders. In addition, each participating child was required to have two biological parents, or married caregivers, residing with the child continuously since birth.

**What do the findings tell us?** First, associations found between frequency of child-initiated engagement and parental BAPQ scores showed that children in the study initiated less engagement with mothers who had higher Aloof and Rigid BAPQ scores. In contrast, fathers’ Aloof and Rigid subscale scores were unrelated to child-initiated engagement. Interestingly however, a positive
association was found between frequency of child-initiated engagement and fathers’ scores on the Pragmatic Language BAPQ subscale. This suggests that children in this study used more visual and tactile engagement with their environment when they were observed with fathers whose pragmatic language characteristics more strongly resembled those seen in individuals with ASD.

Second, similar to findings with child-initiated engagement, child language scores were also negatively associated with mothers’ scores on the Aloof and Rigid BAPQ subscales. However, negative correlations between child language scores and mothers’ scores on the Aloof subscale were no longer significant, after controlling for mothers’ responsive verbal behaviors. This suggests that increased frequency of responsive parent verbal behavior potentially mediates the relationship between aloof parental traits and child communication skills. In contrast, none of the paternal BAPQ subscale scores were associated with child language scores (Figure 1).

The implications for communication intervention with young children with ASD are twofold. First, findings from this study highlighted the potential benefits of a novel intervention approach, in that parents who demonstrate characteristics of the BAP (i.e., aloofness, rigid personality, pragmatic language problems) may benefit from coaching models that are tailored to their unique social communication needs, strengths, and personalities. Individualizing parent coaching to fit parent pre-intervention characteristics in this way may increase the fidelity and effectiveness of parent-implemented intervention, resulting in improved social communication outcomes for children with ASD. More specifically, parents who meet criteria for Aloof characteristics of the BAP may benefit from interventions focused on increasing verbal responsiveness by coaching parents to share their child’s focus of attention and model language that linguistically maps to the child’s focus. Whereas for parents who meet criteria for rigid BAP characteristics, coaching parents to use a variety of flexible responsive verbal behaviors in order to better scaffold child engagement and language learning may be more effective. Overall, results shared in this paper provide early evidence that supports the need for continued research to identify responsive strategies that are both beneficial to children with ASD and amenable to parents and caregivers. Communication intervention will not be effective if it does not fit the strengths and needs of children with ASD and their families.
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