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ABSTRACT

In this paper, we present a systematic evaluation of the effects of local clays and the manufacturing process on the performance of ceramic water filters (CWFs) impregnated with silver compounds, which are used for point-of-use water treatment in developing countries. Mineral composition, silver sorption/desorption, and strength are the important characteristics that influence effectiveness and durability of CWFs during transport and use. Laboratory tests were conducted on ceramic samples obtained from five CWF factories around the world to determine their mineral composition, silver sorption/desorption, and flexural strength. The results of this study showed that clays that contain traces of crystalline albite or crystalline pyroxene have better sorption of silver species than those that do not. The results showed that the Freundlich model provided the best fit for both ionic silver and silver nanoparticles for all of the ceramic materials that were tested. Thus, this model can be used to optimize the manufacturing process and the application of silver. Silver nanoparticles were desorbed more slowly than ionic silver, so they last longer in the ceramic material. Water that contains a high concentration of divalent ions is not recommended for preparing solutions of silver nanoparticles due to aggregation of the particles, which limits their sorption by the ceramic materials. In this study, the mineralogy of the source materials was found to have the most significant influence on the strength of ceramic filters.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Ceramic water filters (CWFs) impregnated with silver nanoparticles were developed in Guatemala by Dr. Fernando Mazariegos with the support of the World Bank and the Inter-American Bank. Dr. Mazariegos’ work involved the evaluation of ten models of low-cost, domestic water filters. In response to Hurricane Mitch in 1999, Potters for Peace used CWFs as a sustainable water treatment technology in Nicaragua [1]. At present, there are more than 30 established ceramic filter manufacturing facilities in 20 countries that produce about 40,000 filters per month [1]. These silver-impregnated CWFs are easy to use, requiring little training for the users, and they are produced locally and require no additional chemicals for operation.

Ceramic filters are manufactured by pressing and firing a mixture of clay and a combustible material, such as flour, rice husks, or sawdust, prior to treatment with silver nanoparticles. The filters are formed using a filter press, after which they are air-dried and fired in a flat-top kiln, in which the temperature is increased gradually to about 900 °C over an eight-hour period. This forms the ceramic material and combusts the sawdust, flour, or rice husks in the filters, making it porous and permeable to water [1]. After firing, the filters are cooled and impregnated with a silver solution (either silver nanoparticles or silver nitrate) by painting it onto the filters or dipping the filters in a bath of the solution. It has been demonstrated that the silver solution adds disinfectant properties to the CWF, thereby decreasing the bacteria concentration and increasing the quality of the water.

Ionic silver and silver nanoparticles are used extensively for their medicinal and disinfectant properties [2-7]. It has been demonstrated that silver ions produce reactive oxygen species (ROS) by proxy [8], prevent the replication of DNA, and affect the permeability and
structure of the cell membrane [9]. Similarly, silver nanoparticles have different anti-microbial mechanisms, including (i) interactions with the surface of the cell membrane, creating “pits” and affecting permeability, (ii) the release silver ions that penetrate the cell and interrupt the replication of DNA, and (iii) the production of ROS.

Both silver salts and nanoparticles are added to CWFs in three different ways, i.e., by painting them onto the filter, dipping the filter in a silver solution, and mixing the silver with clay, sawdust, and water in a powder form. One survey found that 33% of the factories painted the silver solution onto the CWFs, 56% dipped the CWFs into the silver solution, and the remaining 11% mixed the silver in powdered form with clay and sawdust [1]. About 83% of factories used silver nanoparticles, and 17% used silver nitrate [1].

Previous studies of CWFs showed that increasing the concentration of silver added to the CWFs increased the removal of pathogens [10-12]. The current average amount of silver added to CWFs is about 0.003 mg Ag/g ceramic. No study has assessed the possibility of obtaining a higher sorption of silver species by the ceramic material while minimizing desorption. The rate of desorption of silver from CWFs has been determined in field and laboratory studies [12, 13], but no studies have been performed to evaluate the influence of the type of clay, accessory minerals, and the concentration of silver added to the ceramic materials.

The strength of CWFs also is an important factor because it is related to the durability of the filters during transport and use. CWFs are unreinforced, so the strength of the filters depends largely on the tensile strength of the ceramic material used to make the filter. Recent studies by Plapally et al. [14] indicated that clay mineralogy and the combustible material (e.g., sawdust and rice husks) used in manufacturing CWFs affect the pore distribution and hence the strength of the ceramic materials. In this study, we performed a fracture toughness test on single-edged,
notched, bend specimens from different sections of CWFs that were manufactured under controlled conditions in the laboratory. The roles of process variables, such as the ratio of clay to combustible material, the firing program, and the way the materials are handled during the manufacturing process, are still somewhat uncertain.

The objective of this study was to investigate the influence of local clay materials and the manufacturing process on silver sorption/desorption and the strength characteristics of CWFs. The specific hypothesis to be tested is that the silver sorption capacity and strength properties of CWFs can be predicted based on the distribution of the minerals in the clay used to make the CWFs.

2. TESTING PROCEDURES

Ceramic samples were obtained from CWF factories located in the United States (A), Guatemala (B), Ghana (C), Peru (D), and Nicaragua (E). These samples were representative of a wide variety of clay types and manufacturing methods. None of the CWFs had silver added to them during the manufacturing process.

2.1. Bulk Mineralogy and Chemistry

The ceramic samples were pulverized using a porcelain mortar and pestle, and the pulverized material was passed through a 150-micron sieve and placed in the sample cell of a Terra X-ray diffraction and fluorescence unit manufactured by InXitu, Inc. Samples were analyzed for at least 50 exposures to the X-ray. Dominant XRD peaks were compared with standard reference profiles for known minerals using XPowder software (http://www.xpowder.com/). Bulk chemistry data, collected during the same analysis, yielded low-resolution detection of the selected metals.
2.2. Porosity

Porosity is defined as the ratio of the volume of voids ($V_v$) to the total volume ($V_t$). Thus, it is a measure of the void space in a material. The ceramic materials were dried at 105 °C until they reached a constant weight. This weight was recorded as $W_o$, and then the ceramic materials were immersed in water for 24 h. Then they were weighed again, and this weight was recorded as $W_f$. With the voids still full of water, the ceramic materials were covered in parafilm and placed in a graduated cylinder with a known volume of water, recorded as $V_o$. Then, the final volume of water after the addition of the ceramic material was recorded as $V_f$. The porosity ($n$) was determined using the following equation:

$$n(\%) = \frac{V_v}{V_t} = \frac{(W_f - W_o)}{(V_f - V_o)} \times 100$$

2.3. Sorption/Desorption of Silver Species

Silver nanoparticles were obtained from Laboratorios Argenol SL in Spain (Collargol 70.37% silver content). The nanoparticles manufactured by Laboratorios Argenol were synthesized by irradiation techniques and stabilized using casein. This is the most common source of silver nanoparticles used by CWF factories. Ionic silver was obtained in the form of silver nitrate ($\text{AgNO}_3$) from Sigma-Aldrich (> 99.999% purity).

Concentrations of ionic silver were determined using a Thermo-Scientific Orion 9616BNWP ion-Plus Sure-Flow Silver/Sulfide probe. The concentration of silver nanoparticles in solution was obtained using ICP-OES (X series, Thermo Elemental); with this method, we are able to detect the total silver in solution, i.e., the combination of silver nanoparticles and ionic silver.
The experiments were conducted at similar conditions of ionic strength \( (I) \) using 0.00147 \( I \) of KNO\(_3\) (1.9 mM KNO\(_3\)) and 0.00147 \( I \) of Ca(NO\(_3\))\(_2\) (0.48 mM Ca(NO\(_3\))\(_2\)), which represented monovalent and divalent electrolytes, respectively. A dynamic light scattering (DLS) system was used to determine the sizes and distributions of the particles in the different electrolyte solutions that were prepared. Malvern Zetasizer Nanoseries ZS90 was used to determine the zeta potential of the silver nanoparticles at the different water chemistry conditions used in the experiments. The characterization methodologies were described by the authors of previously-published work [7, 15].

Batch sorption experiments for each silver species on each of the five CWF materials using either monovalent or divalent electrolyte solutions, were performed by combining the sorbent, the aqueous silver solution, and organic-free, deionized (DI) water in 15-mL polypropylene tubes. The mass of sorbent (ceramic material) used in each isotherm experiment was 5 g with a total sample volume of 1 cm\(^3\). The aqueous silver solutions were prepared at concentrations of 10 g/L Ag\(^+\) (AgNO\(_3\)) and 4.0 g/L Ag\(^0\). These concentrations and sorbent masses were used to ensure that 30% to 90% of the silver species were sorbed at equilibrium. The aqueous silver solution was mixed with DI water prior to contacting the ceramic materials at different ratios. Tubes containing the diluted silver solution and no ceramic were also included in the experiment and analyzed to determine the losses due to contact with the tubes or caps. No significant losses were measured, and recovery in all tubes was determined to be greater than 98%. The difference between the initial and final (equilibrium) mass of silver in the aqueous phase was considered to be equal to that sorbed by the solid phase.

The data were fitted by two well-known models, i.e., the Langmuir and Freundlich models. The Langmuir adsorption isotherm was used to describe the equilibrium between the
surface and the solution as a reversible chemical equilibrium between species. The surface of the 
adSORbent was made up of fixed individual sites at which molecules of the adsorbate could be 
chemically bound. We assumed that the reaction had a fixed free energy change for all sites and 
that each site was capable of binding, at most, one molecule of adsorbate. This assumes, at most, 
a monolayer of adsorbate on the adsorbent. (35)

To model the Langmuir Isotherm, the data were plotted as $\frac{c_A}{q_A}$ versus $C_A$, which resulted in 
a straight line with a slope of $\frac{1}{Q_M}$ and an intercept of $\frac{1}{b_AQ_M}$. Linear regression was used to 
determine the best fit parameters and the Langmuir regression parameters, $Q_M$ and $b_A$, where $Q_M$ 
is the maximum adsorbent-phase concentration of sorbate when the surface sites are saturated 
with sorbate, ($\text{mg sorbate}$), and $b_A$ is the Langmuir adsorption constant of the sorbate, ($\frac{L}{mg}$). 

$\frac{c_A}{q_A} = \frac{1}{b_AQ_M} + \frac{c_A}{Q_M}$ \hspace{1cm} (2)

The Freundlich adsorption isotherm was used to describe the data for heterogeneous 
adsorbents, such as activated carbon. Heterogeneous adsorbents often have varying site energies 
and are best described using the Freundlich isotherm. The Freundlich Sorption Equilibrium 
Model was derived as an empirical equation. It describes the equilibrium for heterogeneous 
sorbents and is the most frequently-used isotherm for activated carbon. To model the 
Freundlich isotherm, the data were plotted as $\log (q_A)$ versus $\log (C_A)$, using an equation that 
results in a straight line with a slope of $\frac{1}{n}$ and an intercept of $\log(K)$. The term $\frac{1}{n}$ is the 
Freundlich sorption intensity parameter (unitless). $K$ is the Freundlich sorption 
capacity parameter, ($\frac{mg}{g}$) \cdot ($\frac{L}{mg}$)$^{\frac{1}{n}}$. 
\[
\log(q_A) = \log(K) + \left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \cdot \log(C_A) \quad (3)
\]

Desorption experiments were conducted to determine the amount of sorbate (silver species) that was desorbed from the sorbent (ceramic materials). After the sorption experiments, the ceramic materials were dried in an oven at 25 °C for 24 h. This temperature was chosen to simulate the incubator temperatures for both sorption and desorption agitating and the temperature of the drying process used at the filter manufacturing factories. Then, the ceramics were immersed in a vial that contained a background solution with the same background ions as the sorption experiment. The vials were rotated in a rotating tumbler for 24 h at 25 rpm at 25 °C. Equilibrium concentrations were measured at the completion of this period. Following this, the ceramic materials were removed from the vials and dried in an oven at 25 °C for 24 h. This procedure was performed twice for each sample of ceramic material.

2.4. Strength

Flexural strength was determined using the three-point bending test (ASTM C1161-02c) that is commonly used in testing high-strength ceramic materials. A band saw was used to cut small beams from pieces of the CWFs, and the tests were performed on these small beams. It was only possible to perform the test on ceramic materials A, B, and E due to the lack of appropriate materials shipped from the other factories. It was not feasible to obtain specimens that had dimensions that were in exact accordance with ASTM specifications. Thus, for this study, the height and length of the beams were 1 and 4.5 cm, respectively. The depth of the beams was established by the wall thickness of the CWFs (which ranged from 1.5 to 2 cm), so trimming was done only to obtain the necessary width and length. Therefore, trimming did not influence the top or bottom surfaces of the beam, because any changes in these surfaces could have affected the strength of the beam. Figure 1 shows the three different orientations of the
beams that were cut from the sides of the CWFs. The different orientations were used to investigate possible strength anisotropy in the ceramic material associated with the manufacturing process. Specimens trimmed from the bottom of the CWFs were tested in the z-direction from the inside out.

The following equations were used to calculate flexural stress ($\sigma_f$) and flexural strain ($\varepsilon_f$), respectively [16]:

\[
\sigma_f = \frac{3P_L}{2wd^2} \quad (4)
\]
\[
\varepsilon_f = \frac{6Dd}{L^2} \quad (5)
\]

where $P$ is the load applied to the beam at midspan, $L$ is the length of the span, $w$ is the width of the beam, $d$ is the depth of the beam, and $D$ is the displacement where $P$ is located. The load was applied at a constant displacement rate of 0.005 in/min using a Karol Warner Model 76 Load Frame with a load cell that had a 50-lb capacity. Displacement was calculated by multiplying the specified strain rate by the elapsed time. Equation 2 was used to determine the strength at the maximum applied load.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Bulk mineralogy and geochemistry

Mineralogy and Geochemistry via simultaneous XRD/XRF

Ceramic samples from the United States (A), Guatemala (B), Ghana (C), Peru (D), and Nicaragua (E) were analyzed under the same conditions. The ceramic sample from Nicaragua was exceptional in its high background noise, indicating poor crystallinity, which was possibly due to heavy erosion of the source material or additional milling of the ceramic components prior
to assembling the CWFs. Overlapping mineral contents in the fired ceramic material showed that all of the samples except the Nicaraguan samples, had a quartz-dominated matrix (Table 1). Differing amounts of the smectite group of clays occurred in the samples from the U.S., Guatemala, Peru, and, possibly, Nicaragua. Illite was detected in the samples from Peru and Nicaragua. Samples from Guatemala and Peru contained pyroxene grains, which are common in mafic volcanic rocks. The samples from Peru and Nicaragua contained albitic grains, which are commonly found in weathered volcanic terrains. The samples from Ghana were exceptional in that they were essentially all quartz. Note that the smectite group of clays has expandable interlayer spaces, so the clays can accommodate interlayer water or large cations, according to convention (Figure S1 in supplemental information).

3.2. Porosity

Porosity is the measure of interconnected voids in the ceramic material. The maximum porosity measured, i.e., 48%, was for the CWFs made in Ghana, and and the minimum porosity, i.e., 40%, was measured for the CWFs made in the U.S. Table S1 (supplemental information) shows the porosities that were measured for the ceramic materials used in the experiments.

Many studies have found that the range of porosity for CFWs manufactured in different countries is between 35% and 44% [1]. Our results fell near the upper limit of the range. This discrepancy with previous studies could be have been caused by the differences in the analytical techniques used to determine porosity; we used a water intrusion method instead of the mercury porosimetry that was used in other studies [13].

3.3. Properties of the silver nanoparticles

Figure 2 shows the mean size of the nanoparticles as a function of the type of electrolyte used. As the calcium concentration increased, the mean size of the nanoparticle aggregates
increased up to 500 nm. When sodium was used as background ions, the largest size of the aggregates was only 100 nm. The influence of the background electrolytes on the aggregation kinetics of silver nanoparticles was reported previously by several authors with similar results as those obtained in this study [7, 15, 17-19]. The aggregation of the nanoparticles could limit the penetration of the particles to pores smaller than the size of the aggregate, thereby reducing the amount of silver taken up by the ceramic material.

The zeta potentials of the silver nanoparticles for Ca(NO$_3$)$_2$ and KNO$_3$ water conditions were -23.95 and -18.55 mV, respectively. The results implied that the nanoparticles were more stable with 200 mg/L KNO$_3$ as the background solution than they were in the solution that contained Ca(NO$_3$)$_2$. All of the nanoparticles had negative zeta potentials.

### 3.4. Sorption of silver compounds

#### 3.4.1. Silver Nanoparticles

Figure 3 shows isotherm results of the batch silver nanoparticles for all the ceramic materials at the different conditions of water chemistry. The isotherm data fitted both the Langmuir isotherm and the Freundlich isotherm for the sorption of the silver nanoparticles. The best fit was chosen by the $R^2$ values. The Freundlich isotherm provided the best fit for the range of concentrations of silver nanoparticles sorbed by the ceramic materials at all water-chemistry conditions that were tested. However, the $R^2$ values were much higher when potassium nitrate was used, indicating a much better fit than that for calcium nitrate. Table 2 shows the fitting parameters. From the fitting of the experimental results, it could be inferred that there was no limit to the amount silver nanoparticles that can be sorbed by the ceramic materials, but, in reality, we know that such a limit exists; we just could not determine what it was at the experimental conditions used in this study.
3.4.2. Ionic Silver

Figure 4 shows the isotherms for all of the ceramic materials at the different water chemistry conditions that were tested. Both Langmuir and Freundlich models fit the isotherm data for silver ion sorption (data not shown). However, the Freundlich fit produced higher $R^2$ values than the Langmuir fit, so the former was selected as the better fit for the tested range of concentrations of silver ions as sorbed by the ceramic materials in all water-chemistry cases. There is one case for which the Freundlich model did not provide the better fit, i.e., 1, ceramic materials from Guatemala with a background solution of calcium nitrate. However, the $R^2$ values for both isotherm fits were greater than 0.9, indicating a good fit for both the Freundlich and Langmuir models. Table 2 shows details of the parameters used to obtain the fits of the Freundlich and Langmuir models.

3.5. Desorption

3.5.1. Silver Nanoparticles

Figure 5(a) shows the average desorption per ceramic material for the two solutions. The highest desorption with KNO$_3$ was for ceramic material from Ghana, which had an average desorption of 0.41% ± 0.3%, and the lowest desorption was for the ceramic material from Guatemala, with an average that was below our detection limit. The highest desorption with Ca(NO$_3$)$_2$ occurred in the ceramic material from Ghana, which had an average of 1.92% ± 1.02%, and the lowest desorption occurred in the ceramic material from Guatemala, which had an average of 0.12% ± 0.09%. For all the ceramic materials tested, higher desorption values were obtained when Ca(NO$_3$)$_2$ was used. This difference could have been caused by the particle size of the aggregated nanoparticles. Large aggregates formed in the calcium solutions may not be effectively trapped in the porous matrix of the ceramic material, so they may be desorbed easily.
These results are relevant to the manufacture of CWFs in the different countries, since the water-chemistry conditions used to prepare the silver solution used to coat the CWFs in each location could differ significantly. Factories that use groundwater, which usually has high concentrations of divalent salts, to produce the nano-suspension of silver nanoparticles will produce CWFs that release more silver nanoparticles to the treated water in comparison with factories that use surface water with its low concentrations of divalent salts.

### 3.5.2. Ionic Silver

Figure 5(b) shows the total average desorption per ceramic material for the two water quality conditions. The maximum desorption with KNO$_3$ occurred with the ceramic material from Nicaragua, with an average of 44.54% ± 27.57%, and the minimum occurred with the ceramic material from Guatemala, with an average of 6.40% ± 4.18%. The highest desorption with Ca(NO$_3$)$_2$ occurred with the ceramic material from Ghana, with an average of 12.06% ± 6.30%, and the minimum occurred with the ceramic material from Guatemala, with an average of 5.67% ± 2.73%.

### 3.6. Strength

Figure 6 shows typical stress-strain curves from the sides of three different sources in the inside-out (+R) loading direction. As the figure shows, the stress-strain behavior is almost linear, eventually reaching a brittle failure at strains between about 1% and 3%. The strengths calculated from the flexural tests are summarized in Figure 7.

Independent of the loading direction, the ceramic material from Nicaragua had the highest flexural strength (> 500 psi), and USA sample had the lowest (<150 psi). Ceramic material from Guatemala was slightly weaker than that from Nicaragua. To investigate the possible reasons for the difference in strength, the strengths in the +R direction were plotted
versus effective porosity in Figure 8. As shown in the figure, the strength increases with an increase in effective porosity, an unexpected behavior. A more porous material should have more void space and thus have higher stresses in the ceramic matrix, resulting in a lower strength. Therefore, the differences in strength are expected to be primarily due to differences in the mineralogy of the clay, which could affect the bonding between particles. However, surface imperfections induced by the manufacturing process may also have an effect.

The manufacturing process appears to produce a material that has the same strength on the sides as it does on the bottom. This is shown by comparing the strengths measured from the sides on the inside-out loading condition (+R) with the inside-out bottom strengths (Z). For example, ceramic B showed 423 psi (sides) versus 440 psi (bottom), and sample E showed 528 psi (sides) versus 510 psi (bottom).

The orientation of the specimens on the sides had some effect on the measured strength, suggesting minimal strength anisotropy. The effect was lowest in ceramic E which had a maximum difference of 10 psi (2%) for an average strength of 524 psi. The anisotropy was more pronounced in ceramic A, which had differences of 20 psi (23%) from the average strength of 132 psi. Also, the material appears to be the strongest when it is loaded from the outside-in (-R) direction rather than the inside-out (+R) direction. However, this may be more attributable to the curvature of the beam than to anisotropy. The -R loading direction has a beam that is concave down (Figure 1), which produces more of an arch structure that would tend to result in more compressive stresses than tensile stresses, making the material appear to be stronger.

4. DISCUSSION
Quartz and smectite-group clay minerals were present in all of the ceramic materials studied; chemical differences (not assessed here) in the smectite-group clay fraction may be partially responsible for the different sorption behaviors. Ceramic materials from Guatemala and Peru were able to sorb greater amounts of silver nanoparticles; these ceramic materials contain accessory pyroxene (R$_2$Si$_2$O$_6$, where R is an available cation, usually Mg$^{2+}$, Fe$^{2+}$, Ca$^{2+}$, Al$^{3+}$, Fe$^{3+}$, Ti$^{3+}$, Mn$^{3+}$, Na$^+$, K$^+$, or Li$^+$), and they contain albitic plagioclase feldspar (NaAlSi$_3$O$_8$) [20], so they may have an overall negative surface charge. This may concentrate protons or available cations on the surfaces of the mineral, localizing a patchy, positive charge distribution that would attract the moderately-negative silver nanoparticles. There are several well-known examples of surface charges existing on the surfaces of silicate minerals in response to pH-dependent ion exchange and sorption processes, particularly when H$^+$ and OH$^-$ interact with surficial ions [21]. In the cases of pyroxene and albite, the negative charges that are fundamental to their silicate structure are likely controlling sorption behavior. More generally, H$^+$ and OH$^-$ sorption also has been observed in the case of zeolite minerals [22], especially in albites [23].

Regarding the silver nanoparticles used in this study, both their sizes and charges were similar to those of citrate-capped nanoparticles, which are the nanoparticles that are most commonly used in nanoproducts. In previous studies performed by one of the authors [15], it was shown that casein-capped silver nanoparticles have greater stability the capping agents that have lower molecular weights. It was also found that the dissolution of casein-capped nanoparticles was below 0.5% of the total mass of silver added, so it was expected that the experimental conditions used in this study would have resulted in a similar dissolution rate.

Ceramic materials from Ghana and the U.S. sorbed the least amount; these two materials have simple mineral profiles, consisting of just quartz and smectite-group clays, respectively.
The Nicaraguan ceramic material presented an intermediate case, i.e., sorption properties were intermediate when compared to the high-sorption Guatemala/Peru group and the low-sorption Ghana/U.S. group. XRD data for the Nicaraguan ceramic material suggest the presence of trace albite and pyroxene a lower overall crystallinity, as observed in high background in the XRD results. When potassium nitrate was used as the background solution, high levels of sorption occurred, the use of calcium nitrate as the background solution resulted in significantly less sorption. The importance of the detection of albitic feldspar and pyroxene in the mineral profiles of the ceramic materials that were tested may be as follows. First, the surfaces of natural mineral grains are not regular; in fact, they are quite heterogeneous, with dissolution and reactivity of surface phases dependent on their fine structures, including step and kink features [24]. Second, feldspars are tectosilicate minerals with a three-dimensional array of linked SiO$_4$ and AlO$_4$ tetrahedra, with interstices that can host K, Na, Ca, or Ba in electroneutral arrangements [20]. Pyroxenes are inosilicates (i.e., chain silicates) composed of linked SiO$_4$ tetrahedra, each sharing two O atoms with the neighboring tetrahedron. Available cations link the tetrahedral chains together in pyroxenes, yielding a diverse cation (“R” in mineral formula above) budget for this mineral, although, typically, it is dominated by Fe and Mg. R-O bonds typically are weaker than Si-O bonds in silicate minerals, and natural specimens cleave along these weaker bond planes (Huang, 1989); the resulting natural mixtures of pyroxene grains may have broken R-O bonds at the edges, which may participate more readily in sorption. Indeed, cation exchange and stoichiometric surface dissolution of pyroxene grains are well supported [25]. Although these silicate minerals comprise only a small fraction of the total material, they may provide reactive surfaces for the ionic and silver nanoparticles in experimental systems.
When potassium nitrate was used as the background solution, the ceramic material from Guatemala sorbed the most ionic silver (followed by Peru), and the ceramic material from Nicaragua sorbed the least. When calcium nitrate was used as the background solution, the ceramic material from Guatemala sorbed the most ionic silver (followed by Peru), and the ceramic material from Ghana sorbed the least. Ceramic materials from Guatemala and Peru contain silicate mineral components (pyroxene or albite), and we propose that these components contributed to the greater sorption capacity of metal ions, as discussed above. These results indicated that the mineralogy of the ceramic material and the water chemistry of the background solutions are important variables to consider when predicting the sorption of ionic silver by ceramic materials.

Steep slopes in the coefficient (or when 1/n is close to 1) indicated high adsorptive capacity at high equilibrium concentrations, but it diminished rapidly at lower equilibrium concentrations. When the slope is relatively flat (or 1/n is much less than 1), it indicates that the sorption capacity is not influenced significantly by lower equilibrium concentrations [26]. The value of K can be taken as a relative indicator of the adsorptive capacity, and 1/n is indicative of the energy or intensity of the reaction [27]. The Freundlich isotherm describes multilayer adsorption, and it is not restricted to the formation of the monolayer, as is the Langmuir isotherm.

Similarly, the model that fit the silver nanoparticles’ sorption isotherm indicated that silver ions seem to exhibit a very high sorption capacity on the ceramic materials. The maximum saturation threshold was not achieved at the experimental conditions used in this study. It was impractical to attain the high concentration required to achieve the threshold in the case of silver nitrate, and aggregation issues associated with the silver nanoparticles precluded achieving it in
their case as well. It should be noticed that it was not possible to determine the composition of
the silver solution inside the pores of the ceramic materials at the end of the sorption test.
Therefore, it is possible that some silver still remained in solution (silver nitrate) or suspended
(silver nanoparticles) inside the pores and were not necessarily truly sorbed. The average pore
volume of the ceramic material was close to 0.5 cm$^3$, and the mass of silver in the pore space
could be up to 5 mg at the highest equilibrium concentration obtained, indicating that less than
12% of the total silver was sorbed.

Transport of silver nanoparticles with similar physicochemical characteristics through
ceramic manufactured with industrial grade clay have been previously determine in continuous
systems [28]. This study showed that most of the desorption happen during the first 200 min of
the test. In our batch mode experiments we observed that most of the detachment occurred
during the first desorption stage.

The desorption tests of silver compounds from the ceramic materials clearly showed the
advantage of the use of silver nanoparticles instead of silver ions as an anti-biofouling agent on
CWFs. Silver ions desorbed to an extent that was almost an order of magnitude greater than that
of the silver nanoparticles. This fact has two main implications, i.e., (i) large losses of chemicals
will occur if silver ions are used and (ii) more rinses will be required for CFWs impregnated with
silver ions in order to achieve the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency’s standard for silver in
drinking water of 0.1 mg/L [29]. It also should be noted that the oral reference dose for silver is
0.005 mg/kg/day, so high concentrations of silver in treated water would exceed this standard,
and this is especially of concern when one considers children under the age of five, who
comprise one of the most important target populations of this technology.
5. CONCLUSIONS

The composition of clay seems to play an important role in the sorption of silver species. The ceramic materials that contained pyroxenes had better sorption of silver, resulting in enhanced performance relative to the removal of pathogens from the water. Also, this finding could allow local manufacturers of CWFs to improve the performance of their ceramic materials by the addition of clays that are rich in pyroxenes.

The results showed that larger amounts of silver ions can be sorbed than silver nanoparticles. However, the desorption of silver nanoparticles from the ceramic materials was less than that of silver ions. Since it is known that silver is critical to the high performance of the filter and that silver nanoparticles are desorbed to a lesser extent, they can be expected to have a longer service life and to pose lower risks to the environment and human health.

Water chemistry is very important for preparing effective silver solutions during the manufacturing process. Water quality does not matter as much for ionic silver applications, but it is important when silver nanoparticles are used. The nanoparticles did not sorb well with the divalent calcium solution, so excessively hard water may not be appropriate for use in preparing nanoparticle solutions for use in CWFs.

In this study, the factor that had the most significant influence on the strength of CWFs was the region of manufacturing, and this was likely due to differences in the clay mineralogy of the source materials. Potentially, the factor that ranked second relative to its influence on the strength of CWFs was the part of the filter that was tested. The factor that had the least influence on the strength of CWFs was the loading direction. In fact, the highest strengths occurred when the samples were loaded from the outside inward, suggesting that the pressing during the manufacturing process did not induce significant anisotropy in the material.
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Figure 1. Orientation of beam specimens that were cut from the sides of the CWFs.
Figure 2. Evolution of the hydrodynamic diameter at increasing ionic strength of monovalent (KNO$_3$; squares) and divalent (Ca(NO$_3$)$_2$; triangles) electrolytes solutions.
Figure 3. Silver nanoparticle sorption isotherms for ceramics (A) USA, (B) Guatemala, (C) Ghana, (D) Peru and (E) Nicaragua at the water chemistry conditions (a) KNO\textsubscript{3}, and (b) Ca(NO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}
Figure 4. Silver ions sorption isotherms for ceramics (A) USA, (B) Guatemala, (C) Ghana, (D) Peru and (E) Nicaragua at the water chemistry conditions (a) KNO$_3$, and (b) Ca(NO$_3$)$_2$
Figure 5. (a) Percentage of silver nanoparticle desorption and (b) percentage of ionic silver desorption at different water chemistry conditions for ceramics from (A) USA, (B) Guatemala, (C) Ghana, (D) Peru and (E) Nicaragua.
Figure 6. Typical flexural test results from three CWF sources under the same loading orientation (+R direction). (A) USA, (B) Guatemala, and (E) Nicaragua.
Figure 7. Summary of flexural strength test results for three CWF sources. The error bars shown represent the minimum and maximum measured strength. (A) USA, (B) Guatemala, and (E) Nicaragua.
Figure 8. Correlation between flexural strength and porosity for the +R loading direction for three CWF sources. The error bars shown represent the minimum and maximum values. (A) USA, (B) Guatemala, and (E) Nicaragua.

\[ y = 62.625x - 2388.5 \]
\[ R^2 = 0.83191 \]
Table 1. Summary table of bulk powder XRD and XRF results. All samples were analyzed under identical conditions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample provenance</th>
<th>Bulk XRD</th>
<th>Bulk XRF</th>
<th>Additional notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Quartz, minor 16Å smectite group clay</td>
<td>K, Ti, Cr</td>
<td>Illite suggested by minor peak at 3.49 Å (small peak area, irregular boundary).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guatemala</td>
<td>Quartz, pyroxene (likely derived from arc volcanics), minor 16Å smectite group clay</td>
<td>Ca, Ti, Mn, Fe, Eu</td>
<td>Abundant volcanic ash from nearby convergent margin magmatism along the western boundary of Central and South America is likely the precursor to clay units utilized in this ceramic.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ghana</td>
<td>Essentially all quartz, minor 16Å smectite group clay</td>
<td>K, Ti, Cr, Fe</td>
<td>Geologic units of this region of Northern Ghana include shales and sandstones of the Voltaian Group (Anani, 1999), leading to a quartz-rich source with clays derived likely from sedimentary formations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>Quartz, albite, possible illite, and minor 16Å smectite group clay</td>
<td>K, Ca, Ti, Fe, possible Sn</td>
<td>This clay source is also likely derived from volcanic ash.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicaragua</td>
<td>Quartz, albite, illite-group clay, minor 16Å smectite group clay, and pyroxene.</td>
<td>Ca, Ti, Cr, Mo, Fe, Mn, Eu, Pm</td>
<td>High background suggests poorly crystalline matrix. This clay source is likely derived from volcanic ash.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Fitting parameters for all the experiments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Langmuir</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Freundlich</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Q_M_</td>
<td>b_a</td>
<td>R^2_</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ag^0 - Ca(NO_3)_2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>1.791</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>65.0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>2.136</td>
<td>0.313</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.966</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>60.7</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>1.955</td>
<td>0.170</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>1.165</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ag^0 - KNO_3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>63.7</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>1.059</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>1.548</td>
<td>0.305</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>1.010</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>62.2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>1.346</td>
<td>0.135</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>38.9</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>1.035</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ag^+ - Ca(NO_3)_2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>74.8</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.785</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>570.6</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>1.959</td>
<td>1.131</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>39.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.799</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>102.8</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>1.075</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>40.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.863</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ag^+ - KNO_3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>1.327</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>2.606</td>
<td>2.416</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>36.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.918</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>143.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>1.688</td>
<td>0.228</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.800</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>