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Arabs and peace

To the editor:
In response to Mac Fleming's letter on

Arabs and peace

The following guest column was written by

Bloomington resident Kent Ossen.

R.D. Fulk complains about the return of
R. Emmett Tyrrell to the R.T. Rightly so.
Ever since our paper forsook "Telephone" as
its semi-moniker and became moronicized,
the absence of brisk, brusque prose assault
does the sensibility of terrible
tyrant. Tyrrell is usually better read and
understood after the cocktail hour, when one is
once again equipped to deal with challenging
opinions. The same can be said of Rob Hammel, Mike Leonan, Lydia Brown
Finklestein, and Miss Manning, not to
denigrate IU's latest honorary doctor of social
discipline, Ann Landers. Earlier is easier.

It's no surprise that our paper has been so
deliberately cautious in its adherence to
unflinching libertarian thinking. It's a
common occurrence when professionals of
all those academics who traffic in what-
or qualities as literature since the death of
Erasmus. Anything post-Latin and pre- 

(Grace Oates)

The MLA, with 30,000 members and nu-
merous ancillaries, has a stranglehold on the
humanities industry. More than any
other academic trade union cum lobby, it is
responsible for deforming college teaching
into a Publications-driven, specialities cen-
tered, credentials ridden monopoly to sub-
vert the education of American students
and, by extension, the public trust. In its
book-lidiscouraging, the MLA has forsaken
its dedication to teaching as the advance of
the cause of uninsured research, losing after grants, and
steadily careerism.

No other American academic organiza-
tion has so much to answer for in the de-
cine of teaching as an honorable calling as
does the MLA. Although RET oversteps
the case for the sake of the literal minded
— a regrettable fault of his — by referring to
the "Knights of the MLA," it is not that
far off the mark. It is responsible for eviscerating
the MLA as the organization of damage done to the
humans. Of course, he should never have
brought it up.

He points out that one who is not a bond servent or bond mas-
ter in this system of indenturnanship is grant-
ive to rank of "public intellectuals, academic freedom
being what it is.

As the Brits say, I am obliged to
discourage an interest. My name appears on the
mailing list of the American Socialists, and I am
reimbursed for the costs of postage and
handling for whatever appears under my
name even if it is my own and not by
friends. I have been a professional
local better than his prose, or, for that matter,
mine. Mine is fair game. And R.D. Fulk —
language, economy of expression, and
wife's Owen County relation — is getting
perilously close to being downright person-
el. Especially when the writer says that
the Senate is "the equivalent of aAs the Brits say, I am obliged to
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