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Recommend exception be dropped -- unnecessary and could contribute to confusion over purpose of provisions.

Accountability systems should require states to collect and report local performance. Unless states manage performance systems at the local level there is no incentive for the systems to drive program improvement.

Recommend dedicating incentive grant funds to the purpose for which they were received, i.e., if funds received for performance in adult education, inventive grants should be dedicated to adult education services.

(2)(A) add "where appropriate" to the requirement that states use control-group research design in their evaluations. This research design would be too expensive/infeasible for most states.

Special exemption of existing MOE requirements for case from 1993 under appeal is inappropriate and should be dropped.

In GOP offer, which uses poverty level from the Department of Commerce -- give states the option to use better/more current poverty data than what the Department would be able to supply.

Perhaps technical error -- believe the last 2 lines were supposed to be deleted (Section should read "encouraging the coordination of literacy services;"

Technical correction, on Senate offer, should read " prepare and submit the interagency AGREEMENT for ..."

Delete percentage reductions in staff.

Amendment suggests secretaries will divide up Indian programs-- note 431 establishes a single office. These seem inconsistent.