

2015

# Benefits of Surfing for Children with Disabilities: A Pilot Study

Cortney N. Armitano

Emily D. Clapham

University of Rhode Island, eclapham@uri.edu

*See next page for additional authors*

Follow this and additional works at: [http://digitalcommons.uri.edu/kinesiology\\_facpubs](http://digitalcommons.uri.edu/kinesiology_facpubs)

**The University of Rhode Island Faculty have made this article openly available.  
Please let us know how Open Access to this research benefits you.**

This is a pre-publication author manuscript of the final, published article.

Terms of Use

This article is made available under the terms and conditions applicable towards Open Access Policy Articles, as set forth in our [Terms of Use](#).

## Citation/Publisher Attribution

Armitano, C. N., Clapham, E. D., Lamont, L. S., & Audette, J. G. (2015). Benefits of Surfing for Children with Disabilities: A Pilot Study. *Palaestra*, 29(3), 31-34.

Available at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.18666/PALAESTR-2015-V29-I3-6912>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Kinesiology at DigitalCommons@URI. It has been accepted for inclusion in Kinesiology Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@URI. For more information, please contact [digitalcommons@etal.uri.edu](mailto:digitalcommons@etal.uri.edu).

---

**Authors**

Cortney N. Armitano, Emily D. Clapham, Linda S. Lamont, and Jennifer G. Audette

1 SURFING FOR CHILDREN WITH DISABILITIES PILOT STUDY

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 Benefits of Surfing for Children with Disabilities: A Pilot Study

12 Cortney N. Armitano, Emily D. Clapham, Linda S. Lamont, Jennifer G. Audette

13 University of Rhode Island

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46

**Abstract**

The purpose of this study was to assess the effectiveness of an eight-week surfing intervention for 16 children with disabilities. The assessment procedure consisted of pre and post physical fitness measures to determine the benefits of this intervention. Our results showed an overall improvement in upper body strength (right:  $P = 0.024$ , left:  $P = 0.022$ ), core strength ( $P = 0.002$ ) and cardiorespiratory endurance ( $P = 0.013$ ). This research is the first of its kind, illustrating the feasibility and effectiveness of a surfing intervention on improving the physical fitness of children with disabilities.

*Keywords:* Aquatic, Ocean, Fitness

## 47 Benefits of Surfing in Children with Disabilities: A Pilot Study

48 Of the 53.9 million school-aged children (aged 5 to 17) in the United States, about 2.8  
49 million (5.2 percent) were reported to have a disability (Brault, 2011). Children with disabilities  
50 have the same activity requirements as all children, who are recommended to accumulate 60  
51 minutes or more of moderate to vigorous physical activity throughout the day (World Health  
52 Organization, 2012; ACSM, 2010). Participation in sports and recreational activities provide  
53 opportunities for these children that promote inclusion, minimize deconditioning, optimize  
54 physical functioning, and enhance overall well-being (Murphy, Carbone, & the Council on  
55 Children with disabilities, 2008). Despite the benefits, disabled children are more restricted in  
56 their participation, have lower fitness levels, and higher obesity levels than their able-bodied  
57 peers (Murphy, 2008). This limited participation also puts them at risk for secondary health  
58 problems later in life such as dyslipidemia, coronary artery disease, osteoporosis and diabetes  
59 (Fragala-Pinkham, Haley, and O'Neil, 2008; Hayden, 1998). Unfortunately, opportunities to  
60 participate in fitness and activity programs, whether for leisure, recreation, or competition, are  
61 limited (Murphy, 2008; Okagaki, Diamond, Kontos, & Hestenes, 1998; Rimmer, Riley, Wang,  
62 Rauworth, & Jurkowski, 2004).

63 Adapted aquatics programs offer necessary physical activity and educational programming to  
64 these children (Kelly & Darrah, 2005; Koury, 1996) and the physical and psychosocial benefits  
65 are more pronounced than those reported for children without disabilities (Koury, 1996; Fragala-  
66 Pinkham, 2008; Haley, 2010). Research involving children with cerebral palsy determined that  
67 aquatic exercise improves muscle strength, cardiorespiratory function, and gross motor skills  
68 (Peganoff, 1984; Hutzler, Chacham, & Bergman, 1998; Thorpe and Reilly, 2000). There are  
69 reports that carefully planned and implemented water activities can contribute to the

70 psychosocial and cognitive development of a child with a disability (Yilmaz, Yanardag, Birkan  
71 & Bumin, 2004; Kelly, 2005). Similar benefits could potentially be derived from surfing in the  
72 ocean. Surfing is known to be highly aerobic and exercise intensities are high (75% - 85% of  
73 maximal heart rate) (Mendez-Villanueva & Bishop, 2005). There are several surf programs  
74 offered to people with disabilities around the world (e.g., Surfers Healing, Ride-a-Wave, and the  
75 Disabled Surfers Association in Australia), and they are quickly gaining popularity.

76 The present study was designed to determine whether a surfing program is beneficial by  
77 assessing physiological characteristics of the children before and after completion of the  
78 program. Surfing programs for children with disabilities are gaining popularity, however the  
79 benefits of these intervention programs have not been formally studied. This pilot project  
80 provides a preliminary exploration of the benefits of ocean surfing in children with disabilities by  
81 assessing for physiological improvements.

## 82 **Methods**

### 83 **Participants**

84 This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board at the University of Rhode  
85 Island on March 22, 2012. Sixteen participants were recruited from the University of Rhode  
86 Island Adapted Physical Education class, Special Olympics Rhode Island and through word-of-  
87 mouth throughout the local community. There was a wide range of children with disabilities in  
88 this study which included intellectual and learning disabilities, Down syndrome, several Autism  
89 Spectrum disorders, Microcephaly, Global Developmental Delays, Dandy-Walker syndrome,  
90 heart defects, and hypothyroidism (Table 1). Individuals interested in participating in the study  
91 needed to meet the inclusion criteria of being between 5 and 18 years, diagnosed with a

92 developmental, sensory, and/or physical disability, categorized by disability levels of mild to  
93 severe by a parent and/or guardian report, cleared by a medical doctor, and have an informed  
94 consent signed by their parent/guardian and an assent form signed by the participant.

## 95 **Materials**

96 This was a pilot study with an experimental study design utilizing pre and post fitness  
97 testing measurements (variables) to assess the surfing intervention. The fitness tests used were  
98 from the Brockport Physical Fitness Test Manual (Winnick & Short, 1999; Cureton, 1994) which  
99 is based on The Cooper Institute's Fitnessgram. The tests were selected to measure  
100 cardiorespiratory endurance, flexibility, muscular strength and muscle endurance. A practice day  
101 was completed to familiarize all the children with the testing procedures.

## 102 **Procedures**

103 Each child was paired with an adult instructor for one-on-one surfing instruction. The  
104 surf instructors were given training on the program goals, skills and optimal learning style of  
105 each child to encourage maximum progression and participation in the program (Clapham,  
106 Armitano, Lamont & Audette, 2014). The surf instruction consisted of a one-hour session, twice  
107 a week, for eight weeks; the child practiced surfing skills during these sessions. Specifically,  
108 children progressed from: 1) paddling, 2) balancing on a surfboard while sitting (Figure 1),  
109 laying, kneeling or standing (Figure 2), 3) catching a wave and riding it into shore in the prone,  
110 sitting, kneeling (Figure 3), or standing position, and 4) how to paddle back out through the wave  
111 unassisted (Clapham et al., 2014). The skills were first practiced in a large group format, then the  
112 child and their surf instructor would break off to practice their skills one-on-one beginning on

113 land and then in the ocean (Clapham et al., 2014). The progression through the skills were based  
114 on each child's individual pace of learning and the goals set by the surf instructors.

115 SPSS version 19 statistical software was used for the data analyses. Given the broad  
116 variability in disabilities of our sample group we used a Shapiro-Wilk Test to examine the  
117 normality of distribution for our measures. For the normally distributed data a repeated measures  
118 multivariate analysis of variance (ANOVA) using two time points (pre and post) was employed  
119 (sit and reach, modified Apley's scratch test and hand grip). Significance was based on an alpha  
120 of 0.05 using a Bonferroni correction and a 95% confidence interval. For the non-normally  
121 distributed data (trunk lift, modified curl-up, isometric push-up, 20 meter pacer scores  $P < 0.05$ )  
122 we used the nonparametric Wilcoxon Signed Ranks Test for paired variables. All data are  
123 presented as mean  $\pm$  standard error of the mean.

## 124 **Results**

125 Table 2 lists the experimental results of this study. In the normally distributed items, we  
126 found significant increases in the grip strength in both hands and flexibility of the right arm as  
127 measured by the Apley's scratch test. The Back Saver Sit-and-Reach for both left and right arms  
128 remained unchanged. For the non-normally distributed data, we found significant improvements  
129 in core body muscle strength and aerobic capacity of our sample group as measured by the  
130 modified curl-up and cardiorespiratory endurance test employed. There were no significant  
131 improvements in the trunk lift or the isometric push up over the eight weeks of surfing  
132 instruction for this sample of children with disabilities.



133

**Discussion**

134           The purpose of this study was to explore the effectiveness of a surfing intervention for  
135 children with disabilities through an assessment of physiological measurements including;  
136 balance, strength, endurance, flexibility, and cardiorespiratory endurance. Results indicated that  
137 this surf program improved numerous areas of physical fitness and is another activity that can be  
138 added to the repertoire of effective adapted aquatic exercise programs. There were significant  
139 improvements in the participants' upper-body strength, core strength, as well as cardiorespiratory  
140 endurance. In the upper extremities there were increases in grip strength and in the participants'  
141 range of motion. The Modified Apley's scratch test was used to indicate improvements in the  
142 participants' range of motion. These results are consistent with research by Peganoff (1984) who  
143 found lap swimming increased shoulder flexion 15° and shoulder abduction 10° in the their  
144 participants right upper extremity. These improvements could be attributed to carrying the  
145 surfboard, arm use during swimming, and the repetitive arm motion needed to paddle through the  
146 water.

147           We found a substantial increase in core body muscle strength in our participants.  
148 Research by Fragala-Pinkham et al. (2010) also reported similar improvements after aerobic  
149 aquatic exercise. The improvements that we reported for core strength and endurance should be  
150 underscored, and are particularly beneficial as children with disabilities typically show a  
151 limitation in postural control (Liao, Jeng, Lai, Cheng & Hu, 1997). We found no improvements  
152 in the trunk lift or balance. The lack of improvement in the trunk lift could be attributed to a  
153 ceiling effect, because the majority of our participants obtained the maximum score prior to the  
154 surfing instruction.

155 Most research indicates that children with disabilities have low levels of cardiorespiratory  
156 endurance when compared with their abled bodied peers (Murphy, 2008; Hayden, 1998; Fernhall  
157 & Pitetti, 2001). Therefore, one of the most important benefits of this surfing project was the  
158 increase in cardiorespiratory endurance. A review by Mendez-Villanueva and Bishop (2005)  
159 (2005) indicated that surfing was a highly aerobic activity and Fragala-Pinkham et al. (2008)  
160 found improvements in cardiorespiratory endurance after a 14-week aquatic aerobic exercise  
161 intervention.

162 Anecdotally, many positive outcomes were reported to be observed from the surfing  
163 intervention. Researchers, surf instructors, and parents observed increased self-confidence, gains  
164 in social development by interacting with the volunteer surf instructors and other participants,  
165 and decreased anxiety. Some of these improvements, as seen in research by Clapham et al.  
166 (2014), included increased verbalization, excitement and motivation about physical activity, and  
167 improvements in surfing skills. Several outcomes of the program were also reported to carry over  
168 into other areas of the participants' lives including increased participation and improved  
169 performance in other physical activities such as adapted physical education classes, the Special  
170 Olympics and Unified Sports. These observations are concurrent with previous research that also  
171 found participation in the surf intervention aided the participants in acquiring the self-  
172 confidence, social skills, and physical fitness necessary to increase their participation in  
173 organized sport and physical activity (Clapham et al., 2014). It is recommended that future  
174 research examines these reported improvements formally.

175 It will be of interest to determine if improvements in cardiovascular fitness in children  
176 with disabilities can impact on the secondary health problems they are at risk of experiencing.  
177 The results of this study indicate that a surfing intervention program is feasible as well as

178 beneficial to improve the cardiorespiratory endurance, muscle strength, flexibility and range of  
179 motion of children with disabilities. Based on the researchers' results and feedback from  
180 participants and parents, it appeared as though the surf intervention was effective in improving  
181 lives of children with disabilities.

182

### **Acknowledgements**

183

The authors would like to thank a local surf shop Peter Pan Surf Academy who donated

184

50% of the cost of the equipment rentals and all of the volunteers from the community and from

185

the University of Rhode Island's Kinesiology Department and Physical Therapy Department.

186  
187  
188  
189  
190  
191  
192  
193  
194  
195  
196  
197  
198  
199  
200  
201  
202  
203  
204  
205  
206  
207

**References**

*ACSM's guidelines for exercise testing and prescription.* (2010). Philadelphia, PA: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.

Brault, M. W. (2011). School-aged children with disabilities in U.S. metropolitan statistical areas: 2010. Retrieved December, 2, 2013, from <http://www.census.gov/prod/2011pubs/acsbr10-12.pdf>

Clapham, E.D., Armitano, C.N., Lamont, L., & Audette, J.G. (2014). The ocean as a unique therapeutic environment: developing a surfing program. *Journal of Physical Education Recreation and Dance*: 85(4):8-14.

Cureton, K. J. (1994). *The prudential fitnessgram technical reference manual*. Dallas, TX: Cooper Institute of Aerobics Research.

Fernhall, B., Pitetti, K. (2001). Limitations to work capacity in individuals with intellectual disabilities. *Clinical Exercise Physiology*, 3, 176-185

Fragala-Pinkham, M., Haley, S. M., & O'Neil, M. E. (2008). Group aquatic aerobic exercise for children with disabilities. *Developmental Medicine & Child Neurology*, 50(11), 822-827.

Hayden, M. (1998). Mortality among people with mental retardation living in the United States: research review and policy application. *Mental Retardation*, 36, 345-359.

Hutzler, Y., Chacham, A., Bergman, U. (1998). Effects of movement and swimming program on vital capacity and water orientation skills of children with cerebral palsy. *Developmental Medicine & Child Neurology*, 40(3), 176-181.

Kelly, M., Darrah, J. (2005). Aquatic exercise for children with cerebral palsy. *Developmental Medicine & Child Neurology*, 47, 838-842.

- 208 Koury, J. (1996). *Aquatic Therapy Programming*. Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics.
- 209 Liao, H. F., Jeng, S. F., Lai, J. S., Cheng, C. K., & Hu, M. H. (1997). The relation between single  
210 standing balance and walking function in children with spastic diplegic cerebral palsy.  
211 *Developmental Medicine & Child Neurology*, 39, 106-112.
- 212 Mendez-Villanueva A., & Bishop, D. (2005). Physiological aspects of surfboard riding  
213 performance. *Sports Medicine*, 35(1), 55-70.
- 214 Murphy, N. A., Carbone, P. S. & Council on Children with Disabilities. (2008). Promoting the  
215 participation of children with disabilities in sports, recreation, and physical activities.  
216 *PEDIATRICS*, 121, 1057-1061.
- 217 Okagaki, L., Diamond, K. E., Kontos, S. J., & Hestenes, L. L. (1998). Correlates of young  
218 children's interactions with classmates with disabilities. *Early Childhood Research*  
219 *Quarterly*, 13, 67-86.
- 220 Peganoff, S. A. (1984). The use of aquatics with cerebral palsied adolescents. *The American*  
221 *Journal of Occupational Therapy*, 38, 469-473.
- 222 Rimmer, J. H., Riley, B., Wang, E., Rauworth, A., & Jurkowski, J. (2004). Physical activity  
223 participation among persons with disabilities. *American Journal of Preventative*  
224 *Medicine*, 26(5), 419-425.
- 225 Thorpe, D. E., & Reilly, M. (2000). The effect of an aquatic resistive exercise program on lower  
226 extremity strength, energy expenditure, functional mobility, balance and self-perception  
227 in an adult with cerebral palsy: a retrospective case report. *The Journal of Aquatic*  
228 *Physical Therapy*, 8(2), 18-24.

229 Winnick, J., Short, F. (1999). *The brockport physical fitness test manual*. Champaign, IL: Human  
230 Kinetics.

231 World Health Organization. (2012). Global strategy on diet, physical activity and health:  
232 physical activity and young people. Retrieved from  
233 [http://www.who.int/dietphysicalactivity/factsheet\\_young\\_people/en/index.html](http://www.who.int/dietphysicalactivity/factsheet_young_people/en/index.html).

234 Yilmaz, I., Yanardag, M., Birkan, B., Bumin, G. (2004). Effects of swimming training on  
235 physical fitness and water orientation in autism. *Pediatrics International*, 46, 624-626.

**Table 1****Subject Characteristics**

<b>ID #</b>	<b>Gender</b>	<b>Age</b>	<b>Disability type and other health information</b>	<b>Disability level</b>
1	Male	15	Autism	Mild
2	Male	7	Down Syndrome	Mild
3	Male	6	Autism- non-verbal	Moderate/Severe
4	Male	10	Autism-non-verbal	Moderate
5	Female	9	Global developmental delays: specifically speech and motor skills	Mild
6	Male	13	Autism	Moderate
7	Female	13	Down syndrome, hypothyroidism	Moderate
8	Male	12	Hypoplastic left heart syndrome, Suffered from several strokes at a young age	Moderate
9	Male	10	Autism	Moderate
10	Male	10	ADHD, learning disabilities (reading), asthma	Mild
11	Male	13	Autism (Asperger Syndrome), ADHD, Tourette Syndrome	Moderate
12	Female	16	Down Syndrome, Hypothyroid	Moderate
13	Female	13	Autism (Asperger Syndrome), Obsessive Compulsive Disorder, Anxiety Disorder	Moderate
14	Male	15	Microcephaly, very low muscle tone	Severe
15	Male	5	Sensory integration disorder, hyperkinetic	Moderate
16	Female	6	Learning disabilities, dandy walker syndrome	Moderate



**Table 2****Pre and Post Testing Results**

<b>Test</b>	<b>Pre ± SEM</b>	<b>Post ± SEM</b>	<b>Improvements</b>	<b>Significance</b>
Grip strength (L)	120.5N± 25.5N	219.7N± 23.1N	99.2N	P = 0.024 <sup>a*</sup>
Grip strength (R)	120.0N± 24.5N	225.6N ± 23.4N	105.5N	P = 0.022 <sup>a*</sup>
Isometric Push-Up	1:28.8 min± 14.9sec.	2:00.4 min± 10.5sec.	31.6sec.	P = N.S. <sup>b</sup>
Modified Curl-Up	16± 5 reps.	27± 6 reps.	11 reps.	P = 0.002 <sup>b*</sup>
Trunk lift	9.2in.± 0.8in.	9.8in. ± 0.6in.	0.6in.	P = N.S. <sup>b</sup>
Back Saver Sit- and-Reach (L)	28.63in.± 2.50in.	29.06in.± 2.39in.	0.4in.	P = N.S. <sup>a</sup>
Back Saver Sit- and-Reach (R)	29.0in.± 2.6in.	29.0in.± 2.4in.	0.0in.	P = N.S. <sup>a</sup>
Modified Apley's Scratch (L)	12.5°± 8.6°	14°± 7.1°	1.5°	P = 0 .095 <sup>a</sup>
Modified Apley's Scratch (R)	10.8°± 7.7°	14°± 7.4°	3.18°	P = 0 .034 <sup>a</sup>
20-m PACER	4 laps± 1 lap	6 laps± 2 laps	2 laps	P = 0.013 <sup>b*</sup>

\* = Statistically significant

N.S. = Not significant

<sup>a</sup> = Adjustment for multiple comparisons: Bonferroni<sup>b</sup> = Wilcoxon Signed Ranks Test



*Figure 1 Balancing on the surfboard while sitting*



*Figure 2 Balancing on the surfboard while standing*



*Figure 3 Riding a wave into shore while kneeling*